arXiv:hep-th/9405048v1 8 May 1994

Supersymmetry, Path Integration, and the Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem

Ali Mostafazadeh[1]
The University of Texas at Austin
Department of Physics
Austin, Texas 78712

May 1994

---

[1] I would like to thank my Ph. D. supervisor Prof. Bryce S. DeWitt for his invaluable guidance and support. I am also indebted to Profs. Cecile DeWitt-Morette, Luis J. Boya, and Gary Hamrick for many constructive comments and suggestions. This dissertation is dedicated to my parents: Afsar Mosannen-Amini and Ebrahim Mostafazadeh.

Abstract

A new supersymmetric proof of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem is presented. The Peierls bracket quantization scheme is used to quantize the supersymmetric classical system corresponding to the index problem for twisted Dirac operator. The problem of factor ordering is addressed and the unique quantum system that is relevant to the index theorem is analyzed in detail. The Hamiltonian operator for the supersymmetric system is shown to include a scalar curvature factor, $\hbar^2 R/8$. The path integral formulation of quantum mechanics is then used to obtain a formula for the index. For the first time, the path integral "measure" and the Feynman propagator of the system are exactly computed. The derivation of the index formula relies solely on the definition of a Gaussian superdeterminant. The two-loop analysis of the path integral is also carried out. The results of the loop and the heat kernel expansions of the path integral are in complete agreement. This confirms the existence of the scalar curvature factor in the Schrödinger equation and validates the supersymmetric proof of the index theorem. Many other related issues are addressed. Finally, reviews of the index theorem and the supersymmetric quantum mechanics are presented.

Contents

1 Introduction 3
- 1.1 Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem 6
- 1.2 Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics and the Index Theorem 9
- 1.3 Remarks and Discussion 10

2 Supersymmetric Proof of the Index Theorem 12
- 2.1 The Superclassical System and Its Quantization 12
- 2.2 The Quantum System 21
- 2.3 The Path Integral Evaluation of the Kernel, the Loop Expansion and the Green's Function Methods 25
- 2.4 The Derivation of the Index of Dirac Operator 28
- 2.5 The Derivation of the Index of the Twisted Dirac Operator . . 33
- 2.6 Remarks and Discussion 39

3 The Scalar Curvature Term in the Schrödinger Equation 42
- 3.1 Introduction 42
- 3.2 The Loop Expansion 44
- 3.3 2-Loop Calculations for the Case: $\kappa = 0$ 46
- 3.4 2-Loop Calculations for the Case: $\kappa = 1$ 53
- 3.5 Remarks and Discussion 59

4 Conclusion 60

A A Brief Review of Index Theory 61
- A.1 Complex of Hermitian Bundles 61
- A.2 Symbol of a Differential Operator 63
- A.3 Adjoint of a Differential Operator 64

A.4 Elliptic Complexes and Their Indices 65
A.5 Rolling up a Complex 67
A.6 Symbol Bundle 71
A.7 Characteristic Classes 73
A.8 The Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem 76
A.9 The de Rham Complex and the Euler-Poincaré Characteristic 77
A.10 Spin Bundle and Spin Complex 78
A.11 K-Theory and the Index Theorem 80
A.12 A Sketch of the Heat Kernel Proof 83

B A Brief Review of Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics 87
B.1 Witten's Definition of Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics . 87
B.2 Degeneracy of Energy Levels 89
B.3 Spontaneous Supersymmetry Breaking and the Witten Index . 90
B.4 Witten Index as the Index of an Elliptic Operator 92
B.5 Coherent State (Holomorphic) Representation of Quantum Mechanics, Supernumbers, and Berezin Integration 95

C Derivation of the Scalar Curvature Factor in the Operator Formalism 102

D Coherent State Path Integral and Calculation of S_0 105

Chapter 1

Introduction

Abstract

The Atiyah-Singer index theorem is introduced and its relation to supersymmetric quantum mechanics is discussed.

In 1916, in his quest to unravel the mystery of *Gravitation*, Einstein proposed one of the most unsual and ingenious theories of his time. He named this new theory of gravity the *General Theory of Relativity.*[1] Although, general relativity turned out not to be the ultimate theory of gravitation, it opened many new horizons in theoretical physics. Probably, the most important of these was the introduction of differential geometric methods in theoretical physics.

In fact, before Einstein differential geometric concepts were used by Poincaré in the study of the foundations of classical mechanics. Poincaré, among his numerous discoveries, had introduced certain qualitative techniques to study the differential equations of classical mechanics. These were associated with the spaces of solutions of these equations and gave important information about the systems under investigation. The "qualitative techniques" of Poincaré has since been grown into a vast area of modern mathematics called *topology*.

---

[1] In this regard, W. Pauli said: "The general theory of relativity then completed and – in contrast to the special theory – worked out by Einstein alone without simultaneous contributions by other researchers, will forever remain the classic example of a theory of perfect beauty in its mathematical structure." Also the remarks of M. Born is worthy of quoting: "(The general theory of relativity) seemed and still seems to me at present to be the greatest accomplishment of human thought about nature; it is a most remarkable combination of philosophical depth, physical intuition and mathematical ingenuity. I admire it as a work of art." [1, p. 77].

An important problem in topology is to study the topological properties of manifolds and fibre bundles. These structures are widely used in other branches of mathematics and the natural sciences. Particularly, the use of manifolds and fibre bundles in theoretical physics are clearly indispensable. The topological properties of manifolds and fibre bundles play substantial roles in the construction and the understanding of physical theories. Among the examples of the applications of topology in theoretical physics are *monopoles* [2], *instantons* [3], *anomalies* [4] in field theory, and *geometric phase* [5] and *quantum Hall effect* [6] in quantum mechanics and many others [7, 8].

The topological or global properties of a manifold are the properties that are not sensitive to continuous deformations of the manifold. Thus they do not directly depend on the geometry of the manifold. The same is true for fibre bundles, namely the topology of a fibre bundle is independent of the details of a geometry (connection) on the bundle. It is precisely this point that makes it so difficult to obtain quantitative measures of the topological properties of these spaces. The quatities that give topological information about a manifold or a fibre bundle are called *topological invariants*. The Atiyah-Singer index theorem is one of the few mathematical results that provides closed formulas for a large class of topological invariants.

A simple example of a topological invariant is the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of a Riemann surface [7, 8]. The Euler-Poincaré characteristic is related to the genus of the Riemann surface. On the other hand, a result known as the Gauss-Bonnet theorem yields the Euler-Poincaré characteristic as an integral of the Gaussian curvature over the whole surface. The Gauss-Bonnet theorem and its generalization to arbitrary closed Riemannian manifolds are the best known examples of index theorems.[2]

A brief description of the index theory for arbitrary elliptic complexes over closed manifolds is peresented in Appendix A. There, the general statement of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem is given and it is argued that a result of K-theory reduces the proof of the general theorem to that of the twisted spin index theorem. This is a fundamental result which is used in the supersymmetric proofs of the index theorem.

---

[2] See Appendix A.9 for the details.

A review of the supersymmetric quantum mechanics is presented in Appendix B. The idea of using supersymmetric quantum mechanics to give proofs of index theorems was originally suggested by Witten who had discovered the relation between the two subjects in his study of supersymmetry breaking, [9]. Windey [10] and Alvarez-Gaume [11, 12, 13] provided the first supersymmetric proofs of the index theorem. Few years later, WKB methods were applied to give an alternative approach to the path integral evaluation of the index by Mañes and Zumino [14]. The same was achieved in a concise paper by Goodman [15] who used the mode expansion techniques. Among other remarkable works on the subject are the mathematical papers of Getzler [16] and Bismut [17], and a superspace approach by Friedan and Windey [18]. Other related articles are listed in [19]. [3]

In the rest of this chapter, I shall briefly review the index theorem and give the statements of the spin and the twisted spin index theorems. Next, I shall describe the relevance of the supersymmetric quantum mechanics to the index theorem. In Chapter 2, I shall present a new supersymmetric proof of the index theorem. This proof relies only on a universal definition of a Gaussian superdeterminant. I shall follow the Peierls quantization scheme [21] to quantize a particular superclassical system. I will then demonstrate the identity of the Witten index of the corresponding quantum system and the index of the twisted Dirac operator. Next I shall compute the "measure" of the path integral exactly. This involves a direct evaluation of $sdet\, G^+$, where G^+ is the advanced Green's function for the Jacobi operator [21]. I shall then derive the full index formula by explicitly evaluating the Feynman propagator of the theory. The advantage of this approach is that unlike the earlier supersymmetric proofs, it does not involve any complicated expansion-approximation techniques. Moreover, it allows to address many unresolved problems such as the problem of factor ordering and the existence of the factor $(sdet\, G^+)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in the path integral "measure." Another important issue is the existence of the scalar curvature factor in the Schrödinger equation. This will be addressed in Chapter 3. Here, I shall present the analysis of the path integral upto and including the two-loop order terms. The result demonstrates a perfect match between the loop expansion and the heat kernel expansion of the path integral. It also serves as an important consistency check on the validity of the supersymmetric proof of the index theorem presented in Chapter 2. Finally, the dissertation is supplemented with four appendices. Appendix A includes a mathematical review of the general index theorem. Appendix B is devoted to a review of Witten's supersymmetric quantum mechanics. Appendices C and D offer a detailed exposition of the derivation of some of the results used in Chapter 2. In particular, Appendix D offers a discussion of the end point contribution to the coherent state path integral. The technique introduced here allows for a unified expression for the path integral by automatically including the end point contributions in the action functional.

---

[3] The original proof of Atiyah and Singer was published in a series of classical papers in 1960's, [20]. They were rewarded the field medal in mathematics for their achievments in the index theory in 1966.

The Plank's constant $\hbar$ will be set to 1 except in Sections 2.1 and 3.1 where it is retained for convenience.

1.1 Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem

The Atiyah-Singer index theorem is one of the most substantial achievements of modern mathematics. It has been a developing subject since its original proof by Atiyah and Singer, [20]. A clear exposition of the index theorem is given in the classic paper of Atiyah, Bott, and Patodi, [22]. For the historical origins of the index theory, see [23]. Several mathematical proofs of the index theorem and its variations and generalizations are available in the literature, [24, 25, 26, 27, 28]. A common feature of all these proofs is the use of K-theory, [29, 30, 31]. In particular, the original cobordism proof [20], the celebrated heat kernal proof [22, 26], and the supersymmetric proofs, [10, 13], of the index theorem are based on a result of K-theory which reduces the proof of the "general" index theorem to the special case of twisted signature or alternatively twisted spin index theorems, [22, 25, 26, 27].

In "general", one has an elliptic differential operator,

$$D : \mathcal{C}^\infty(V_+) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(V_-) \tag{1.1}$$

between the spaces of sections of two hermitian vector bundles [4] V_+ and V_-

---

[4] A hermitian vector bundle is a complex vector bundle which is endowed with a her-

over a closed [5] Riemannian manifold, M. Alternatively one can speak of the short elliptic complex:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(V_+) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{C}^\infty(V_-) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The index theorem provides a closed formula for the analytic index of D. The latter is defined by

$$index(D) := dim[ker(D)] - dim[coker(D)] \ . \tag{1.2}$$

The symbols dim, ker, and coker are abbreviations of *dimension, kernel*, and *cokernel*, respectively. Indices of elliptic operators are of great interest in mathematics and physics because they are topological invariants.[6]

The "general" index formula computes the index as an integral involving some characteristic classes associated with the vector bundles $V_\pm$, the base manifold M, and the operator D. A more thorough review of the general index theorem and the related subjects is provided in Appendix A. Here, I shall concentrate on the special case of the *twisted (or generalized) spin index theorem.*

Let M be a closed $m = 2l$-dimensional spin manifold, [7]. Let $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}^-$ denote the spin bundle of M. The sections of $\mathcal{S}^\pm$ are called the ± chirality spinors. Let $\not{D} : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{S}) \to \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{S})$ be the Dirac operator [25, 26, 7, 30], and $\not{\partial} := \not{D}\,|_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{S}^+)}$. The short complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{S}^+) \xrightarrow{\not{\partial}} \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{S}^-) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.3}$$

is called the spin complex.

Theorem 1 *Let $\not{\partial}$ be as in (1.3), and define the so-called $\hat{A}$-genus density:*

$$\hat{A}(M) := \prod_{i=1}^{l} \left[\frac{\frac{\Omega_i}{4\pi}}{\sinh(\frac{\Omega_i}{4\pi})} \right] \ , \tag{1.4}$$

where Ω_i are the 2-forms defined by block-diagonalizing [7] *the curvature 2-form:*

$$\Omega := (\tfrac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu\gamma\lambda} dx^\gamma \wedge dx^\lambda) =: diag\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Omega_i \\ -\Omega_i & 0 \end{bmatrix} : i = 1 \cdots l \right). \tag{1.5}$$

---

mitian metric and a compatible connection, see Appendix A.1 for details.

[5] compact, without boundary

[6] According to Palais, this was originally noticed by Gel'fand, [24].

[7] The block diagonalization is always possible since Ω is antisymmetric.

Then,

$$index(\not{\partial}) = \int_M \left[\hat{A}(M)\right]_{\rm top} . \tag{1.6}$$

In (1.6), "top" means that the highest rank form in the power series expansion of (1.4) is integrated.

Let V be a hermitian vector bundle with fiber dimension n, base manifold M, and connection 1-form A. Then, the operator:

$$\not{D}_V : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{S}^\pm) \otimes \mathcal{C}^\infty(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{S}^\mp) \otimes \mathcal{C}^\infty(V)$$

defined by

$$\not{D}_V(\Psi \otimes v) := \not{D}(\Psi) \otimes v + (-1)^\Psi \Psi \otimes D_A(v), \tag{1.7}$$

is an elliptic operator, called the *twisted Dirac* operator. In (1.7), $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{S}^\pm) \Leftrightarrow (-1)^\Psi = \pm 1$, $v \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(V)$, and D_A is the covariant derivative operator defined by A, [26]. Also define

$$\not{\partial}_V := \not{D}_V \mid_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{S}^+) \otimes \mathcal{C}^\infty(V)} . \tag{1.8}$$

The twisted spin complex is the following short complex:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{S}^+ \otimes V) \xrightarrow{\not{\partial}_V} \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{S}^- \otimes V) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{1.9}$$

Theorem 2 *Let* $\not{\partial}_V$ *be as in (1.8), and*

$$ch(V) := tr\left[\exp\frac{iF}{2\pi}\right] \tag{1.10}$$

be the Chern character of V*. Then,*

$$index(\not{\partial}_V) = \int_M \left[ch(V).\hat{A}(M)\right]_{top} . \tag{1.11}$$

In (1.10), F is the curvature 2-form of the connection 1-form A (written in a basis of the structure Lie algebra of V):

$$F := \left(\tfrac{1}{2} F^{ab}_{\lambda\gamma}\, dx^\lambda \wedge dx^\gamma\right) . \tag{1.12}$$

Throughout this dissertation, the Greek indices refer to the coordinates of M, they run through $1, ..., m = dim(M)$, and the indices from the beginning of the Latin alphabet refer to the fibre coordinates of V and, hence, run through $1, ..., n$.

1.2 Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics and the Index Theorem

A review of the supersymmetric quantum mechanics is provided in Appendix B. The relevance of the index theory to supersymmetry has been discussed in almost every article written in this subject in the past ten years. The idea is to realize the parallelism between the constructions involved in the index theory, (1.1), and the supersymmetric quantum mechanics. In the latter, the Hilbert (Fock) space is the direct sum of the spaces of the bosonic and the fermionic state vectors. These correspond to the spaces of sections of $V_\pm$ in (1.1). Moreover, the supersymmetric charge $\mathcal{Q}$ plays the role of the elliptic operator D, and the Hamiltonian H is the analog of the Laplacian Δ of D. One has:

$$\Delta := \{D, D^\dagger\},$$

and

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^\dagger\}. \tag{1.13}$$

Here, "$\dagger$" denotes the adjoint of the corresponding operator. (1.13) is also known as the superalgebra condition. Moreover, one defines selfadjoint supersymmetric charges [8], Q_α [32], in terms of which (1.13) becomes:

$$H = Q_\alpha^2 \ , \quad \text{for all } \ \alpha = 1, \cdots, 2N \ . \tag{1.14}$$

The next step is to recall

$$coker(D) = ker(D^\dagger) \ ,$$

$$ker(\Delta) = ker(D) \oplus ker(D^\dagger)$$

and use (1.2), and (1.10) to define:

$$index_W := n_{b,0} - n_{f,0}.$$

Here"W" refers to Witten [9] and $n_{b,0}$ and $n_{f,0}$ denote the number of the zero-energy bosonic and fermionic eigenstates of H. Realizing that due to supersymmetry any excited energy eigenstate has a superpartner, one has the following set of equalities:

---

[8] $\alpha = 1, ..., 2N$, where N is the number of nonselfadjoint charges (type N-SUSY). See Appendix B for details.

$$\begin{aligned} index_W &= n_b - n_f \\ &= tr[(-1)^f] \\ &= tr[(-1)^f e^{-i\beta H}] \\ &=: str[e^{-i\beta H}]. \end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

In (1.15), n_b and n_f denote the number of the bosonic and fermionic energy eigenstates, and f is the fermion number operator. See Appendix B and [9, 32, 13] for more detailed discussions of (1.15).

The appearance of $e^{-i\beta H}$ in (1.15) is quite interesting. It had, however, been noticed long before supersymmetry was introduced in physics. The heat kernel proof of the index theorem is essentially based on (1.15), [22, 27, 26] [9]. The major advantage of the supersymmetric proofs is that one can compute $index_W$ using its path integral representation. In particular, since $index_W$ is independent of β, the WKB approximation, i.e. the first term in the loop expansion, yields the index immediately.

1.3 Remarks and Discussion

In principle, one must be able to construct a supersymmetric quantum system associated with any given elliptic operator D such that the corresponding Witten index equals $index(D)$. However, in practice this is proven to be extremely difficult. The main reason for this difficulty is that in general D may be of arbitrary order. This means that the quantum mechanical Hamiltonian, if it can be constructed, would involve fourth and higher order terms in momenta. This in turn makes the benefits of the ordinary path integration techniques[10] unaccessible. Nonetheless, one can still attack the general index problem by specializing to the twisted spin index theorem. According

---

[9] In the heat kernel proof, one computes the *index* using the formula:

$$index = tr[e^{-\beta\Delta_+}] - tr[e^{-\beta\Delta_-}] \; ,$$

where $\Delta_+ := D^\dagger D$ and $\Delta_- := D\, D^\dagger$. See Appendix A.12 for further details.

[10] By this I mean the path integral techniques developed for the quadratic systems in bosonic momenta.

to Appendix A.11, this leads to a proof of the general index theorem via K-theory. In this respect, the supersymmetric proofs are as indirect as the mathematical proofs of the index theorem. The distinguishing feature of the supersymmetric proofs is that they are considerably shorter and, at the same time, conceptually simpler than the other proofs.

The purpose of this dissertation is to provide a rigorous supersymmetric proof of the index theorem and more importantly address the fundamental issues regarding the physical techniques that are developed and used in this proof.

The approach adopted here is a generalization of the supersymmetric proof of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem due to DeWitt, [21]. In this work, the Peierls bracket quantization is explained and applied to many interesting examples, in particular, to the supersymmetric system described by the Lagrangian:

$$L = \tfrac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu + \tfrac{i}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \psi^\mu_\alpha \frac{D}{dt}(\psi^\nu_\alpha) + \tfrac{1}{8} R_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau} \psi^\mu_\alpha \psi^\nu_\alpha \psi^\sigma_\beta \psi^\tau_\beta \tag{1.16}$$

$$\alpha, \beta = 1, 2 \qquad\qquad \mu, \nu, \sigma, \tau = 1, \cdots, m \ .$$

The configuration space of (1.16) is an $(m, 2m)$ dimensional supermanifold [21] with x and ψ denoting its bosonic (commuting) and fermionic (anticommuting) coordinates, respectively. The quantization of this system leads to a rigorous supersymmetric proof of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, [21, §6].

The main difference of the analysis of the system of (1.16) with the systems studied in Chapter 2 and 3 is in the path integral evaluation of the index. The mathematical generality and significance of the twisted spin index theorem reveals its presence in the form of different nontrivial aspects of path integration techniques used in its proof. Some of these are discussed in Section 2.6 and Appendix D.

The earlier supersymmetric proofs of the index theorem followed the canonical quantization program. One of the difficulties of the canonical quantization of a superclassical system is that the fermionic momentum and coordinate variables are not independent. Thus, one needs to consider the appropriate (fermionic) first class constraints [15]. In the Peierls quantization scheme this problem is completely avoided. This is because, in the Peierls program there is no need to define momentum variables to carry out the quantization. This also has practical advantages in handling the bosonic variables, e.g., see Section 2.1.

Chapter 2

Supersymmetric Proof of the Index Theorem

Abstract

The Peierls brackect quantization scheme is applied to the supersymmetric system corresponding to the twisted spin index theorem. A detailed study of the quantum system is presented, and the Feynman propagator is exactly computed. The Green's function and functional integral methods provide a direct derivation of the index as a single universal superdeterminant.

2.1 The Superclassical System and Its Quantization

Consider a superclassical system described by the action functional $S = S[\Phi]$, with $\Phi = (\Phi^i)$ denoting the coordinate (field) variables. The dynamical equations are given by $\delta S = 0$, i.e.

$$S_{,i} := S[\Phi] \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta \Phi^i(t)} = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Throughout this dissertation the condensed notation of [21] is used where appropriate. The following example demonstrates most of the conventions:

$${}_{i,}S_{,j'}G^{j'k''} \equiv \int dt' \left(\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta \Phi^i(t)} S[\Phi] \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta \Phi^j(t')} \right) G^{jk}(t', t'').$$

In general, the second functional derivatives of S "are" second order differential operators [1], e.g. see (2.14) below. Let $G^{\pm i'k''}$ denote the corresponding advanced and retarded Green's functions:

$${}_{i,}S_{,j'}G^{\pm j'k''} := -\delta(t-t'')\delta_i^k \tag{2.2}$$

where $\delta(t-t'')$ and δ_i^k are the Dirac and Kronecker delta functions, respectively. Furthermore, define:

$$\tilde{G}^{jk'} := G^{+jk'} - G^{-jk'} \ . \tag{2.3}$$

Then, the Peierls bracket [33, 21] of any two scalar fields, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, of Φ is defined by:

$$(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}') := \mathcal{A}_{,i}\, \tilde{G}^{ij'}{}_{j',}\mathcal{B}. \tag{2.4}$$

In particular, one has

$$(\Phi^i, \Phi^{j'}) := \tilde{G}^{ij'}. \tag{2.5}$$

The Green's functions $G^{\pm ij'}$ satisfy the following reciprocity relation [21],

$$G^{-ij'} = (-1)^{ij'} G^{+j'i}. \tag{2.6}$$

In (2.6), the indices i and j' in $(-1)^{ij'}$ are either 0 or 1 depending on whether $\Phi^i(\Phi^{j'})$ is a bosonic or fermionic variable, respectively.

The quantization is performed by promoting the superclassical quantities to the operators acting on a Hilbert space and forming a superalgebra defined by the following supercommutator:

$$[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}]_{\text{super}} := i\hbar(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \ , \tag{2.7}$$

where the right hand side is defined up to factor ordering.

---

[1] These are called the Jacobi operators.

The superclassical system of interest is represented by the Lagrangian [10, 13]:

$$\begin{aligned} L \;=\; & \left[\tfrac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(x)\dot{x}^{\mu}\dot{x}^{\nu} + \tfrac{i}{2}g_{\lambda\gamma}(x)\psi^{\lambda}\frac{D}{dt}\psi^{\gamma}\right]_1 + \\ & +\kappa\left[i\eta^{a*}\left(\dot{\eta}^{a} + \dot{x}^{\sigma}A^{ab}_{\sigma}(x)\eta^{b}\right) + \tfrac{1}{2}F^{ab}_{\lambda\gamma}(x)\psi^{\lambda}\psi^{\gamma}\eta^{a*}\eta^{b}\right]_2 + \\ & + \left[\tfrac{\alpha}{\beta}\eta^{a*}\eta^{a}\right]_3 . \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

In (2.8), x^{μ} are the bosonic variables corresponding to the coordinates of M. $g_{\mu\nu}$ are components of the metric tensor on M. ψ^{λ} and η^{a} are fermionic real and complex variables associated with the bundles $\mathcal{S}$ and V, respectively. A^{ab}_{μ} and $F^{ab}_{\lambda\gamma}$ are the components of the connection 1-form and the curvature 2-form on V (written in an orthonormal basis of the structure Lie algebra). One has the following well-known relation in the Lie algebra:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = A_{\nu},_{\mu} - A_{\mu},_{\nu} + [A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}]. \tag{2.9}$$

Both A_{μ} and $F_{\mu\nu}$ in (2.9) are antihermitian matrices. This makes L real up to total time derivatives. In (2.8), $\kappa = 0, 1$ correspond to switching off and on of the twisting, respectively. α is a scalar parameter whose utility will be discussed in Section 2.2. β is the time parameter: $t \in [0, \beta]$. Finally, "dot" means ordinary time derivative $\frac{d}{dt}$, and $\frac{D}{dt}$ denotes the covariant time derivative defined by the Levi Civita connection, e.g.

$$\frac{D}{dt}\psi^{\gamma} := \dot{\psi}^{\gamma} + \dot{x}^{\mu}\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\mu\theta}\psi^{\theta}.$$

The following set of infinitesimal supersymmetric transformations,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta x^{\mu} &= i\psi^{\mu}\delta\xi \\ \delta\psi^{\gamma} &= \dot{x}^{\gamma}\delta\xi \\ \delta\eta^{a} &= iA^{ab}_{\gamma}\psi^{\gamma}\eta^{b}\delta\xi \\ \delta\eta^{a*} &= -iA^{ba}_{\gamma}\psi^{\gamma}\eta^{b*}\delta\xi \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

$\delta\xi :=$ an infinitesimal fermionic variable

leaves the action

$$S := \int_0^{\beta} L dt \tag{2.11}$$

invariant. Hence, the system is supersymmetric. It is easy to see that the first two equations in (2.10) leave $L_1 := [...]_1$ in (2.8) invariant. Thus, for $\kappa = \alpha = 0$, one has a supersymmetric subsystem. This subsystem will be used to compute the index of spin complex (the Dirac $\hat{A}$ genus) in Section 2.4. L_1 can also be obtained from (1.16) by reducing the system of (1.16) by setting $\psi_1^\mu = \psi_2^\mu$, for all μ.

The Dynamical equations (2.1) are:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{,\tau} &= -g_{\mu\tau}\frac{D}{dt}\dot{x}^\mu + i\Gamma_{\epsilon\gamma\tau}\psi^\gamma\frac{D}{dt}\psi^\epsilon + \tfrac{i}{2}R_{\epsilon\gamma\sigma\tau}\dot{x}^\sigma\psi^\gamma\psi^\epsilon + \\
&\quad +\kappa\Big[-iA^{ab}_\tau(\dot{\eta}^{a*}\eta^b + \eta^{a*}\dot{\eta}^b) + i\dot{x}^\sigma(A^{ab}_{\sigma,\tau} - A^{ab}_{\tau,\sigma})\eta^{a*}\eta^b + \\
&\qquad + \tfrac{1}{2}F^{ab}_{\gamma\epsilon,\tau}\psi^\gamma\psi^\epsilon\eta^{a*}\eta^b\Big] = 0 \qquad (2.12)\\
S_{,\epsilon} &= g_{\epsilon\gamma}\frac{D}{dt}\psi^\gamma + \kappa[iF^{ab}_{\gamma\epsilon}\psi^\gamma\eta^{a*}\eta^b] = 0\\
S_{,a} &= \kappa[i\dot{\eta}^a + i\dot{x}^\sigma A^{ab}_\sigma\eta^b + \tfrac{1}{2}F^{ab}_{\gamma\epsilon}\psi^\gamma\psi^\epsilon\eta^b] + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\eta^a = 0\\
S_{,b*} &= \kappa[-i\dot{\eta}^{b*} + i\dot{x}^\sigma A^{ab}_\sigma\eta^{a*} + \tfrac{1}{2}F^{ab}_{\gamma\epsilon}\psi^\gamma\psi^\epsilon\eta^{a*}] + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\eta^{b*} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

where the indices from the beginning of the Greek alphabet $(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon, \theta, \eta)$ label ψ's and those of the middle of the Greek alphabet $(\kappa, \cdots)$ label x's, e.g.

$$S_{,\tau} := S\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta x^\tau} \qquad \text{and} \qquad S_{,\epsilon} := S\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\psi^\epsilon}.$$

Similarly,

$$S_{,a} := S\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\eta^a} \qquad \text{and} \qquad S_{,a*} := S\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\eta^{a*}}.$$

The supersymmetric charge Q corresponding to (2.10) is given by

$$Q \propto g_{\gamma\mu}\psi^\gamma\dot{x}^\mu. \qquad (2.13)$$

The second functional derivatives of the action are listed in the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_{\tau,}S_{,\pi'} &= \Big[-g_{\tau\pi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \Big(-2\Gamma_{\tau\pi\mu}\dot{x}^\mu + \tfrac{i}{2}R_{\epsilon\gamma\pi\tau}\psi^\gamma\psi^\epsilon + \\
&\quad + i\kappa(A^{ab}_{\pi,\tau} - A^{ab}_{\tau,\pi})\eta^{a*}\eta^b + i\Gamma_{\epsilon\gamma\tau}\Gamma^\epsilon_{\delta\pi}\psi^\gamma\psi^\delta\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + C_{\tau\pi}\Big]\delta(t-t')
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_{\tau,}S_{,\gamma'} &= \left[i\Gamma_{\gamma\tau\delta}\psi^{\delta}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + C_{\tau\gamma}\right]\delta(t-t') \\
{}_{\tau,}S_{,a'} &= \kappa\left[-iA^{ca}_{\tau}\eta^{c*}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + C_{\tau a}\right]\delta(t-t') \\
{}_{\tau,}S_{,a^{*\prime}} &= \kappa\left[iA^{ac}_{\tau}\eta^{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + C_{\tau a^*}\right]\delta(t-t') \\
{}_{\epsilon,}S_{,\pi'} &= \left[i\Gamma_{\epsilon\pi\delta}\psi^{\delta}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + C_{\epsilon\pi}\right]\delta(t-t') \\
{}_{\epsilon,}S_{,\gamma'} &= \left[ig_{\epsilon\gamma}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + C_{\epsilon\gamma}\right]\delta(t-t') \\
{}_{\epsilon,}S_{,a'} &= \kappa\left[C_{\epsilon a}\right]\delta(t-t') \qquad (2.14) \\
{}_{\epsilon,}S_{,a^{*\prime}} &= \kappa\left[C_{\epsilon a^*}\right]\delta(t-t') \\
{}_{b,}S_{,\pi'} &= \kappa\left[-iA^{cb}_{\pi}\eta^{c*}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + C_{b\pi}\right]\delta(t-t') \\
{}_{b,}S_{,\gamma'} &= \kappa\left[C_{b\gamma}\right]\delta(t-t') \\
{}_{b,}S_{,a'} &= \kappa\left[C_{ba}\right]\delta(t-t') \\
{}_{b,}S_{,a^{*\prime}} &= \kappa\left[i\delta_{ba}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + C_{ba^*}\right]\delta(t-t') \\
{}_{b^*,}S_{,\pi'} &= \kappa\left[iA^{bc}_{\pi}\eta^{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + C_{b^*\pi}\right]\delta(t-t') \\
{}_{b^*,}S_{,\gamma'} &= \kappa\left[C_{b^*\gamma}\right]\delta(t-t') \\
{}_{b^*,}S_{,a'} &= \kappa\left[i\delta_{ba}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + C_{b^*a}\right]\delta(t-t') \\
{}_{b^*,}S_{,a^{*\prime}} &= \kappa\left[C_{b^*a^*}\right]\delta(t-t')\ .
\end{aligned}
$$

In (2.14) C_{ij}'s [2] are terms which do not involve any time derivative. These terms do not actually contribute to the equal-time commutation relations of interest, (2.22). However, they will contribute in part to $sdet[G^{+ij}]$ in Section 2.3. One has:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{\tau\pi} \;:=\; & -g_{\mu\tau,\pi}\ddot{x}^{\mu} - \Gamma_{\tau\mu\nu,\pi}\dot{x}^{\nu}\dot{x}^{\mu} + i\Gamma_{\epsilon\gamma\tau,\pi}\psi^{\gamma}\dot{\psi}^{\epsilon} + i(\Gamma_{\epsilon\gamma\tau}\Gamma^{\epsilon}_{\delta\sigma})_{,\pi}\dot{x}^{\sigma}\psi^{\gamma}\psi^{\delta} + \\
& + \frac{i}{2}R_{\epsilon\gamma\sigma\tau,\pi}\dot{x}^{\sigma}\psi^{\gamma}\psi^{\epsilon} + \kappa\left[-iA^{cd}_{\tau,\pi}(\dot{\eta}^{c*}\eta^{d} + \eta^{c*}\dot{\eta}^{d}) + \right.
\end{aligned}
$$

---

[2] $\Phi^i \in (x^{\mu}, \psi^{\gamma}, \eta^{a}, \eta^{b*})$ are generic variables.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& & & +i(A^{cd}_{\sigma,\tau\pi} - A^{cd}_{\tau,\sigma\pi})\dot{x}^{\sigma}\eta^{c*}\eta^{d} + \tfrac{1}{2}F^{cd}_{\gamma\epsilon,\tau\pi}\psi^{\gamma}\psi^{\epsilon}\eta^{c*}\eta^{d}\Big] \\
C_{\tau\gamma} &:=& & -i\Gamma_{\epsilon\gamma\tau}\dot{\psi}^{\epsilon} + i(\Gamma_{\epsilon\delta\tau}\Gamma^{\epsilon}_{\gamma\sigma} - \Gamma_{\epsilon\gamma\tau}\Gamma^{\epsilon}_{\delta\sigma})\dot{x}^{\sigma}\psi^{\delta} + iR_{\gamma\epsilon\delta\tau}\dot{x}^{\sigma}\psi^{\epsilon} + \\
& & & +\kappa\left[F^{cd}_{\epsilon\gamma,\tau}\psi^{\epsilon}\eta^{c*}\eta^{d}\right] \\
C_{\tau a} &:=& & -iA^{ca}_{\tau}\dot{\eta}^{c*} + i(A^{ca}_{\sigma,\tau} - A^{ca}_{\tau,\sigma})\dot{x}^{\sigma}\eta^{c*} + \tfrac{1}{2}F^{ca}_{\gamma\epsilon,\tau}\psi^{\gamma}\psi^{\epsilon}\eta^{c*} \\
C_{\tau a^*} &:=& & iA^{ac}_{\tau}\dot{\eta}^{c} - i(A^{ac}_{\sigma,\tau} - A^{ac}_{\tau,\sigma})\dot{x}^{\sigma}\eta^{c} + \tfrac{1}{2}F^{a^*c}_{\gamma\epsilon}\psi^{\gamma}\psi^{\epsilon}\eta^{c} \\
C_{\epsilon\pi} &:=& & i\Gamma_{\epsilon\delta\mu,\pi}\dot{x}^{\mu}\psi^{\delta} + ig_{\epsilon\delta,\pi}\dot{\psi}^{\delta} - \kappa\left[F^{cd}_{\delta\epsilon,\pi}\psi^{\delta}\eta^{c*}\eta^{d}\right] \\
C_{\epsilon\gamma} &:=& & i\Gamma_{\epsilon\gamma\mu}\dot{x}^{\mu} + \kappa F^{ab}_{\epsilon\gamma}\eta^{a*}\eta^{b} \\
C_{\epsilon a} &:=& & -F^{ca}_{\delta\epsilon}\psi^{\delta}\eta^{c*} \qquad (2.15) \\
C_{\epsilon a^*} &:=& & F^{ac}_{\delta\epsilon}\psi^{\delta}\eta^{c} \\
C_{b\pi} &:=& & -iA^{cb}_{\sigma,\pi}\dot{x}^{\sigma}\eta^{c*} - \tfrac{1}{2}F^{cb}_{\gamma\epsilon}\psi^{\gamma}\psi^{\epsilon}\eta^{c*} \\
C_{b\gamma} &:=& & F^{cb}_{\delta\gamma}\psi^{\delta}\eta^{c*} \\
C_{ba} &:=& & 0 \\
C_{ba^*} &:=& & -iA^{ab}_{\sigma}\dot{x}^{\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{2}F^{ab}_{\delta\theta}\psi^{\delta}\psi^{\theta} - \tfrac{\alpha}{\kappa\beta}\delta^{ab} \\
C_{b^*\pi} &:=& & iA^{bc}_{\sigma,\pi}\dot{x}^{\sigma}\eta^{c} + \tfrac{1}{2}F^{bc}_{\gamma\epsilon,\pi}\psi^{\gamma}\psi^{\epsilon}\eta^{c} \\
C_{b^*\gamma} &:=& & -F^{bc}_{\delta\gamma}\psi^{\delta}\eta^{c} \\
C_{b^*a} &:=& & iA^{ba}_{\sigma}\dot{x}^{\sigma} + \tfrac{1}{2}F^{ba}_{\delta\theta}\psi^{\delta}\psi^{\theta} + \tfrac{\alpha}{\kappa\beta}\delta^{ba} \\
C_{b^*a^*} &:=& & 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

The advanced Green's functions $G^{+ij'}$ are calculated using (2.2) and (2.14). The results are listed below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{+\xi\pi'} &=& & \theta(t'-t)\Big[-g^{\xi'\pi'}(t-t') + \tfrac{1}{2}g^{\xi'\tau'}\Big(2\Gamma_{\tau'\nu'\mu'}\dot{x}^{\mu'} - \tfrac{i}{2}R_{\nu'\tau'\epsilon'\gamma'}\psi^{\gamma'}\psi^{\epsilon'} - \\
& & & +i\kappa F^{a'b'}_{\tau'\nu'}\eta^{a*'}\eta^{b'}\Big)g^{\nu'\pi'}(t-t')^2 + O(t-t')^3\Big] \\
G^{+\xi\gamma'} &=& & \theta(t'-t)\left[g^{\xi'\tau'}\Gamma^{\gamma'}_{\tau'\epsilon'}\psi^{\epsilon'}(t-t') + O(t-t')^2\right] \\
G^{+\xi a'} &=& & \theta(t'-t)\left[g^{\xi'\tau'}A^{a'c'}_{\tau'}\eta^{c'}(t-t') + O(t-t')^2\right] \\
G^{+\xi a^{*'}} &=& & \theta(t'-t)\left[g^{\xi'\tau'}A^{c'a^{*'}}_{\tau'}\eta^{c*'}(t-t') + O(t-t')^2\right] \\
G^{+\epsilon\pi'} &=& & \theta(t'-t)\left[g^{\pi'\tau'}\Gamma^{\epsilon'}_{\tau'\delta'}\psi^{\delta'}(t-t') + O(t-t')^2\right] \\
G^{+\epsilon\gamma'} &=& & \theta(t'-t)\left[-ig^{\epsilon'\gamma'} + O(t-t')\right] \\
G^{+\epsilon a'} &=& & \theta(t'-t)\left[O(t-t')\right] \qquad (2.16)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
G^{+\epsilon a^{*\prime}} & = & \theta(t'-t)\,[O(t-t')] \\
G^{+b\pi'} & = & \theta(t'-t)\left[g^{\pi'\tau'}A^{b'c'}_{\tau'}\eta^{c'}(t-t')+O(t-t')\right] \\
G^{+b\gamma'} & = & \theta(t'-t)\,[O(t-t')] \\
G^{+ba'} & = & \theta(t'-t)\,[O(t-t')] \\
G^{+ba^{*\prime}} & = & \theta(t'-t)\left[-\frac{i}{\kappa}\delta^{b'a'}+O(t-t')\right] \\
G^{+b^*\pi'} & = & \theta(t'-t)\left[-g^{\pi'\tau'}A^{c'b'}_{\tau'}\eta^{c*'}(t-t')+O(t-t')^2\right] \\
G^{+b^*\gamma'} & = & \theta(t'-t)\,[O(t-t')] \\
G^{+b^*a'} & = & \theta(t'-t)\left[-\frac{i}{\kappa}\delta^{b'a'}+O(t-t')\right] \\
G^{+b^*a^{*\prime}} & = & \theta(t'-t)\,[O(t-t')]\ .
\end{array}$$

The Green's functions $G^{-ij'}$ and $\tilde{G}^{ij'}$ are then obtained using (2.6) and (2.3), respectively. Substituting the latter in (2.5) leads to the following Peierls brackets:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
(x^\xi, x^{\pi'}) & = & -g^{\xi'\pi'}(t-t')+\frac{1}{2}g^{\xi'\tau'}\Big(2\Gamma_{\tau'\nu'\mu'}\dot{x}^{\mu'}-\frac{i}{2}R_{\nu'\tau'\epsilon'\gamma'}\psi^{\gamma'}\psi^{\epsilon'}+ \\
 & & -i\kappa F^{a'b'}_{\tau'\nu'}\eta^{a^{*\prime}}\eta^{b'}\Big)\,g^{\nu'\pi'}(t-t')^2+O(t-t')^3 \\
(x^\xi, \psi^{\gamma'}) & = & g^{\xi'\tau'}\Gamma^{\gamma'}_{\tau'\delta'}\psi^{\delta'}(t-t')+O(t-t')^2 \\
(x^\xi, \eta^{a'}) & = & g^{\xi'\tau'}A^{a'c'}_{\tau'}\eta^{c'}(t-t')+O(t-t')^2 \\
(x^\xi, \eta^{a*'}) & = & -g^{\xi'\tau'}A^{c'a'}_{\tau'}\eta^{c*'}(t-t')+O(t-t')^2 \\
(\psi^\epsilon, \psi^{\gamma'}) & = & -ig^{\epsilon'\gamma'}+O(t-t') \\
(\eta^b, \eta^{a*'}) & = & -\frac{i}{\kappa}\delta^{b'a'}+O(t-t')\ .
\end{array} \qquad (2.17)$$

Other possible Peierls brackets are all of the order $(t-t')$ or higher. Differentiating the necessary expressions in (2.17) with respect to t and t', one arrives at the Peierls brackets among the coordinates (x, ψ, η, η^*) and their time derivatives. The interesting equal-time Peierls brackets are the following:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
(x^\xi, x^\pi) & = & (x^\xi, \psi^\gamma) = (x^\xi, \eta^a) = (x^\xi, \eta^{a*}) = 0 \\
(\psi^\gamma, \eta^a) & = & (\psi^\gamma, \eta^{a*}) = (\eta^a, \eta^b) = (\eta^{a*}, \eta^{b*}) = 0 \\
(\psi^\epsilon, \psi^\gamma) & = & -ig^{\epsilon\gamma} \\
(\eta^a, \eta^{b*}) & = & -\frac{i}{\kappa}\delta^{ab}
\end{array} \qquad (2.18)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\dot{x}^\xi, x^\pi) &= -g^{\xi\pi} \\
(\dot{x}^\xi, \dot{x}^\pi) &= g^{\xi\tau}\left[(\Gamma_{\nu\tau\mu}-\Gamma_{\tau\nu\mu})\dot{x}^\mu + \tfrac{i}{2}R_{\tau\nu\epsilon\gamma}\psi^\epsilon\psi^\gamma + i\kappa F^{ab}_{\tau\nu}\eta^{a*}\eta^b\right] g^{\nu\pi} \\
(\dot{x}^\xi, \psi^\gamma) &= g^{\xi\tau}\Gamma^\gamma_{\tau\epsilon}\psi^\epsilon \\
(\dot{x}^\xi, \eta^a) &= g^{\xi\tau}A^{ac}_\tau\eta^c \\
(\dot{x}^\xi, \eta^{a*}) &= -g^{\xi\tau}A^{ca}_\tau\eta^{c*}\ .
\end{aligned}
$$

The next step is to define the appropriate momenta conjugate to x^ν. The canonical momenta are given by:

$$
p_\nu^{(\mathrm{canonical})} := L\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial\dot{x}^\nu} = g_{\nu\mu}\dot{x}^\mu + \tfrac{i}{2}\Gamma_{\epsilon\gamma\nu}\psi^\epsilon\psi^\gamma + i\kappa A^{ab}_\nu\eta^{a*}\eta^b. \tag{2.19}
$$

A more practical choice is provided by:

$$
p_\nu := g_{\nu\mu}\dot{x}^\mu\ . \tag{2.20}
$$

This choice together with the use of (2.4) and (2.18) lead to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(p_\nu, x^\mu) &= -\delta^\mu_\nu \\
(p_\nu, \psi^\gamma) &= \Gamma^\gamma_{\nu\epsilon}\psi^\epsilon \\
(p_\nu, \eta^a) &= A^{ac}_\nu\eta^c \\
(p_\nu, \eta^{a*}) &= -A^{ca}_\nu\eta^{c*} \\
(p_\nu, p_\mu) &= \tfrac{i}{2}R_{\nu\mu\epsilon\gamma}\psi^\epsilon\psi^\gamma + \kappa\left[iF^{ab}_{\nu\mu}\eta^{a*}\eta^b\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}
$$

The quantization is performed via (2.7). Enforcing (2.7) and using (2.18) and (2.21), one has the following supercommutation relations. For convenience, the commutators, $[.,.]$, and the anticommutators, $\{.,.\}$, are distinguished.

$$
\begin{aligned}
[x^\mu, x^\nu] &= [x^\mu, \psi^\gamma] = [x^\mu, \eta^\alpha] = [x^\mu, \eta^{a*}] = 0 \\
\{\psi^\epsilon, \eta^a\} &= \{\psi^\epsilon, \eta^{a*}\} = \{\eta^a, \eta^b\} = \{\eta^{a*}, \eta^{b*}\} = 0 \\
\{\psi^\epsilon, \psi^\gamma\} &= \hbar g^{\epsilon\gamma} \\
\{\eta^a, \eta^{b*}\} &= \frac{\hbar}{\kappa}\delta^{ab^*} \\
[x^\mu, p_\nu] &= i\hbar\delta^\mu_\nu \\
[\psi^\gamma, p_\nu] &= -i\hbar\Gamma^\gamma_{\nu\epsilon}\psi^\epsilon \\
[\eta^a, p_\nu] &= -i\hbar A^{ac}_\nu\eta^c \\
[\eta^{a*}, p_\nu] &= i\hbar A^{ca}_\nu\eta^{c*} \\
[p_\mu, p_\nu] &= -\tfrac{\hbar}{2}R_{\mu\nu\epsilon\gamma}\psi^\epsilon\psi^\gamma + \kappa\left[-\hbar F^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\eta^{a*}\eta^b\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}
$$

One must note that in general there may be factor ordering ambiguities in the right hand side of (2.7). Indeed, for the example considered here there are three inequivalent choices for the last equation in (2.22). These correspond to the following choices of ordering $\eta^{a*}\eta^b$ in (2.21):

$$\eta^{a*}\eta^b \quad , \quad -\eta^b\eta^{a*} \quad , \text{ and } \quad \tfrac{1}{2}(\eta^{a*}\eta^b - \eta^b\eta^{a*}). \tag{2.23}$$

The first choice is selected in (2.22) because, as will be seen in Section 2.2, it leads to the identification of the supersymmetric charge with the twisted Dirac operator. The quantum mechanical supersymmetric charge corresponding to (2.13), which is also hermitian, is given by:

$$Q = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\psi^\nu g^{\frac{1}{4}} p_\nu g^{-\frac{1}{4}}. \tag{2.24}$$

Hermiticity is ensured in view of the identity:

$$\psi^\nu g^{\frac{1}{4}} p_\nu g^{-\frac{1}{4}} = g^{-\frac{1}{4}} p_\nu g^{\frac{1}{4}} \psi^\nu.$$

Here, g is the determinant of $(g_{\mu\nu})$ and the proportionality constant, $1/\sqrt{\hbar}$, is fixed by comparing the reduced form of (2.25) to the case: $\psi = \eta = 0$ and $M = \mathbb{R}^n$. Equation (2.24) together with (1.14) yield the Hamiltonian:

$$H = Q^2 = \tfrac{1}{2} g^{-\frac{1}{4}} p_\mu g^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{\mu\nu} p_\nu g^{-\frac{1}{4}} + \tfrac{\hbar^2}{8} R + \kappa \left[-\tfrac{1}{2} F^{ab}_{\epsilon\gamma} \psi^\epsilon \psi^\gamma \eta^{a*} \eta^b \right] . \tag{2.25}$$

The derivation of (2.25) involves repeated use of (2.22). In particular, the appearence of the scalar curvature term, $\frac{\hbar^2}{8}R$, is a consequence of the third and the last equations in (2.22) and the symmetries of the Riemann curvature tensor. A detailed derivation of (2.25) is presented in Appendix C. Reducing the system of (2.8) to a purely bosonic one, i.e. setting $\psi = \eta = 0$, leads to the problem of the dynamics of a free particle moving on a Riemannian manifold. Equation (2.25) is in complete agreement with the analysis of the latter problem by DeWitt [21]. One has to emphasize, however, that here the Hamiltonian is obtained as a result of the superalgebra condition (1.14). One can also ckeck that (2.25) reduces to the classical Hamiltonian, i.e. $L\overleftarrow{\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\Phi}^i}}\dot{\Phi}^i - L$, as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, for the Lagrangian (2.8) with $\alpha = 0$. It turns out that this is precisely what one needs for the proof of the twisted spin index theorem. See Section 2.2, for a more detailed discussion of this point.

2.2 The Quantum System

In the rest of this chapter $\hbar$ will be set to 1.

The Case of Spin Complex ($\kappa = \alpha = 0$)

Let $\{e^i_\mu dx^\mu\}$ be a local orthonormal frame for the cotangent bundle, TM^*, i.e. $e^i_\mu e^j_\nu \delta_{ij} = g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\{e^\mu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\}$ be its dual in TM. Consider,

$$\gamma^i := i\sqrt{2} e^i_\mu \psi^\mu. \tag{2.26}$$

Then, (2.22) implies:

$$\{\gamma^i, \gamma^j\} = -2\delta_{ij} \tag{2.27}$$

In the mathematical language one says that γ^i's are the generators of the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}(TM^*_x)\otimes\mathbb{C}$, [8, Part II, p. 6]. Furthermore, for $i = 1, ..., l := m/2$ define [10, 14]:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^i &:= \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^{2i-1} + i\gamma^{2i}) \\ \xi^{i\dagger} &:= -\frac{1}{2}(\gamma^{2i-1} - i\gamma^{2i}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Equations (2.27) and (2.28) yield the following anticommutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\xi^i, \xi^{j\dagger}\} &= \delta^{ij} \\ \{\xi^i, \xi^j\} &= \{\xi^{i\dagger}, \xi^{j\dagger}\} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

(2.29) indicates that ξ^i and $\xi^{i\dagger}$ behave as fermionic annihilation and creation operators. The basic kets of the corresponding Fock space are of the form:

$$\mid i_r, ..., i_1, x, t\rangle := \xi^{i_r\dagger} ... \xi^{i_1\dagger} \mid x, t\rangle \ . \tag{2.30}$$

The wavefunctions are given by:

$$\Psi_{i_1,...,i_r}(x,t) = \langle x, t, i_1, ..., i_r \mid \Psi\rangle \tag{2.31}$$

where

$$\langle x, t, i_1, ..., i_r \mid := \mid i_r, ..., i_1, x, t\rangle^\dagger.$$

The chirality operator $(-1)^f$ of (1.15) is defined by

$$\gamma^{m+1} := i^l \gamma^1 ... \gamma^m = \prod_{i=1}^{l} (1 - 2\xi^{i\dagger}\xi^i) \ . \tag{2.32}$$

Equation (2.32) is a clear indication of the relevance of the system to the spin complex. In fact, in terms of γ's the supersymmetric charge, (2.24), is written as

$$Q = \tfrac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} g^{\frac{1}{4}} \gamma^\nu p_\nu g^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \tag{2.33}$$

where

$$\gamma^\nu := e^\nu_i \gamma^i. \tag{2.34}$$

It is not difficult to see that indeed Q is represented by the Dirac operator $\not{D}$ in the coordinate representation, i.e.

$$\langle x, t, i_1, ..., i_r \mid p_\mu = -i \not{\partial}_\mu \langle x, t, i_1, ..., i_r \mid \tag{2.35}$$

with

$$\not{\partial}_\mu := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} - \tfrac{1}{8}\omega_\mu. \tag{2.36}$$

The following commutation relations can be easily computed:

$$[x^\mu, -i \not{\partial}_\nu] = i\delta^\mu_\nu \tag{2.37}$$

$$\left[\gamma^i, -i \not{\partial}_\nu\right] = -i\omega^i_{j\nu}\gamma^j \tag{2.38}$$

$$[-i \not{\partial}_\mu, -i \not{\partial}_\nu] = \tfrac{1}{4} R_{\mu\nu\epsilon\delta}\gamma^\epsilon\gamma^\delta \ . \tag{2.39}$$

In (2.36) and (2.38) ω_μ and $\omega^i_{j\nu}$ refer to the spin connection:

$$\omega^i_{j\nu} := \Gamma^\mu_{\nu\sigma} e^i_\mu e^\sigma_j - e^i_{\mu,\nu} e^\mu_j =: \omega_{\nu ij} \ . \tag{2.40}$$

$$\omega_\mu := \omega_{\mu ij}[\gamma^i, \gamma^j] = 2\omega_{\mu ij}\gamma^i\gamma^j \tag{2.41}$$

In the derivation of (2.39) one uses the symmetries of $\omega_{\nu ij}$, especially the identity:

$$\omega_{\nu ij} = -\omega_{\nu ji}.$$

Comparing (2.37), (2.38), (2.39) with the last three equations in (2.22) justifies (2.35) and the claim preceding it.

Following the analysis of [21, §6.7], the coherent state representation can be used to give a path integral representation of the supertrace of any operator, $\hat{O}$. The following relations summarize this procedure. The coherent states are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} |\, x, \xi; t\rangle &:= e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi^{j*}\xi^{j}+\hat{\xi}^{j\dagger}(t)\xi^{j}}\ |\, x, t\rangle \\ \langle x, \xi^{*}; t\,| &:= |\, x, \xi; t\rangle^{\dagger} \qquad , \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{C}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

The "ˆ" is used to distinguish the operators from the scalars where necessary. Equation (2.42) leads to

$$str(\hat{O}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{l}} \int \langle x, \xi^{*}; t \mid \hat{O} \mid x, \xi; t\rangle d^{m}x\ d^{l}\xi^{*}\ d^{l}\xi. \tag{2.43}$$

In particular, one has

$$str(e^{-i\beta H}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{l}} \int \langle x, \xi^{*}; t+\beta \mid x, \xi; t\rangle d^{m}x\ d^{l}\xi^{*}\ d^{l}\xi. \tag{2.44}$$

The following notation is occasionally used:

$$K(x, \xi; \beta) := \langle x, \xi^{*}; \beta \mid x, \xi; 0\rangle \tag{2.45}$$

(2.45) has a well-known path integral representation. One can change the variables ξ's to ψ's in (2.44) and (2.45) to compute the index. This will be pursued in Section 2.4.

The Case of the Twisted Spin Complex ($\kappa = 1$)

The commutation relations between η's and η^{*}'s in (2.22), with $\hbar = 1$ and $\eta^{\dagger} := \eta^{*}$, read

$$\begin{aligned} \{\eta^{a}, \eta^{b\dagger}\} &= \delta^{ab} \\ \{\eta^{a}, \eta^{b}\} &= \{\eta^{a\dagger}, \eta^{b\dagger}\} = 0\ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

Thus, η and $\eta^{\dagger}$ can be viewed as the annihilation and creation operators for "η-fermions". The total Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{\text{tot.}}$ is the tensor product of the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ of the $\kappa = 0$ case and the one constructed by the action of $\eta^{\dagger}$'s on the vacuum. The basic kets are:

$$|\, a_{p}, ..., a_{1}, i_{r}, ..., i_{1}, x, t\rangle :=|\, a_{p}, ..., a_{1}, x, t\rangle \otimes |\, i_{r}, ..., i_{1}, x, t\rangle,$$

where

$$|\, a_p, ..., a_1, x, t\rangle := \eta^{a_p\dagger} ... \eta^{a_1\dagger}\, |\, x, t\rangle .$$

The relevant Fock space for the twisted spin complex, however, is the subspace $\mathcal{F}_V$ of $\mathcal{F}_{\text{tot.}}$ spanned by the 1-η-particle state vectors. These are represented by the following basic kets:

$$|\, a, i_r, ..., i_1, x, t\rangle . \tag{2.47}$$

In the coordinate representation one has:

$$\langle x, t, i_1, ..., i_r, a \mid p_\mu = -i(\not\partial_\mu + \mathcal{A}_\mu)\langle x, t, i_1, ..., i_r, a \mid , \tag{2.48}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu := A^{ab}_\mu \eta^{a*}\eta^b .$$

This is justified by computing the following commutation relations and comparing them with the last five relations in (2.22):

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [x^\mu, -i(\not\partial_\nu + \mathcal{A}_\nu)] & = & i\delta^\mu_\nu \\ \left[\gamma^i, -i(\not\partial_\nu + \mathcal{A}_\nu)\right] & = & -i\omega^i_{j\nu}\gamma^j \\ [\eta^a, -i(\not\partial_\nu + \mathcal{A}_\nu)] & = & -iA^{ab}_\nu \eta^b \\ [\eta^{a*}, -i(\not\partial_\nu + \mathcal{A}_\nu)] & = & iA^{ca}_\nu \eta^{c*} \\ [-i(\not\partial_\mu + \mathcal{A}_\mu), -i(\not\partial_\nu + \mathcal{A}_\nu)] & = & \frac{1}{4}R_{\mu\nu\epsilon\delta}\gamma^\epsilon\gamma^\delta - F^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\eta^{a*}\eta^b\ . \end{array}$$

Again, the supersymmetric charge Q of (2.24) is identified with the twisted Dirac operator, $\not D_V$, in the coordinate representation. In view of (2.24), (2.26), and (2.48), one has

$$\langle x, t, i_1, ..., i_r, a \,|\, Q = \tfrac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} g^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[\gamma^\mu(\not\partial_\mu + \mathcal{A}_\mu)\right] g^{-\frac{1}{4}} \langle x, t, i_1, ..., i_r, a \,|\ . \tag{2.49}$$

Once more, γ^{m+1} of (2.32) serves as the chirality operator. In particular, Q switches the ± 1-eigenspaces of γ^{m+1}, i.e. $\{\gamma^{m+1}, Q\} = 0$.

Coherent states are defined by

$$|\, x, \xi, \eta; t\rangle := e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta^{a*}\eta^a + \hat{\eta}^{a\dagger}\eta^a}\ |\, x, \xi; t\rangle .$$

The supertrace formula, the analog of (2.43), is given by:

$$str(\hat{O}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{l+n}} \int \langle x, \xi^*, \eta^*; t \mid \hat{O} \mid x, \xi, \eta; t\rangle\, d^m x\, d^l\xi^* d^l\xi\, d^n\eta^* d^n\eta .$$

The application of the latter equation to the time evolution operator leads to

$$str(e^{-i\beta H}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{l+n}} \int \langle x, \xi^*, \eta^*; t+\beta \,|\, x, \xi, \eta; t\rangle d^m x \, d^l \xi^* d^l \xi \, d^n \eta^* d^n \eta. \quad (2.50)$$

Equation (2.50) does not, however, provide the index. This is because in (2.50) the supertrace is taken over $\mathcal{F}_{\text{tot.}}$, rather than $\mathcal{F}_V$. This is remedied by including a term of the form

$$e^{i\alpha \hat{\eta}^{a\dagger} \hat{\eta}^a},$$

in (2.50), and considering

$$str\left[e^{-i\beta H} e^{i\alpha \hat{\eta}^{a\dagger} \hat{\eta}^a}\right]. \quad (2.51)$$

The linear term in

$$\epsilon := e^{i\alpha}$$

in (2.51) is precisely the index of $\not{\partial}_V$.[3] The term $[...]_3$ in the original Lagrangian (2.8) is added to fulfill this objective, [10, 14]. In Section 2.5,

$$H_{\text{eff.}} := H - \tfrac{\alpha}{\beta} \hat{\eta}^{a\dagger} \hat{\eta}^a \quad (2.52)$$

will be used in the path integral evaluation of the kernel:

$$K(x, \xi, \eta; \beta) := \langle x, \xi^*, \eta^*; \beta \,|\, x, \xi, \eta; 0\rangle. \quad (2.53)$$

The index of $\not{\partial}_V$ is then given by

$$index(\not{\partial}_V) = \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} str(e^{-i\beta H_{\text{eff.}}}) \quad . \quad (2.54)$$

2.3 The Path Integral Evaluation of the Kernel, the Loop Expansion and the Green's Function Methods

The path integral evaluation of the kernel, (2.55) below, is discussed in [21, §5]. In general, for a quadratic Lagrangian the following relation holds:

$$K(\Phi'', t'' \mid \Phi', t') := \langle \Phi'', t'' \mid \Phi', t'\rangle = Z \int_{(\Phi', t')}^{(\Phi'', t'')} e^{iS[\Phi]} \left(sdet G^+[\Phi]\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\Phi. \quad (2.55)$$

---

[3] Note that (2.51) is a polynomial in ϵ

Here , Z is a (possibly infinite) constant of functional integration. In the loop expansion of (2.55), one expands the field (coordinate) variables around the (classical) solutions of the dynamical equations, $\Phi_0(t)$:

$$\Phi^i(t) = \Phi_0^i(t) + \phi^i(t). \tag{2.56}$$

Substituting (2.56) in (2.55) and expanding in power series around Φ_0, one has [4] :

$$K(\Phi'', t'' \mid \Phi', t') = Z(sdet G_0^+)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{iS_0} \int e^{\frac{i}{2}\phi^i \; {}_{i,}S_{0,j}\phi^j}\{1 + \cdots\}\mathcal{D}\phi \, . \tag{2.57}$$

Here,“$\cdots$” denotes the higher order terms starting with the 2-loop terms [5]. The subscript “$_0$” means that the corresponding quantity is evaluated at the classical solution Φ_0, e.g.

$${}_{i,}S_{0,j} := {}_{i,}S_{,j}[\Phi_0].$$

The lowest order approximation of (2.55), which is explicitly shown in (2.57), is the well-known WKB approximation. This term can be further simplified if the surviving Gaussian functional integral in (2.57) is evaluated. Generalizing the ordinary Gaussian integral formula, one has [6]:

$$\int e^{\frac{i}{2}\phi^i \; {}_{i,}S_{0,j}\phi^j}\mathcal{D}\phi = c[sdet(G)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \, . \tag{2.58}$$

The Green's function $G = (G^{ij})$, which appears in (2.58), is the celebrated Feynman propagator. It is the inverse of $({}_{j,}S_{0,i})$, :

$${}_{i,}S_{0,j'}G^{j'k''} = -\delta_i^k \delta(t - t''), \tag{2.59}$$

defined by the boundary conditions which fix the end points:

$$\Phi(t') = \Phi' \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(t'') = \Phi''. \tag{2.60}$$

---

[4] There are additional complications if M has nontrivial first homology group, [21]. However, this is not relevant to the computation of the index. See Section 2.6 for a further discussion of this problem.

[5] The 2-loop terms will be analyzed in Chapter 3. They are of order β or higher.

[6] In (2.58) c is a constant of functional integration, it may be identified with 1 if the action is appropriately rescaled. However, this does not play any role in the application of (2.58) and thus is not pursued here.

An important property of G^{ij} is the following [21]:

$$G^{ij'} = (-1)^{ij'} G^{j'i}. \tag{2.61}$$

The emergence of $sdet(G^{+})$ in (2.55) is quite important and must not be underestimated. An explicit computation of $sdet(G^{+})$ for (2.8) is in order. The main tool is the definition of the *sdet* as the solution of the variational equation [21, §1]:

$$\delta \ln[sdet(G^{+})] := str[(G^{+})^{-1}\delta G^{+}] \ . \tag{2.62}$$

Using the definition of G^{+} (2.2):

$$(G^{+ij})^{-1} := -({}_{i,}S_{,j}) \tag{2.63}$$

one has

$$\delta \ln \left[sdet \left(G^{+} \left|^{\Phi'',t''}_{\Phi',t'} \right. \right) \right] = \int_{t'}^{t''} d\tau \int_{t'}^{t''} d\tau' (-1)^{i} \ {}_{i,}\delta S_{,j'} G^{+j'i}. \tag{2.64}$$

In (2.64), the variation in the action is with respect to the functional variation of the metric and the connection fields, i.e. $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$ and δA_{μ}^{ab}, respectively. In view of (2.14) and (2.16), equation (2.64) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \ln sdet \left(G^{+} \left|^{\Phi'',t_0''}_{\Phi',t_0'} \right. \right) \ &= \ \int_{t'}^{t''} dt \left[\frac{d}{dt}(\delta \ln g) - iG_1^{+\gamma\epsilon}\delta g_{\epsilon\gamma} + \right. \\ &\qquad \left. i\delta^{ab}(\delta C_{ba^*} + \delta C_{b^*a}) + ig^{\gamma\epsilon}\delta C_{\epsilon\gamma} \right] \theta(0). \end{aligned} \tag{2.65}$$

In (2.65), $G_1^{+\gamma\epsilon}$ are the coefficients of the linear terms in the expansion of $G^{+\gamma\epsilon}$ in (2.16), i.e.

$$G^{+\gamma\epsilon'} =: \theta(t-t') \left[-ig^{\gamma'\epsilon'} + G_1^{+\gamma'\epsilon'}(t-t') + O(t-t')^2 \right], \tag{2.66}$$

and δC's are the variations of the corresponding terms in (2.15). It is quite remarkable that although other higher order terms in (2.16) originally enter in (2.64), their contributions cancel and one is finally left with (2.65). The fortunate cancellations seem to be primarily due to supersymmetry. Incidentally, the calculation of $G_1^{+\gamma\epsilon}$ is quite straightforward. The 16 coupled equations, (2.2), which give the next order terms in (2.16), decouple miraculously to yield:

$$G_1^{+\gamma\epsilon} = g^{\delta\gamma} g^{\theta\epsilon} C_{\delta\theta} - g^{\pi\tau} \Gamma^{\gamma}_{\pi\delta} \Gamma^{\epsilon}_{\tau\theta} \psi^{\delta} \psi^{\theta}. \tag{2.67}$$

Substitituing (2.67) in (2.65), the second term in (2.67) drops after contracting with $\delta g_{\epsilon\gamma}$. The surviving term combines with the last term in (2.65) to produce a factor of

$$i\delta(g^{\gamma\epsilon} C_{\epsilon\gamma}).$$

Finally, using (2.15) and the identity

$$\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\gamma\mu} = \tfrac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} (\ln g)$$

one obtains:

$$\delta \ln sdet \left(G^{+} \left|^{\Phi'', t_0''}_{\Phi', t_0'} \right. \right) = \int_{t'}^{t''} \delta \left[\tfrac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \ln g \right) \right] \theta(0) dt. \tag{2.68}$$

Adapting $\theta(0) = 1/2$, [21, §6.4], and integrating (2.68), one has:

$$sdet \left(G^{+} \left|^{\Phi'', t_0''}_{\Phi', t_0'} \right. \right) = \text{const.} g^{\frac{1}{4}}(x'') g^{-\frac{1}{4}}(x') \ . \tag{2.69}$$

Specializing to the Euclidean case, i.e. $g_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu}$, the “const.” is identified with “1”. Furthermore, for the *periodic boundary conditions* (2.73), equation (2.69) reduces to

$$sdet(G^{+}) = 1 \qquad (\text{for:} \;\; x'' = x') \ . \tag{2.70}$$

Equation (2.70) is a direct consequence of supersymmetry. It results in a great deal of simplifications in (2.57), particularly in the higher-loop calculations of Chapter 3.

2.4 The Derivation of the Index of Dirac Operator

Combinning (1.15),(2.44),(2.55),(2.57),(2.58) and (2.70), one obtains the index of $\not{\partial}$ in the form:

$$index(\not{\partial}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^l} \int K(x, \psi; \beta \to 0) \, \Theta \, d^m x \, d^m \psi, \tag{2.71}$$

where

$$K(x,\psi;\beta\to 0) := \langle x,\psi;\beta\to 0 \mid x,\psi;0\rangle \overset{\mathrm{WKB}}{=} Zce^{iS_0}\,[sdet(G)]^{\frac{1}{2}}\,, \tag{2.72}$$

and Θ is the superjacobian associated with the change of variables of integration from ξ,ξ^*'s to ψ's.

In (2.72), the periodic boundary conditions must be adapted, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{rcrcr} x(0) &=& x(\beta) &=:& x_0 \\ \psi(0) &=& \psi(\beta) &=:& \psi_0\,. \end{array} \tag{2.73}$$

This is consistent with supersymmetry (2.10), [34, 13, 15].

Requiring (2.73) and considering $\beta\to 0$, the only solution of the classical dynamical equations (2.12), with $\kappa=0$, is the constant configuration:

$$x_0(t)=x_0 \qquad \psi_0(t)=\psi_0\,. \tag{2.74}$$

Substituting (2.74) in (2.8), one has [7]:

$$S_0 = 0\,. \tag{2.75}$$

Thus, the factor e^{iS_0} in (2.72) drops. Another important consequence of (2.74) is that unlike ${}_{i,}S_{,j}$, (2.14), ${}_{i,}S_{0,j}$ are tensorial quantitities. This allows one to work with normal coordinates, [8], centered at x_0, in which

$$g_{0\mu\nu} := g_{\mu\nu}(x_0) = \delta_{\mu\nu} \tag{2.76}$$

$$g_{\mu\nu,\sigma}(x_0) = \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}(x_0) = 0. \tag{2.77}$$

In the rest of this section, all the fields are evaluated in such a coordinate system. The final results hold true for arbitrary coordinates since the quantities of interest are tensorial.

Substituting (2.74) in (2.14), using (2.77), and noting that all the C's vanish one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{\tau,}S_{0,\pi'} &= \left[-g_{0\tau\pi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \mathcal{R}_{\tau\pi}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right]\delta(t-t') \\ {}_{\tau,}S_{0,\gamma'} &= {}_{\epsilon,}S_{0,\pi'} = 0 \\ {}_{\epsilon,}S_{0,\gamma'} &= \left[ig_{0\epsilon\gamma}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right]\delta(t-t'). \end{aligned} \tag{2.78}$$

---

[7] One must take note of the end point contribution to S_0. For details see Appendix D.

Here, $g_{0\tau\pi} = \delta_{\tau\pi}$ are retained for convenience, and

$$\mathcal{R}_{\tau\pi} := \tfrac{i}{2} R_{\delta\theta\tau\pi}(x_0)\psi_0^\delta \psi_0^\theta. \tag{2.79}$$

The Green's functions (2.59) are also tensorial quantities. They can be explicitly computed:

$$\begin{aligned} G^{\pi\xi'} &= g_0^{\pi\mu}\left[\theta(t-t')\frac{(e^{\mathcal{R}(t-\beta)}-1)(1-e^{-\mathcal{R}t'})}{\mathcal{R}(1-e^{-\mathcal{R}\beta})} + \right. \qquad (2.80) \\ &\qquad \left. + \theta(t'-t)\frac{(e^{\mathcal{R}t}-1)(1-e^{-\mathcal{R}(t'-\beta)})}{\mathcal{R}(e^{\mathcal{R}\beta}-1)}\right]^\xi_{\ \mu} \\ G^{\pi\delta'} &= 0 \qquad (2.81) \\ G^{\gamma\xi'} &= 0 \qquad (2.82) \\ G^{\gamma\delta'} &= \frac{i}{2} g_0^{\gamma\delta}\left[\theta(t-t') - \theta(t'-t)\right] . \qquad (2.83) \end{aligned}$$

In (2.80), the expression inside the bracket is to be interpreted as a power series in:

$$\mathcal{R} := (\mathcal{R}^\mu_\tau) := (g_0^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{R}_{\tau\nu}). \tag{2.84}$$

This is a finite series due to the presence of ψ_0's in (2.79) [8]. Equation (2.80) is obtained starting from the following ansatz:

$$G^{\pi\xi'} = \left[\theta(t-t')(\frac{t}{\beta}-1)t' g^{\pi\mu}\mathcal{X}^\xi_{+\mu}(t,t') + \theta(t'-t)(\frac{t'}{\beta}-1)t\, g^{\pi\mu}\mathcal{X}^\xi_{-\mu}(t,t')\right]. \tag{2.85}$$

(2.85) satisfies the boundary conditions (2.60), i.e.

$$G^{\pi\xi'} = 0 \qquad \text{if } t \text{ or } t' = 0 \text{ or } \beta. \tag{2.86}$$

Moreover, the reduction to $\psi = 0$ case is equivalent to choosing $\mathcal{X}_\pm = 1_{m\times m}$. Imposing (2.59) on (2.85) and using (2.78), one obtaines the following equations:

$$t > t' \quad : \quad (t-\beta)\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\mathcal{X}_+ - \mathcal{R}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{X}_+\right] + 2\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{X}_+ - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}\mathcal{X}_+\right] = 0 \tag{2.87}$$

---

[8] One can use all the properties of the "exp" and other analytic functions with arguments such as $\mathcal{R}$. The only rule is that the power series expansion must be postponed until all other operations are performed.

$$t < t' \quad : \quad t\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\mathcal{X}_- - \mathcal{R}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{X}_-\right] + 2\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{X}_- - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}\mathcal{X}_-\right] = 0 \tag{2.88}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t = t' \quad : \quad & \left[\frac{t}{\beta}\mathcal{X}_+ - (\frac{t}{\beta} - 1)\mathcal{X}_- + \right. \qquad (2.89) \\ & \left. +t(\frac{t}{\beta} - 1)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{X}_+ - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{X}_- - \mathcal{R}\mathcal{X}_+ + \mathcal{R}\mathcal{X}_-\right)\right]_{t=t'} = 1_{m\times m}. \end{aligned}$$

(2.87) and (2.88) are easily solved by the power series method. The final result, (2.80), follows using (2.61) and (2.89). The derivation of (2.81),(2.82), and (2.83) is straightforward.

The next step is to compute $sdet(G)$. This is accomplished by considering a functional variation in the metric tensor, $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$, and using the definition of *sdet*, (2.62). After considerable amount of algebra and repeated use of the symmetries of $g_{\mu\nu}$, and $\mathcal{R}_\mu^\nu$, one arrives at the following expression:

$$\delta \ln[sdet(G)] = \theta(0)tr\left[2\delta\ln(\beta\mathcal{R}) + \beta\delta\mathcal{R}(\frac{1+e^{\beta\mathcal{R}}}{1-e^{\beta\mathcal{R}}})\right] . \tag{2.90}$$

Setting $\theta(0) = 1/2$ and integrating the right hand side of (2.90), one has:

$$\delta \ln[sdet(G)] = \delta tr\left(\ln\left[\frac{-\frac{\beta\mathcal{R}}{2}}{\sinh(\frac{\beta\mathcal{R}}{2})}\right]\right) . \tag{2.91}$$

Since $\mathcal{R}$ is antisymmetric in its indices, it can be put in the following block-diagonal form:

$$\mathcal{R} = diag\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \mathcal{R}_i \\ -\mathcal{R}_i & 0 \end{array}\right] : i = 1, \cdots, l\right) . \tag{2.92}$$

Another important observation is that $\frac{\frac{\beta\mathcal{R}}{2}}{\sinh(\frac{\beta\mathcal{R}}{2})}$ and hence $\ln[-\frac{\frac{\beta\mathcal{R}}{2}}{\sinh(\frac{\beta\mathcal{R}}{2})}]$ are polynomials in $(\frac{\beta\mathcal{R}}{2})^2$. In view of (2.92), it is easy to see that $\mathcal{R}^2$ is diagonal, namley

$$\mathcal{R}^2 = diag(-\mathcal{R}_1^2, -\mathcal{R}_1^2, \cdots, -\mathcal{R}_l^2, -\mathcal{R}_l^2). \tag{2.93}$$

Implementing (2.93) in (2.91), one has:

$$\delta \ln[sdet(G)] = 2\delta\ln\prod_{j=1}^{l}\left[-\frac{\frac{\beta i\mathcal{R}_j}{2}}{\sinh(\frac{\beta i\mathcal{R}_j}{2})}\right],$$

and finally

$$sdet(G)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \tilde{c}\prod_{j=1}^{l}\left[\frac{\frac{\beta i\mathcal{R}_j}{2}}{\sinh(\frac{\beta i\mathcal{R}_j}{2})}\right], \tag{2.94}$$

where $\tilde{c}$ is a constant of functional integration. Substituting (2.94) and (2.74) in (2.72), one obtains the kernel in the form:

$$K(x,\psi;\beta\rightarrow 0) = Zc\,\tilde{c}\prod_{j=1}^{l}\left[\frac{\frac{\beta i\mathcal{R}_j}{2}}{\sinh(\frac{\beta i\mathcal{R}_j}{2})}\right]. \tag{2.95}$$

To write $\mathcal{R}_j$ as functions of x_0 and ψ_0, one needs to also block-diagonalize:

$$\left(\tfrac{1}{2}R_{\mu\nu\delta\theta}(x_0)\,\psi_0^\delta\psi_0^\theta\right) = diag\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Omega_{j\delta\theta}\psi_0^\delta\psi_0^\theta \\ -\Omega_{j\delta\theta}\psi_0^\delta\psi_0^\theta & 0\end{bmatrix} : j = 1,\cdots,l\right). \tag{2.96}$$

Combinning (2.79),(2.84),(2.92),(2.95) and (2.96), one is led to

$$K(x_0,\psi_0;\beta\rightarrow 0) = Zc\tilde{c}\prod_{j=1}^{l}\left[\frac{\frac{\beta\Omega_{j\delta\theta}\psi_0^\delta\psi_0^\theta}{2}}{\sinh(\frac{\beta\Omega_{j\delta\theta}\psi_0^\delta\psi_0^\theta}{2})}\right]. \tag{2.97}$$

The proportionality constant $Zc\,\tilde{c} =: \tilde{Z}$ is determined by specializing to the $M = \mathbb{R}^m$ case. Using the results of [21, §5,§6], one has

$$\tilde{Z} = (2\pi i dt)^{-\frac{\beta m}{2dt}} \times (2\pi i)^{-\frac{\beta l}{dt}+l}. \tag{2.98}$$

The limit $\beta \rightarrow 0$ is taken by setting

$$\beta = dt\,. \tag{2.99}$$

Finally, combinning (2.71,2.97) and (2.99), and realizing that $e_i^\mu(x_0) = \delta_i^\mu$ so that $\Theta = i^{-l}$, one has

$$index(\not\partial) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^l}\int\prod_{j=1}^{l}\left[\frac{\frac{\beta\Omega_{j\delta\theta}\psi_0^\delta\psi_0^\theta}{2}}{\sinh(\frac{\beta\Omega_{j\delta\theta}\psi_0^\delta\psi_0^\theta}{2})}\right]\frac{d^m\psi_0\,d^m x_0}{(2\pi i\beta)^l}. \tag{2.100}$$

The ψ_0-integration rules, [21]:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \int d\psi_0 & = & 0 \\ \int \psi_0\, d\psi_0 & = & \sqrt{2\pi i} \end{array} \tag{2.101}$$

allow only the highest degree term in the integrand to survive. This implies the cancellation of β's. Performing the ψ_0-integrations yields an expression for (2.100) which is identical with the following:

$$\begin{aligned} index(\not{\partial}) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^l}\int_M \prod_{j=1}^{l}\left[\frac{\frac{\Omega_j}{2}}{\sinh(\frac{\Omega_j}{2})}\right]_{\text{top}} \\ &= \int_M \prod_{j=1}^{l}\left[\frac{\frac{\Omega_j}{4\pi}}{\sinh(\frac{\Omega_j}{4\pi})}\right]_{\text{top}} . \end{aligned} \tag{2.102}$$

In (2.102), Ω_j are defined by (1.5) and the identity

$$\Omega_j = \Omega_{j\delta\theta}\, dx^\delta \wedge dx^\theta .$$

(2.102) is precisely the statement of Theorem 1, i.e. (1.6). The fact that the integrand in (2.102) is an even polynomial in $\frac{\Omega_j}{4\pi}$ implies that only for $l = 2k$, i.e. $m = 4k$, is the index nonvanishing.

2.5 The Derivation of the Index of the Twisted Dirac Operator

Equations (2.50),(2.53),(2.54),(2.55), (2.57),(2.58), and (2.70) provide the following formula for the index

$$index(\not{\partial}_V) = \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0}\left[\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{l+n}}\int K(x,\psi,\eta;\beta\to 0)\,\Theta\, d^m x\, d^m\psi\, d^n\eta^*\, d^n\eta\right] \tag{2.103}$$

where

$$K(x,\psi,\eta;\beta\to 0) := \langle x,\psi,\eta^*;\beta\to 0 \mid x,\psi,\eta;0\rangle \overset{\text{WKB}}{=} Z'c'e^{iS_0}[sdet(G)]^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{2.104}$$

The first step in the calculation of the kernel is to obtain the classical solution of the dynamical equations, (2.12), in the $\beta \to 0$ limit.

As one can see from the analysis of Section 2.4, absorbing a factor of $\sqrt{\beta}$ in ψ's can take care of the limiting process automatically. Furthermore, following [14], define the parameter:

$$s := \frac{t}{\beta},$$

and expand the coordinate variables in powers of β:

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \tilde{x}_0(s) + \tilde{x}_1(s)\beta + O(\beta^2) \\ \psi(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}}\left[\tilde{\psi}_0(s) + \tilde{\psi}_1(s)\beta + O(\beta^2)\right] \\ \eta(t) &= \tilde{\eta}_0(s) + \tilde{\eta}_1(s)\beta + O(\beta^2). \\ \eta^*(t) &= \tilde{\eta}^*_0(s) + \tilde{\eta}^*_1(s)\beta + O(\beta^2)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.105}$$

The appropriate boundary conditions for (2.104) are the periodic boundary conditions [9], i.e., (2.73) and:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= \eta' := \eta(t=0) = \eta(\beta) =: \eta'' \\ \eta^{*'} &:= \eta^*(t=0) = \eta^*(t=\beta) =: \eta^{*''} = \eta^*\ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.106}$$

It follows from (2.73),(2.106), and (2.105) that the dynamical equations are solved by:

$$\begin{aligned} x_0(t) &= x_0 + O(\beta) \\ \psi_0(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}}\left[\tilde{\psi}_0 + \tilde{\psi}_1(s)\beta + O(\beta^2)\right] \\ \eta_0(t) &= \tilde{\eta}_0(s) + O(\beta) \\ \eta^*_0(t) &= \tilde{\eta}^*_0(s) + O(\beta), \end{aligned} \tag{2.107}$$

where x_0 and $\psi_0 =: \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}}\tilde{\psi}_0$ are constants. Adopting a normal coordinate frame centered at x_0 and using (2.76), (2.77) and

$$A^{ab}_\mu(x_0) = 0,$$

one has:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds}\tilde{\psi}^\gamma_1 - i g_0^{\gamma\epsilon} F^{ab}_{\epsilon\delta}(x_0)\tilde{\psi}^\delta_0 \tilde{\eta}^{a*}_0 \tilde{\eta}^b_0 &= 0 \\ \frac{d}{ds}\tilde{\eta}^a_0 - i(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{ab} + \alpha\delta^{ab})\tilde{\eta}^b_0 &= 0 \\ \frac{d}{ds}\tilde{\eta}^{a*}_0 + i(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{ba} + \alpha\delta^{ba})\tilde{\eta}^{b*}_0 &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.108}$$

---

[9] In fact, one can adopt antiperiodic boundary conditions for η's and η^*'s, [13]. Since the relevant trace is taken over the 1-η-particle state vectors, this would introduce an extra minus sign.

Here $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is defined by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \left(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{ab}\right) := \left(\tfrac{1}{2}F^{ab}_{\gamma\epsilon}(x_0)\,\tilde{\psi}^{\gamma}_0\tilde{\psi}^{\epsilon}_0\right) \ . \tag{2.109}$$

The quantity:

$$\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_{ab}) := \left(\tfrac{1}{2}F^{ab}_{\gamma\epsilon}(x_0)\,\psi^{\gamma}_0\psi^{\epsilon}_0\right) = \tfrac{1}{\beta}\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \tag{2.110}$$

will also be used.

The next step is to compute S_0. This is done in Appendix D. The final result is :

$$S_0 = -i\eta^{a*}\left[e^{i(\beta\mathcal{F}+\alpha 1_{n\times n})} - 1_{n\times n}\right]^{ab}\eta^b \ . \tag{2.111}$$

Next, one needs to calculate the Feynman propagator G. This is done in two steps. First, consider the special case of

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_0(t) & = & x_0 \\ \psi_0(t) & = & \psi_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}}\tilde{\psi}_0 \\ \eta_0(t) & = & 0 \\ \eta^*_0(t) & = & 0 \ . \end{array} \tag{2.112}$$

It is clear that (2.112) satisfies the dynamical equations, (2.12). Substituting (2.112) in (2.14) and (2.15), one recovers (2.78). The other nonvanishing ${}_{i,}S_{0,j}$'s are:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} {}_{b,}S_{0,a^{*\prime}} & = & \left[i\delta_{ba}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - (\mathcal{F}_{ab} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\delta_{ba})\right]\delta(t-t') \\ {}_{b^*,}S_{0,a'} & = & \left[i\delta_{ba}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (\mathcal{F}_{ba} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\delta_{ba})\right]\delta(t-t') \ . \end{array} \tag{2.113}$$

In other words, one has:

$$\left({}_{i,}S_{0,j'}\right) = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} {}_{\tau,}S_{0,\pi'} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & {}_{\epsilon,}S_{0,\gamma'} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & {}_{b,}S_{0,a^{*\prime}} \\ 0 & 0 & {}_{b^*,}S_{0,a'} & 0 \end{array}\right] \ . \tag{2.114}$$

(2.114) suggests:

$$\left(G^{ij'}\right) = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} G^{\pi\xi'} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & G^{\gamma\eta'} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & G^{ac^{*\prime}} \\ 0 & 0 & G^{a^*c'} & 0 \end{array}\right] \ . \tag{2.115}$$

In view of (2.59), it is clear that $G^{\pi\xi'}$ and $G^{\gamma\eta'}$ are given by equations (2.80) and (2.83), respectively. Defining

$$G_1(t,t') = (G_1^{ac}(t,t')) := \left(G^{a^*c'}\right)$$

$$G_2(t,t') = (G_2^{ac}(t,t')) := \left(G^{ac^{*'}}\right),$$

and using (2.115),(2.78),(2.113),(2.80),(2.83), and (2.59), one obtains:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \left[i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - (\mathcal{F}^* + \frac{\alpha}{\beta})\right] G_1(t,t') & = & -\delta(t-t') \\ \left[i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (\mathcal{F} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta})\right] G_2(t,t') & = & -\delta(t-t') \ . \end{array} \tag{2.116}$$

In (2.116), use has been made of the fact that $\mathcal{F}$ is hermitian and hence

$$\mathcal{F}^{\text{transpose}} = \mathcal{F}^*.$$

To compute G_1 and G_2, consider the ansatz:

$$G_k(t,t') = \theta(t-t')e^{i\mathcal{X}_k(t,t')} - \theta(t'-t)e^{i\mathcal{Y}_k(t,t')} \qquad (k=1,2). \tag{2.117}$$

Substituting (2.117) in (2.116), one obtains:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} t > t' & : & \dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{X}_1 = -(\mathcal{F}^* + \dfrac{\alpha}{\beta}) \ , \ \dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{X}_2 = \mathcal{F} + \dfrac{\alpha}{\beta} \\ t < t' & : & \dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{Y}_1 = -(\mathcal{F}^* + \dfrac{\alpha}{\beta}) \ , \ \dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{Y}_2 = \mathcal{F} + \dfrac{\alpha}{\beta} \\ t = t' & : & \left[e^{i\mathcal{X}_k} + e^{i\mathcal{Y}_k}\right]_{t=t'} = i \quad k = 1,2. \end{array}$$

Finally, using (2.61):

$$G_1(t,t') = -G_2^{\text{transpose}}(t',t),$$

one arrives at the following expressions:

$$\begin{array}{rcccl} G_1(t,t') & = & \left(G^{a^*c'}\right) & = & \frac{i}{2}e^{-i(\mathcal{F}^*+\frac{\alpha}{\beta})(t-t')}\left[\theta(t-t') - \theta(t'-t)\right] \\ G_2(t',t) & = & \left(G^{ac^{*'}}\right) & = & \frac{i}{2}e^{i(\mathcal{F}+\frac{\alpha}{\beta})(t-t')}\left[\theta(t-t') - \theta(t'-t)\right] \ . \end{array} \tag{2.118}$$

Next step is to observe that, in the limit: $\beta \to 0$, (2.115) with (2.80),(2.83), and (2.118) actually satisfies equation (2.59) even in the general case of (2.107). To see this, it is sufficient to examine:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{\tau,}S_{0,\pi'} &= \beta^{-3}\left[-g_{0\tau\pi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} + \frac{i}{2}R_{\epsilon\gamma\pi\tau}(x_0)\,\tilde{\psi}_0^\gamma\tilde{\psi}_0^\epsilon\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right. \\ &\qquad \left. +O(\beta)\right]\delta(s-s') \qquad (2.119) \\ {}_{\epsilon,}S_{0,\gamma'} &= \beta^{-2}\left[ig_{0\epsilon\gamma}\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + O(\beta)\right]\delta(s-s') \qquad (2.120) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{b,}S_{0,a^{*\prime}} &= \beta^{-2}\left[i\delta_{ba}\frac{\partial}{\partial s} - (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{ab} + \alpha\delta_{ab}) + O(\beta)\right]\delta(s-s') \\ {}_{b^*,}S_{0,a'} &= \beta^{-2}\left[i\delta_{ba}\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{ba} + \alpha\delta_{ba}) + O(\beta)\right]\delta(s-s'). \qquad (2.121) \end{aligned}$$

The next step is to compute $sdet(G)$. Since G is block-diagonal, one has:

$$sdet(G) = sdet(G_0).sdet(G_\eta) \qquad (2.122)$$

where

$$G_0 := \begin{pmatrix} G^{\pi\xi'} & 0 \\ 0 & G^{\gamma\epsilon'} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } G_\eta := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & G^{ac^{*\prime}} \\ G^{a^*c'} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $sdet(G_0)$ is given by (2.94). $sdet(G_\eta)$ is computed following the procedure of Section 2.4. Applying (2.62) and (2.64) to G_η and writing only the nonvanishing terms, one has:

$$\delta \ln sdet(G_\eta) = \int_0^\beta dt \int_0^\beta dt' \left[- {}_{c,}\delta S_{0,a^{*\prime}} G^{a^{*\prime}c} - {}_{c^*,}\delta S_{0,a'} G^{a'c^*}\right]. \qquad (2.123)$$

Let us define:

$$\mathcal{Z}(t,t'') := \int_0^\beta dt' \left[- {}_{c,}\delta S_{0,a^{*\prime}} G^{a^{*\prime}c''} - {}_{c^*,}\delta S_{0,a'} G^{a'c^{*\prime\prime}}\right]. \qquad (2.124)$$

Taking the functional variation of (2.121) with respect to $\delta\mathcal{F}$ and substituting the result in (2.124), one has:

$$\mathcal{Z}(t,t'') = -\delta\mathcal{F}_{ac}G^{a^*c''} + \delta\mathcal{F}_{ca}G^{ac^{*\prime\prime}} \qquad (2.125)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= tr\left[-\delta\mathcal{F}^*\, G_1(t,t'') + \delta\mathcal{F}\, G_2(t,t'')\right] \\
&= tr\left[\delta e^{-i(\mathcal{F}^*+\frac{\alpha}{\beta})(t-t'')} + \delta e^{i(\mathcal{F}+\frac{\alpha}{\beta})(t-t'')}\right]\left[\tfrac{\theta(t-t'')-\theta(t''-t)}{2(t''-t)}\right] \\
&= tr\left[\delta\left(\cos[(\mathcal{F}+\frac{\alpha}{\beta})(t-t'')]\right)\right]\left[\tfrac{\theta(t-t'')-\theta(t''-t)}{t''-t}\right] \\
&= \delta tr\left[\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{F}+\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^2(t''-t) + O(t-t'')^3\right]\left[\theta(t-t'')-\theta(t''-t)\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

Combinning equations (2.123),(2.124), and (2.125), one obtains:

$$
\delta \ln sdet(G_\eta) = \int_0^\beta dt\, \mathcal{Z}(t,t) = 0,
$$

and hence:

$$
sdet(G_\eta) = const. \tag{2.126}
$$

Equations (2.94),(2.122), and (2.126) yeild:

$$
[sdet(G)]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \tilde{c}' \prod_{j=1}^{l}\left[\frac{\frac{\beta i\mathcal{R}_j}{2}}{\sinh(\frac{\beta i\mathcal{R}_j}{2})}\right]. \tag{2.127}
$$

Substituting (2.111) and (2.127) in (2.104), one finds:

$$
K(x_0,\psi_0,\eta;\beta\to 0) = Z'c'\tilde{c}' \prod_{j=1}^{l}\left[\frac{\frac{\beta i\mathcal{R}_j}{2}}{\sinh(\frac{\beta i\mathcal{R}_j}{2})}\right]\exp\left(\eta^{a*}\left[e^{i(\beta\mathcal{F}+\alpha 1_{n\times n})} - 1_{n\times n}\right]^{ab}\eta^b\right). \tag{2.128}
$$

The constant $\tilde{Z}' := Z'c'\tilde{c}'$ is fixed by specializing to the case of $\mathcal{F} = \alpha = 0$. In this case (2.128) must reduce to (2.97). Thus,

$$
\tilde{Z}' = \tilde{Z} = (2\pi i\beta)^{-l}.
$$

Substituting (2.128) in (2.103) and performing the η^* and η integrations, one has:

$$
index(\not{\partial}_V) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\bigg|_{\epsilon=0}\left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^l}\int \prod_{j=1}^{l}\left[\frac{\frac{\beta i\mathcal{R}_j}{2}}{\sinh(\frac{\beta i\mathcal{R}_j}{2})}\right] det\left[e^{i(\beta\mathcal{F}+\alpha 1_{n\times n})} - 1_{n\times n}\right]\right). \tag{2.129}
$$

At this stage, one can take the ϵ-derivative. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is hermitian it can be diagonalized, i.e.

$$
\mathcal{F} =: diag(\mathcal{F}_1,\cdots,\mathcal{F}_n).
$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} det\left[e^{i(\beta\mathcal{F}+\alpha 1_{n\times n})}-1_{n\times n}\right] &= \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0}\left[\prod_{a=1}^{n}(\epsilon e^{i\beta\mathcal{F}_a}-1)\right] \\
&= (-1)^n \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} \ln\left[\prod_{a=1}^{n}(\epsilon e^{i\beta\mathcal{F}_a}-1)\right] \\
&= \sum_{a=1}^{n} e^{i\beta\mathcal{F}_a} \\
&= tr\left(e^{i\beta\mathcal{F}}\right) . \qquad (2.130)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.130) and performing ψ_0-integrations, one finally arrives at:

$$\begin{aligned}
index(\not{\partial}_V) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^l}\int_M \left[\prod_{j=1}^{l}\left(\frac{\frac{\Omega_j}{2}}{\sinh(\frac{\Omega_j}{2})}\right) tr\left(e^{iF}\right)\right]_{\text{top.}} \\
&= \int_M \left[\prod_{j=1}^{l}\left(\frac{\frac{\Omega_j}{4\pi}}{\sinh(\frac{\Omega_j}{4\pi})}\right) tr\left(e^{\frac{iF}{2\pi}}\right)\right]_{\text{top.}} . \qquad (2.131)
\end{aligned}$$

In (2.131), Ω_j and F are defined by (1.5) and (1.12), respectively. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

2.6 Remarks and Discussion

The following is a list of remarks concerning some of the aspects of the present work:

1. The reality condition on the Lagrangian (2.8), requires $F_{\mu\nu}$ to be antihermitian matrices. This is equivalent to requiring the structure group of the bundle V to be (a subgroup) of $U(n)$. This is consistent with the existence of a hermitian structure on V. For, any hermitian vector bundle can be reduced to one with $U(n)$ as its structure group.
2. The term $i\eta^{a*}\dot{\eta}^a$ in the Lagrangian (2.8) can be replaced with

   $$\tfrac{i}{2}(\eta^{a*}\dot{\eta}^a - \dot{\eta}^{a*}\eta^a)$$

   with no consequences for the action. This is because the boundary conditions on η's and η^*'s are periodic.

3. In the Peierls quantization scheme, the momenta, "p_μ", are not *a priori* fixed. They may be chosen in a way that facilitates the analysis of the problem. In particular, the choice: (2.20) has obvious advantages. The factor ordering problem may arise in general. In the case of th index problem, however, the requirement that the supersymmetry generator be identified with the elliptic operator in question, enables one to choose the appropriate ordering. It is also remarkable that in the special case that the structure group of V can be chosen $SU(n)$, the factor ordering ambiguities, (2.23), disappear. It seems that the obstruction for having a unique quantum system is the first Chern class.

4. The problem of nonuniqueness of the momentum operators was not addressed in Section 2.2. In general,

   $$\mathbf{p} := p_\mu \, dx^\mu$$

   is unique up to closed forms, $\omega \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$, [21]. This is reflected in the path integral formulation as the necessity of concidering different homotopy meshes of paths. This is, however, not relevant to the index problem. In general, the index of an elliptic operator is a map:

   $$index \; : \; K(TM) \; \to \; \mathbb{Z} \; ,$$

   where $K(TM) \cong K(M) \cong \bigoplus_i H^{2i}(M, \, \mathbb{Z})$ is the Grothendieck's K-group (ring), [25]. Thus the index does not detect the first cohomology group of M. For more details of K-theory see Appendix A.11.

5. The procedure used in the evaluation of the index in this paper are essentially based on a single basic assumption. This is the Gaussian functional integral formula, (2.58). The other important ingredient is the definition of the superdeterminant, (2.62), which is assumed to hold even for infinite dimensional matrices. The latter can be easly shown to be a consequence of (2.58).

6. The factor $[sdet\, G^+]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, in (2.55), is usually constant for the case of flat spaces. However, it contributes in an essential way in the case of curved spaces. For the system considered in this paper, it was explicitely calculated and shown to be 1. It is not difficult

to see that the amazing cancellations are due to supersymmetry. Considering the complexity of the system, (2.8), (2.14), and (2.15), this might be a general pattern for a large class of supersymmetric systems.

7. The appearence of $\frac{\hbar^2}{8}R$ in the Hamiltonian, (2.25), can be verified independently by comparing the heat kernel and loop expansions of the path integral, (2.55). This term contributes to the linear term in the heat kernel expansion, [21]. On the other hand, the presence of $\hbar^2$ is reminiscent of the contribution to the path integral in the 2-loop order. The complete 2-loop analysis of this problem is the subject of the next chapter.

Chapter 3

The Scalar Curvature Term in the Schrödinger Equation

Abstarct

The quantization of the superclassical system used in the proof of the index theorem results in a factor of $\frac{\hbar^2}{8}R$ in this Hamiltonian. The path integral expression for the kernel is analyzed up to and including 2-loop order. The existence of the scalar curvature term is confirmed by comparing the linear term in the heat kernel expansion with the 2-loop order terms in the loop expansion. In the operator formalism this term arises from the fermionic sector whereas in the path integral formulation it comes from the bosonic sector.

3.1 Introduction

In the preceding chapter, a supersymmetric proof of the twisted spin index theorem was presented. There, the Peierls bracket quantization was applied to the following supersymmetric Lagrangian:

$$L = \left[\tfrac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\,\dot{x}^\mu\,\dot{x}^\nu + \tfrac{i}{2}g_{\epsilon\gamma}\,\psi^\epsilon\left(\dot{\psi}^\gamma + \dot{x}^\mu\Gamma^\gamma_{\mu\theta}\psi^\theta\right)\right] + \tag{3.1}$$

$$+\kappa\left[i\eta^{a*}\left(\dot{\eta}^{a}+\dot{x}^{\sigma}A_{\sigma}^{ab}\eta^{\beta}\right)+\tfrac{1}{2}F_{\epsilon\gamma}^{ab}\,\psi^{\epsilon}\psi^{\gamma}\eta^{a*}\eta^{b}\right]+$$
$$+\tfrac{\alpha}{\beta}\eta^{a*}\eta^{a}\ .$$

The classical "momenta" were defined by:

$$p_{\mu}:=g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^{\nu}\ . \tag{3.2}$$

The Peierls bracket quantization led to the quantization of the supersymmetric charge:

$$Q=\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\psi^{\nu}\,g^{\frac{1}{4}}\,p_{\nu}g^{-\frac{1}{4}}\ . \tag{3.3}$$

The quantum mechanical Hamiltonian was then given by:

$$H=Q^{2}=\tfrac{1}{2}g^{-\frac{1}{4}}p_{\mu}g^{\frac{1}{2}}g^{\mu\nu}p_{\nu}g^{-\frac{1}{4}}+\tfrac{\hbar^{2}}{8}R-\tfrac{\kappa}{2}F_{\epsilon\gamma}^{ab}\psi^{\epsilon}\psi^{\gamma}\eta^{a*}\eta^{b}\ . \tag{3.4}$$

The fact that Q is identified with the (twisted) Dirac operator,

$$Q\equiv\not{D}\ , \tag{3.5}$$

defined H uniquely.

Reducing (3.1) to a purely bosonic system, i.e. setting $\psi=\eta=\eta^{*}=0$, one arrives at the Lagrangian for a free particle moving on a Riemannian manifold [1]. Equation (3.4) is in complete agreement with the analysis of the reduced system presented in [21]. There, the Hamiltonian was defined by requiring a particular factor ordering, namely by the time ordering [21, §6.5]. Furthermore, it was shown in [21, §6.6] that the term $\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8}R$ in the Hamiltonian contributed to the kernel:

$$K(x';t''|x';t'):=\langle x''=x';t''|x';t'\rangle\ , \tag{3.6}$$

a factor of

$$-\tfrac{i\hbar^{2}}{24}\,R(x')\,(t''-t')\ . \tag{3.7}$$

This was obtained using the heat kernel expansion of (3.6). The quantity: (3.7) is the linear term in the heat kernel expansion. In [21, §6.6], the 2-loop terms were computed. It was shown that indeed the loop expansion validates the existence of $\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8}R$ term. The presence of the scalar curvature factor in the

---

[1] In this dissertation the case of closed and simply connected Riemannian manifolds is considered. The reason is explained in Section 2.6 .

Schrödinger equation is discussed in [35]. For further review see, [36, 37] and references therein.[2]

The present chapter is devoted to the 2-loop analysis of the path integral formula for the kernel defined by the quantization of (3.1). It is shown that indeed the path integral used in the derivation of the index formula corresponds to the Hamiltonian given by (3.3). This serves as an important consistency check for the supersymmetric proof of the index theorem presented in Chapter 2. The curvature term in the Hamiltonian that was derived in Section 2.2 via operator methods, arises from the fermionic sector. This chapter displays the astonishing fact that in the path integral derivation it arises purely from the bosonic sector.[3]

In Section 3.2, the loop expansion is reviewed and the relevant 2-loop terms for the system of (3.1) are identified. In Sections 3.3 and 3.4, the 2-loop calculations are presented for the spin ($\kappa = \alpha = 0$) and twisted spin ($\kappa = 1$) cases, respectively.

Again the Latin indices label η's, the indices from the first and the second halves of the Greek alphabet label ψ's and x's, respectively [4]. The following conventional choices are also made:

$$\hbar = 1 \ , \ \ \beta := t'' \ , \text{ and } t' = 0 \ .$$

3.2 The Loop Expansion

Let Φ^i denote the coordinate (field) variables of a superclassical system. Then, if the Lagrangian is quadratic in $\dot{\Phi}$'s, one has [21, §5]:

$$K(\Phi'', t''|\Phi', t') := \langle \Phi'', t''|\Phi', t'\rangle = Z \int_{\Phi', t'}^{\Phi'', t''} e^{iS[\Phi]} (sdet\, G^{+}[\Phi])^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\Phi \ . \quad (3.8)$$

---

[2] In [37] it is shown that for the purely bosonic system whose Hamiltonian does not include the curvature factor, the short time and the WKB limit of the path integral does not coincide. This implies that there are examples for which the first term in the loop expansion is different from the first term in the heat kernel expansion. As it will be explicitly shown, the supersymmetric systems studied in this dissertation are not among these examples.

[3] This is a virtue of supersymmetry. One must keep in mind that one cannot extend the results of the analysis of a supersymmetric system to a purely bosonic or purely fermionic system by simply reducing the supersymmetric system to its bosonic and fermionic parts. This would clearly destroy the supersymmetry.

[4] ψ's are labelled by $\gamma, \delta, \epsilon, \theta, \eta$.

In the loop expansion of (3.8), one expands Φ around the classical paths Φ_0. Defining ϕ by:

$$\Phi(t) =: \Phi_0(t) + \phi(t) \ ,$$

one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} K(\Phi'', t''|\Phi', t') \ =& \ Z\,(sdet\,G_0^+)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, e^{iS_0} \int e^{\frac{i}{2}\phi^i\,{}_{i,}S_{0,j}\phi^j}\{\,1 + \\ &+\tfrac{i}{24}S_{0,ijkl}\,\phi^l\phi^k\phi^j\phi^i - \tfrac{1}{72}S_{0,ijk}\,S_{0,lmn}\,\phi^n\phi^m\phi^l\phi^k\phi^j\phi^i + \\ &\Big[-\tfrac{i}{24}\left(\epsilon_1\,S_{0,ijk}S_{0,lmn}\,G_0^{+nm} + \epsilon_2\,S_{0,mni}G_0^{+nm}S_{0,jkl}\right)\phi^l\phi^k\phi^j\phi^i + \\ &+\tfrac{1}{8}\epsilon_3\,S_{0,jki}G_0^{+kj}S_{0,mnl}G_0^{+nm}\,\phi^l\phi^i\Big] + \cdots\}\mathcal{D}\phi \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where,

$$\epsilon_1 := (-1)^{m(l+1)+ln}\ ,\ \epsilon_2 := (-1)^{m(i+1)+in}\ ,\ \text{and}\ \epsilon_3 := (-1)^{j(i+1)+m(l+1)+k+ln}\ .$$

The subscript "0" indicates that the corresponding quantity is evaluated at the classical path, e.g. $G_0^{+ij} := G^{+ij}[\Phi_0]$. Finally, "$\cdots$" are 3 and higher loop order terms.

To evaluate the right hand side of (3.9), one needs to perform the following functional Gaussian integrals:

$$\begin{aligned} \int e^{\frac{i}{2}\,\phi^i\,{}_{i,}S_{0,j}\phi^j}\mathcal{D}\phi \ &= \ c\,(sdet\,G)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \int e^{\frac{i}{2}\,\phi^i\,{}_{i,}S_{0,j}\phi^j}\phi^k\phi^l\,\mathcal{D}\phi \ &= \ -ic\,(sdet\,G)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,G^{kl} \\ \int e^{\frac{i}{2}\,\phi^i\,{}_{i,}S_{0,j}\phi^j}\phi^k\phi^l\phi^m\phi^n\,\mathcal{D}\phi \ &= \ (-i)^2c\,(sdet\,G)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\left(G^{kl}G^{mn} \pm \text{permu.}\right) \\ \int e^{\frac{i}{2}\,\phi^i\,{}_{i,}S_{0,j}\phi^j}\phi^k\phi^l\phi^m\phi^n\phi^p\phi^q\,\mathcal{D}\phi \ &= \ (-i)^3c(sdet\,G)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\left(G^{kl}G^{mn}G^{pq} \pm \text{permu.}\right)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

In (3.10), "G" is the Feynman propagator, "permu." are terms obtained by some permutations of the indices of the previous term, "±" depends on the "parity" of ϕ's appearing on the left hand side, and "c" is a possibly infinite constant of functional integration. The functional integrals in (3.8) and (3.10) are taken over all paths with fixed end points:

$$\phi'' := \phi(t'') = 0 \ \text{ and } \ \phi' := \phi(t') = 0\ .$$

This justifies the appearence of G in (3.10).

One must realize that the terms in square bracket in (3.9) originate from the expansion of $(sdet\, G^{+})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in (3.8). For the system under consideration (3.1), it was shown in Section 2.3 that

$$sdet(G^{+}) = 1 \qquad\qquad \text{for: } x'' = x'. \tag{3.11}$$

This simplifies the computations of Sections 3.3 and 3.4 considerably. In view of (3.11), the square bracket in (3.9) drops and (3.9) reduces to:

$$\begin{aligned} K &= K_{\text{WKB}} \Big\{ 1 - \tfrac{i}{24}\, S_{0,ijkl} \Big(G^{lk} G^{ji} \pm \text{permu.} \Big) + \\ &\quad + \tfrac{i}{72}\, S_{0,ijk} S_{0,lmn} \Big(G^{nm} G^{lk} G^{ji} \pm \text{permu.} \Big) + \cdots \Big\} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where,

$$K_{\text{WKB}} := Zc\, e^{iS_0} (sdet\, G)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.13}$$

is the “WKB” approximation of the kernel. In Sections 3.3 and 3.4, the terms:

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= S_{0,ijkl} \Big(G^{lk} G^{ji} \pm \text{permu.} \Big) \\ J &:= S_{0,ijk} S_{0,lmn} \Big(G^{nm} G^{lk} G^{ji} \pm \text{permu.} \Big) \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

are computed explicitly. They correspond to the following Feynman diagrams:

$$I \equiv \bigcirc\!\!\bigcirc \quad \text{and} \quad J \equiv \ominus \ .$$

3.3 2-Loop Calculations for the Case: $\kappa = 0$

For $\kappa = \alpha = 0$, the dynamical equations (2.12) are solved by (2.74):

$$x_0(t) = x_0 \quad , \quad \psi_0(t) = \psi_0 \ . \tag{3.15}$$

As in Chapter 2, all the computations will be performed in a normal coordinate system centered at x_0. Since K and K_{WKB} in (3.12) have the same tensorial properties, the curly bracket in (3.12) must be a scalar. This justifies the use of the normal coordinates.

The Feynman propagator was computed in Section 2.4:

$$\begin{aligned}
G^{\pi\xi'} &= g_0^{\pi\mu}\left[\theta(t-t')\frac{(e^{\mathcal{R}(t-\beta)}-1)(1-e^{-\mathcal{R}t'})}{\mathcal{R}(1-e^{-\mathcal{R}\beta})}+\right. && (3.16)\\
&\qquad \left.\theta(t'-t)\frac{(e^{\mathcal{R}t}-1)(1-e^{-\mathcal{R}(t'-\beta)})}{\mathcal{R}(e^{\mathcal{R}\beta}-1)}\right]^{\xi}_{\mu} &&\\
G^{\pi\delta'} &= G^{\gamma\xi'} \;=\; 0 && (3.17)\\
G^{\gamma\delta'} &= \tfrac{i}{2}g_0^{\gamma\delta}\left[\theta(t-t')-\theta(t'-t)\right] && (3.18)
\end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\mathcal{R}=(\mathcal{R}^{\mu}_{\tau})=(g_0^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{R}_{\tau\nu}):=\left(\tfrac{i}{2}g_0^{\mu\nu}R_{0\tau\nu\delta\theta}\psi_0^{\delta}\psi_0^{\theta}\right)\ . \qquad (3.19)$$

The functional derivatives of the action which appear in (3.14) are listed below:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{0,\tau\pi'\rho''} &= \mathcal{R}_{\tau\pi,\rho}\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t')\right]\delta(t-t'')+\mathcal{R}_{\rho\tau,\pi}\,\delta(t-t')\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t'')\right]\\
S_{0,\tau\pi'\epsilon''} &= iR_{0\tau\pi\gamma\epsilon}\psi_0^{\gamma}\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t')\right]\delta(t-t'')+i\Gamma_{0\epsilon\gamma\tau,\pi}\psi_0^{\gamma}\,\delta(t-t')\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t'')\right]\\
S_{0,\tau\gamma'\epsilon''} &= S_{0,\epsilon\gamma'\eta''} \;=\; 0\\
S_{0,\tau\pi'\rho''\kappa'''} &= \Big\{-g_{0\tau\pi,\rho\kappa}\left[\tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\delta(t-t')\right]\delta(t-t'')\delta(t-t''')+ \qquad (3.20)\\
&\qquad -g_{0\tau\rho,\kappa\pi}\,\delta(t-t')\left[\tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\delta(t-t'')\right]\delta(t-t''')+\\
&\qquad\qquad -g_{0\tau\kappa,\pi\rho}\,\delta(t-t')\delta(t-t'')\left[\tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\delta(t-t''')\right]\Big\}_1+\\
&\quad \Big\{-2\Gamma_{0\tau\pi\rho,\kappa}\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t')\right]\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t'')\right]\delta(t-t''')+\\
&\qquad -2\Gamma_{0\tau\rho\kappa,\pi}\,\delta(t-t')\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t'')\right]\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t''')\right]+\\
&\qquad\qquad -2\Gamma_{0\tau\kappa\pi,\rho}\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t')\right]\delta(t-t'')\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t''')\right]\Big\}_2+\\
&\quad \Big\{\mathcal{R}_{\tau\pi,\rho\kappa}\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t')\right]\delta(t-t'')\delta(t-t''')+\\
&\qquad +\mathcal{R}_{\tau\rho,\kappa\pi}\,\delta(t-t')\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t'')\right]\delta(t-t''')+\\
&\qquad\qquad +\mathcal{R}_{\tau\kappa,\pi\rho}\,\delta(t-t')\delta(t-t'')\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t''')\right]\Big\}_3+\\
&\quad \Big\{i(\Gamma_{0\mu\gamma\tau}\Gamma^{\mu}_{0\epsilon\pi})_{,\rho\kappa}\psi_0^{\gamma}\psi_0^{\epsilon}\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t')\right]\delta(t-t'')\delta(t-t''')+\\
&\qquad +i(\Gamma_{0\mu\gamma\tau}\Gamma^{\mu}_{0\epsilon\rho})_{,\kappa\pi}\psi_0^{\gamma}\psi_0^{\epsilon}\,\delta(t-t')\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t'')\right]\delta(t-t''')+\\
&\qquad\quad +i(\Gamma_{0\mu\gamma\tau}\Gamma^{\mu}_{0\epsilon\kappa})_{,\pi\rho}\psi_0^{\gamma}\psi_0^{\epsilon}\,\delta(t-t')\delta(t-t'')\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t''')\right]\Big\}_4
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{0,\tau\pi'\epsilon''\gamma'''} &= iR_{0\tau\pi\gamma\epsilon}\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t')\right]\delta(t-t'')\delta(t-t''') + \\
&\qquad +i\Gamma_{0\epsilon\gamma\tau,\pi}\,\delta(t-t')\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t'')\right]\delta 9t-t''') + \\
&\qquad\qquad -i\Gamma_{0\gamma\epsilon\tau,\pi}\,\delta(t-t')\delta(t-t'')\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t''')\right] \\
S_{0,\epsilon\gamma'\eta''\delta'''} &= 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where the indices are placed on some of the curly brackets for identification purposes. $S_{0,\tau\pi'\rho''\epsilon'''}$ and $S_{0,\tau\epsilon'\gamma''\eta'''}$ are omitted because, as will be seen shortly, they do not contribute to (3.14).

In view of (3.17), one needs to consider only the following terms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1 &:= S_{0,\tau\pi'\rho''\kappa'''}\left[G^{\tau\pi'}G^{\rho''\kappa'''} + G^{\tau\rho''}G^{\pi'\kappa'''} + G^{\tau\kappa'''}G^{\pi'\rho''}\right] \\
&= 3S_{0,\tau\pi'\rho''\kappa'''}G^{\tau\pi'}G^{\rho''\kappa'''} \\
I_2 &:= S_{0,\tau\pi'\epsilon''\gamma'''}\,G^{\tau\pi'}G^{\epsilon''\gamma'''} \\
J_1 &:= S_{0,\tau\pi'\rho''}\,S_{0,\mu'''\nu^{\varpi}\sigma^{\upsilon}}\,G^{\tau\pi'}G^{\rho''\mu'''}G^{\nu^{\varpi}\sigma^{\upsilon}} \\
J_2 &:= S_{0,\tau\pi'\epsilon''}\,S_{0,\mu'''\nu^{\varpi}\gamma^{\upsilon}}\,G^{\tau\pi'}G^{\epsilon''\gamma^{\upsilon}}G^{\mu'''\nu^{\varpi}}\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.21}
$$

where,

$$
I = I_1 + 6I_2\ . \tag{3.22}
$$

Here, 6 is a combinatorial factor and J is a linear combination of J_1 and J_2.

Calculation of I_1 and I_2

Let us denote by $I_{1.\alpha}$ the terms in I_1 which correspond to $\{\ \}_\alpha$ in $S_{0,\tau\pi'\rho''\kappa'''}$, with $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The computation of $I_{1.\alpha}$ is in order. One has:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1.1} &:= 3\int_0^\beta dt\int_0^\beta dt'\left(-g_{0\tau\pi,\rho\kappa}\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\delta(t-t')\right]G^{\tau\pi'}G^{\rho\kappa}\right) + \\
&\quad 3\int_0^\beta dt\int_0^\beta dt''\left(-g_{0\tau\rho,\kappa\pi}\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\delta(t-t'')\right]G^{\tau\pi}G^{\rho''\kappa}\right) + \\
&\quad 3\int_0^\beta dt\int_0^\beta dt'''\left(-g_{0\tau\kappa,\pi\rho}\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\delta(t-t''')\right]G^{\tau\pi}G^{\rho\kappa'''}\right) \\
&= -3\int_0^\beta dt\left(\left[g_{0\tau\pi,\rho\kappa} + 2g_{0\pi\rho,\tau\kappa}\right]G^{\rho\kappa}I^{\tau\pi}\right) \\
&= 3\int_0^\beta dt\left(R_{0\pi\tau\rho\kappa}\,G^{\rho\kappa}I^{\tau\pi}\right) \\
&= 0\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.23}
$$

In (3.23),

$$I^{\tau\pi} := \int_0^\beta dt' \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t'^2}\delta(t-t')G^{\tau\pi'}\right] \ , \tag{3.24}$$

and the third and forth equalities are established using [38, p. 56]:

$$g_{0\mu\nu,\sigma\tau} = -\tfrac{1}{3}\left(R_{0\mu\sigma\nu\tau} + R_{0\nu\sigma\mu\tau}\right) \ , \tag{3.25}$$

and

$$G^{\rho\kappa} = G^{\kappa\rho} \ . \tag{3.26}$$

Next, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{1.2} \quad := \quad & -6\Gamma_{0\tau\pi\rho,\kappa}\int_0^\beta dt\int_0^\beta dt'\int_0^\beta dt''\left(\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t')\right]\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\delta(t-t'')\right]G^{\tau\pi'}G^{\rho''\kappa}\right) + \\
& -6\Gamma_{0\tau\rho\kappa,\pi}\int_0^\beta dt\int_0^\beta dt''\int_0^\beta dt'''\left(\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t')\right]\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\delta(t-t''')\right]G^{\tau\pi}G^{\rho''\kappa'''}\right) + \\
& -6\Gamma_{0\tau\kappa\pi,\rho}\int_0^\beta dt\int_0^\beta dt'\int_0^\beta dt'''\left(\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t')\right]\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\delta(t-t''')\right]G^{\tau\pi'}G^{\rho\kappa'''}\right) \\
= \quad & -12\Gamma_{0\tau\pi\rho,\kappa}\int_0^\beta dt\left(\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t'}G^{\tau\pi'}\right|_{t'=t}\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t''}G^{\rho''\kappa}\right|_{t''=t}\right) + \\
& -6\Gamma_{0\tau\rho\kappa,\pi}\int_0^\beta dt\left(G^{\tau\pi}\left.\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t''\partial t'''}G^{\rho''\kappa'''}\right|_{t''=t'''=t}\right) \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

To evaluate the right hand side of (3.27), one needs the following relations:

$$\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t''}G^{\rho''\kappa}\right|_{t''=t} = \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t'}G^{\rho\kappa'}\right|_{t'=t} = \tfrac{1}{2}g_0^{\rho\nu}\left[\frac{1+e^{\mathcal{R}\beta}-2e^{\mathcal{R}t}}{1-e^{\mathcal{R}\beta}}\right]^{\kappa}_{\nu} \tag{3.28}$$

$$\left.\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t'\partial t}G^{\kappa\rho'}\right|_{t'=t} = -\tfrac{1}{2}g_0^{\kappa\mu}\left[\frac{\mathcal{R}(1+e^{\mathcal{R}\beta})}{1-e^{\mathcal{R}\beta}}\right]^{\rho}_{\mu} \ , \tag{3.29}$$

and [38, p. 55]:

$$\Gamma_{0\tau\pi\rho,\kappa} = -\tfrac{1}{3}\left(R_{0\tau\pi\rho\kappa} + R_{0\tau\rho\pi\kappa}\right) \ . \tag{3.30}$$

Equations (3.28) and (3.29) are obtained by differentiating (3.16), using symmetries of $\mathcal{R}$ and:

$$\theta(0) := \tfrac{1}{2} \ . \tag{3.31}$$

Combinning equations (3.27)-(3.30) and using (3.16), one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1.2} = {} & (R_{0\tau\pi\rho\kappa} + R_{0\tau\rho\pi\kappa}) \times \\ & \int_0^\beta dt \left(g_0^{\pi\mu} \left[\frac{1 + e^{\mathcal{R}\beta} - 2e^{\mathcal{R}t}}{1 - e^{\mathcal{R}\beta}} \right]^\tau_{\ \mu} g_0^{\rho\nu} \left[\frac{1 + e^{\mathcal{R}\beta} - 2e^{\mathcal{R}t}}{1 - e^{\mathcal{R}\beta}} \right]^\kappa_{\ \nu} \right) + \qquad (3.32) \\ & - (R_{0\tau\rho\kappa\pi} + R_{0\tau\kappa\rho\pi}) \times \\ & \int_0^\beta dt \left(g_0^{\tau\nu} \left[\frac{e^{\mathcal{R}\beta} - e^{\mathcal{R}t} - e^{-\mathcal{R}(t-\beta)} + 1}{\mathcal{R}(1 - e^{\mathcal{R}\beta})} \right]^\pi_{\ \nu} g_0^{\kappa\mu} \left[\frac{\mathcal{R}(1 + e^{\mathcal{R}\beta})}{1 - e^{\mathcal{R}\beta}} \right]^\rho_{\ \mu} \right) \end{aligned}$$

To identify the terms in (3.32) which are linear in β, one may recall that for every integral

$$\mathcal{I}(\beta) := \int_0^\beta dt\, f(\beta, t) \ ,$$

with an analytic integrand in both t and β, the linear term in β is given by:

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\bigg|_{\beta=0} \mathcal{I}(\beta) \right] \beta = f(\beta = 0, t = 0)\, \beta \ . \qquad (3.33)$$

Thus, one needs to examine the integrands in (3.32). This leads to

$$I_{1.2} = (R_{0\tau\pi\rho\kappa} + R_{0\tau\rho\pi\kappa})\ g_0^{\pi\tau} g_0^{\rho\kappa}\, \beta + O(\beta^2) = R_0\beta + O(\beta^2) \ , \qquad (3.34)$$

where, R_0 is the Ricci scalar curvature evaluated at x_0.

Next step is to compute:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1.3} \ := \ & 3\Big(\mathcal{R}_{\tau\pi,\rho\kappa} \textstyle\int_0^\beta dt\, G^{\rho\kappa}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} G^{\tau\pi'} \Big|_{t'=t} + \mathcal{R}_{\tau\rho,\kappa\pi} \int_0^\beta dt\, G^{\tau\pi}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial t''} G^{\rho''\kappa} \Big|_{t''=t} + \\ & \mathcal{R}_{\tau\kappa,\pi\rho} \textstyle\int_0^\beta dt\, G^{\tau\pi}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial t'''} G^{\rho\kappa'''} \Big|_{t'''=t} \Big) \ . \end{aligned}$$

This is done by using (3.28) and (3.33). The result is:

$$I_{1.3} = O(\beta^2) \ . \qquad (3.35)$$

The computation of $I_{1.4}$ is similar. Again, one obtains:

$$I_{1.4} = O(\beta^2) \ . \qquad (3.36)$$

This completes the calculation of I_1. Combinning (3.23), (3.34), (3.35), and (3.36), one has:

$$I_1 = R_0\beta + O(\beta^2) \ . \tag{3.37}$$

The computation of I_2 is straightforward. Substituting (3.18) and (3.20) in (3.21), one has:

$$I_2 = \int_0^\beta dt \int_0^\beta dt' \int_0^\beta dt'' \int_0^\beta dt''' \left[\mathcal{X}_{\tau\pi\gamma\epsilon}(t,t',t'',t''')\, \mathcal{Y}^{\tau\pi\gamma\epsilon}(t,t',t'',t''')\right] \ ,$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{\tau\pi\gamma\epsilon} \ &:= \ iR_{0\tau\pi\gamma\epsilon}\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t')\right]\delta(t-t'')\delta(t-t''') + \\ &\quad +i\Gamma_{0\epsilon\gamma\tau,\pi}\delta(t-t')\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t'')\right]\delta(t-t''') + \\ &\quad -i\Gamma_{0\gamma\epsilon\tau,\pi}\delta(t-t')\delta(t-t'')\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t''')\right] \\ \mathcal{Y}^{\tau\pi\gamma\epsilon} \ &:= \ -\tfrac{1}{4}G^{\tau\pi'}g_0^{\epsilon\gamma}[\theta(t''-t''') - \theta(t'''-t'')] \ . \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{X}$ is antisymmetric in $(\gamma \leftrightarrow \epsilon)$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ is symmetric in $(\gamma \leftrightarrow \epsilon)$, I_2 vanishes. This together with (3.22) and (3.37) lead to:

$$I = R_0\beta + O(\beta^2) \ . \tag{3.38}$$

Calculation of J_1 and J_2

Substituting (3.20) in (3.21) and peforming the integrations which involve δ-functions, one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 \ &= \ \int_0^\beta dt \int_0^\beta dt''' \Bigg(\mathcal{R}_{\tau\pi,\rho}\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu,\sigma} \ \frac{\partial}{\partial t'}G^{\tau\pi'}\bigg|_{t'=t} \ \frac{\partial}{\partial t^w}G^{\nu^w\sigma^v}\bigg|_{t^w=t^v=t'''} \ G^{\rho\mu'''} + \\ &\quad +\mathcal{R}_{\tau\pi,\rho}\mathcal{R}_{\sigma\mu,\nu} \ \frac{\partial}{\partial t'}G^{\tau\pi'}\bigg|_{t'=t} \ \frac{\partial}{\partial t^v}G^{\nu^w\sigma^v}\bigg|_{t^w=t^v=t'''} \ G^{\rho\mu'''} + \\ &\quad +\mathcal{R}_{\rho\tau,\pi}\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu,\sigma} \ \frac{\partial}{\partial t''}G^{\rho''\mu'''}\bigg|_{t''=t} \ \frac{\partial}{\partial t^w}G^{\nu^w\sigma^v}\bigg|_{t^w=t^v=t'''} \ G^{\tau\pi} + \\ &\quad +\mathcal{R}_{\rho\tau,\pi}\mathcal{R}_{\sigma\mu,\nu} \ \frac{\partial}{\partial t''}G^{\rho''\mu'''}\bigg|_{t''=t} \ \frac{\partial}{\partial t^v}G^{\nu^w\sigma^v}\bigg|_{t^w=t^v=t'''} \ G^{\tau\pi}\Bigg) \\ &= \ \int_0^\beta dt \int_0^\beta dt''' \left(\mathcal{R}_{\tau\pi,\rho}(\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu,\sigma} + \mathcal{R}_{\nu\mu,\sigma})\,[\cdots] + \mathcal{R}_{\rho\tau,\pi}(\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu,\sigma} + \mathcal{R}_{\nu\mu,\sigma})\,[\cdots]\right) \\ &= \ 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

In (3.39), the second equality is obtained by rearranging the indices and using (3.28). The terms $[\cdots]$ involve G's and their time derivatives. The last equality is established using the antisymmetry of $\mathcal{R}$:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} = -\mathcal{R}_{\nu\mu} \ . \tag{3.40}$$

The computation of J_2 is a little more involved. Carrying out the integrations involving δ-functions, one can write J_2 in the following form:

$$J_2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} J_{2.\alpha} \ , \tag{3.41}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{2.1} \ &:= \ -R_{0\tau\pi\delta\epsilon} R_{0\mu\nu\eta\gamma} \, \psi_0^\delta \psi_0^\eta \ \times \\
&\int_0^\beta dt \int_0^\beta dt''' \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} G^{\tau\pi'} \bigg|_{t'=t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\varpi} G^{\mu'''\nu^\varpi} \bigg|_{t^\varpi = t'''} G^{\epsilon\gamma'''} \right) && (3.42) \\
J_{2.2} \ &:= \ \tfrac{1}{3} R_{0\tau\pi\delta\epsilon} (R_{0\gamma\eta\mu\nu} + R_{0\gamma\mu\eta\nu}) \psi_0^\delta \psi_0^\eta \ \times \\
&\int_0^\beta dt \int_0^\beta dt''' \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} G^{\tau\pi'} \bigg|_{t'=t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\upsilon} G^{\epsilon\gamma^\upsilon} \bigg|_{t^\upsilon = t'''} G^{\mu'''\nu'''} \right) && (3.43) \\
J_{2.3} \ &:= \ \tfrac{1}{3} R_{0\mu\nu\eta\gamma} (R_{0\epsilon\delta\tau\pi} + R_{0\epsilon\tau\delta\pi}) \psi_0^\delta \psi_0^\eta \ \times \\
&\int_0^\beta dt \int_0^\beta dt''' \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t''} G^{\epsilon''\gamma'''} \bigg|_{t''=t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\varpi} G^{\mu'''\nu^\varpi} \bigg|_{t^\varpi = t'''} G^{\tau\pi} \right) && (3.44) \\
J_{2.4} \ &:= \ -\tfrac{1}{9} \left[(R_{0\epsilon\delta\tau\pi} + R_{0\epsilon\tau\delta\pi})(R_{0\gamma\eta\mu\nu} + R_{0\gamma\mu\eta\nu}) \right] \, \psi_0^\delta \psi_0^\eta \ \times \\
&\int_0^\beta dt \int_0^\beta dt''' \left(G^{\tau\pi} G^{\mu'''\nu'''} \, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^\upsilon \, \partial t''} G^{\epsilon''\gamma^\upsilon} \bigg|_{t''=t, t^\upsilon = t'''} \right) \ . && (3.45)
\end{aligned}$$

In (3.43)-(3.45), use has been made of (3.30).

Consider the integrals ($:= \int\int$) in (3.42). $\int\int$ is symmetric under the exchange of the pairs $(\tau, \pi) \leftrightarrow (\mu, \nu)$. The term $\psi_0^\delta \psi_0^\eta$ is antisymmetric in $\delta \leftrightarrow \eta$. Thus, the term $(R.R)$ is antisymmetrized in $\epsilon \leftrightarrow \gamma$. However, according to (3.18), $G^{\epsilon\gamma'''}$ involves $g_0^{\epsilon\gamma}$ which is symmetric in $\epsilon \leftrightarrow \gamma$. This makes $J_{2.1}$ vanish. Furthermore, using (3.33) one finds out that $J_{2.2}$ and $J_{2.3}$ are at least of order β^2. $\int\int$ in (3.45) is symmetric under $\tau \leftrightarrow \pi$, $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$, and $(\mu, \nu) \leftrightarrow (\tau, \pi)$. This allows only the term $R_{0\epsilon\tau\delta\pi} R_{0\gamma\mu\eta\nu}$ to survive in the square bracket in (3.45). Moreover, this term is symmetrized in $(\mu, \nu) \leftrightarrow (\tau, \pi)$, or alternatively in $(\epsilon, \delta) \leftrightarrow (\gamma, \eta)$. Since $\psi_0^\delta \psi_0^\eta$ is antisymmetric in $\delta \leftrightarrow \eta$, the surviving term which is multiplied by $\int\int$ can be antisymmetrized in $\epsilon \leftrightarrow \gamma$. However, due to (3.18) $\int\int$ is symmetric in these indices. Hence, $J_{2.4}$ vanishes too.

This concludes the computation of the 2-loop terms in the case $\kappa = \alpha = 0$. Combinning (3.12), (3.14), (3.22), (3.38), (3.39), and (3.41), one finally obtains:

$$K = K_{\text{WKB}} \left\{ 1 - \tfrac{i}{24} R_0 \beta + O(\beta^2) \right\} \ . \tag{3.46}$$

3.4 2-Loop Calculations for the Case: $\kappa = 1$

First, the following special case will be considered:

$$\tilde{K} := \langle x, \psi, \eta^* = 0 | x, \psi, \eta = 0 \rangle \ . \tag{3.47}$$

The dynamical equations (2.12), are solved by (2.112):

$$\begin{aligned} x_0(t) &= x_0 \\ \psi_0(t) &= \psi_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \tilde{\psi}_0 \\ \eta_0(t) &= 0 \\ \eta_0^*(t) &= 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

Following Section 2.5, one chooses a normal coordinate system centered at x_0.

The Feynman propagator is given by:

$$\left(G^{ij'} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} G^{\pi\xi'} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & G^{\gamma\delta'} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & G^{ac^{*\prime}} \\ 0 & 0 & G^{a^*c'} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{3.49}$$

where $G^{\pi\xi'}$ and $G^{\gamma\delta'}$ are given by (3.16) and (3.18), respectively. Equations (2.118) provide the remaining components of the Feynman propagator:

$$\begin{aligned} G^{ac^{*\prime}} &= \left[\tfrac{i}{2} e^{i(\mathcal{F} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} 1_{n\times n})(t-t')} \right]^{ac} [\theta(t-t') - \theta(t'-t)] \\ G^{a^*c'} &= \left[\tfrac{i}{2} e^{i(\mathcal{F}^* + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} 1_{n\times n})(t-t')} \right]^{ac} [\theta(t-t') - \theta(t'-t)] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

Here,

$$\mathcal{F} = \left(\mathcal{F}^{ab}\right) := \left(\tfrac{1}{2} F^{ab}_{0\epsilon\gamma} \psi^{\epsilon}_{0} \psi^{\gamma}_{0}\right) \ ,$$

and $1_{n\times n}$ is the $n \times n$ unit matrix.

The functional derivatives of the action which enter into the computation of I and J, (3.14), are listed below [5]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{S}_{0,\tau\pi'\xi''} &= S_{0,\tau\pi'\xi''}\big|_{\kappa=\alpha=0} && (3.51)\\
\tilde{S}_{0,\tau\pi'\epsilon''} &= S_{0,\tau\pi'\epsilon''}\big|_{\kappa=\alpha=0} && (3.52)\\
\tilde{S}_{0,\epsilon\gamma'\theta''} &= 0 \ = \ \tilde{S}_{0,\epsilon\gamma'\theta''\delta'''} && (3.53)\\
\tilde{S}_{0,\tau\pi'\xi''\rho'''} &= S_{0,\tau\pi'\xi''\rho'''}\big|_{\kappa=\alpha=0} && (3.54)\\
\tilde{S}_{0,\tau\pi'\gamma''\epsilon'''} &= S_{0,\tau\pi'\gamma''\epsilon'''}\big|_{\kappa=\alpha=0} && (3.55)\\
\tilde{S}_{0,\tau\pi'c''} &= 0 \ = \ \tilde{S}_{0,\tau\pi'c*''} && (3.56)\\
\tilde{S}_{0,\tau\gamma'c''} &= 0 \ = \ \tilde{S}_{0.\tau\gamma'c*''} && (3.57)\\
S_{,\tau a'c''} &= 0 \ = \ S_{,\tau a*'c*''} && (3.58)\\
\tilde{S}_{0,\tau a'c*''} &= \mathcal{F}^{ca}_{,\tau}\, \delta(t-t')\, \delta(t-t'') && (3.59)\\
\tilde{S}_{0,\epsilon\gamma'c''} &= 0 \ = \ \tilde{S}_{0,\epsilon\gamma'c*''} && (3.60)\\
S_{,\epsilon c'd''} &= 0 \ = \ S_{,\epsilon c*'d*''} && (3.61)\\
\tilde{S}_{0,\epsilon c'd*''} &= F^{dc}_{0\delta\epsilon}\psi^{\delta}_{0}\, \delta(t-t')\, \delta(t-t'') && (3.62)\\
\tilde{S}_{0,\tau\pi'\xi''c'''} &= 0 \ = \ \tilde{S}_{0,\tau\pi'\xi''c*'''} && (3.63)\\
\tilde{S}_{0,\tau\pi'\gamma''c'''} &= 0 \ = \ \tilde{S}_{0,\tau\pi'\gamma''c*'''} && (3.64)\\
S_{,\tau\pi'c''d'''} &= 0 \ = \ S_{,\tau\pi'c*''d*'''} && (3.65)\\
\tilde{S}_{0,\tau\pi'c''d*'''} &= iF^{dc}_{0\tau\pi}\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t')\right]\delta(t-t'')\delta(t-t''') + && (3.66)\\
&\quad -iA^{dc}_{0\tau,\pi}\, \delta(t-t')\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\delta(t-t'')\delta(t-t''')\right] + \mathcal{F}^{dc}_{,\tau\pi}\, \delta(t-t')\delta(t-t'')\delta(t-t''') &&\\
\tilde{S}_{0,\tau\gamma'\epsilon''c'''} &= 0 \ = \ \tilde{S}_{0,\tau\gamma'\epsilon''c*'''} && (3.67)\\
\tilde{S}_{0,\tau\gamma'c''d*'''} &= F^{dc}_{0\epsilon\gamma,\tau}\, \delta(t-t')\delta(t-t'')\delta(t-t''') && (3.68)\\
\tilde{S}_{0,\epsilon\gamma'c''d*'''} &= F^{dc}_{0\epsilon\gamma}\, \delta(t-t')\delta(t-t'')\delta(t-t''') \ . && (3.69)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, “ ˜ ” ’s are placed to indicate that the special case of (3.47) is under consideration.

---

[5] The other terms are obtained from this list using the rules of changing the order of differentiation.

Equations (3.51)-(3.55), indicate that the 2-loop contributions due to the terms which involve only the Greek indices are the same as the case of $\kappa = \alpha = 0$, i.e. these terms contribute a factor of $-\frac{i}{24}R_0\beta$ to the kernel. Consequently, it is sufficient to show that the remaining 2-loop terms vanish.

Computation of the Terms of Type I

In view of (3.49) and (3.51)-(3.69), the following terms must be considered:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_1 &:= \tilde{S}_{0,\tau\pi'c''d^{*'''}}\, G^{\tau\pi'} G^{c''d^{*'''}} && (3.70) \\ \tilde{I}_2 &:= \tilde{S}_{0,\epsilon\gamma'c''d^{*'''}}\, G^{\epsilon\gamma'} G^{c''d^{*'''}} \; . && (3.71) \end{aligned}$$

Performing the integration overs δ-functions, one has:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_1 \; = \; \int_0^\beta dt \, \Bigg(& iF^{dc}_{0\tau\pi} \, \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} G^{\tau\pi'} \bigg|_{t=t'} G^{cd^*} + && (3.72) \\ & -iA^{dc}_{0\tau,\pi}\, G^{\tau\pi} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t''} G^{c''d^*} \bigg|_{t''=t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t'''} G^{cd^{*'''}} \bigg|_{t'''=t} \right] + \mathcal{F}^{dc}_{,\tau\pi}\, G^{\tau\pi} G^{cd^*} \Bigg) \; . \end{aligned}$$

The first and the last terms in the integrand of (3.72) vanish because according to (3.50):

$$G^{cd^*} := G^{cd^{*'}} \bigg|_{t'=t} = 0 \; . \tag{3.73}$$

Moreover, one has:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} G^{c'd^*} \bigg|_{t'=t} = i\delta(0)\delta^{cd} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} G^{cd^{*'}} \bigg|_{t'=t} \; . \tag{3.74}$$

Thus, the remaining terms cancel and one obtains:

$$\tilde{I}_1 = 0 \; .$$

The computation of $\tilde{I}_2$ is quite simple. Substituting (3.18), (3.50), (3.69) in (3.71) and using (3.73), one finds:

$$\tilde{I}_2 = \int_0^\beta dt \left(F^{dc}_{0\epsilon\gamma}\, G^{\epsilon\gamma} G^{cd^*} \right) = 0 \; . \tag{3.75}$$

The other terms of type I which involve Latin indices are proportional to $\tilde{I}_1$ or $\tilde{I}_2$ and hence vanish.

Computation of the Terms of Type J

There are six different terms of type J which must be considered. These are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{J}_1 &:= \tilde{S}_{0,\tau\pi'\xi''}\tilde{S}_{0,\kappa'''c^w d^{*v}}\, G^{\tau\pi'}G^{\xi''\kappa'''}G^{c^w d^{*v}} \\
\tilde{J}_2 &:= \tilde{S}_{0,\tau\pi'\epsilon''}\tilde{S}_{0,\gamma'''c^w d^{*v}}\, G^{\tau\pi'}G^{\epsilon''\gamma'''}G^{c^w d^{*v}} \\
\tilde{J}_3 &:= \tilde{S}_{0,\tau a' b^{*''}}\tilde{S}_{0,\pi'''c^w d^{*v}}\, G^{\tau\pi'''}G^{a' b^{*''}}G^{c^w d^{*v}} \\
\tilde{J}_4 &:= \tilde{S}_{0,\tau a b^{*'}}\tilde{S}_{0,\pi'''c^w d^{*v}}\, G^{\tau\pi'''}G^{a' d^{*v}}G^{c^w b^{*''}} \\
\tilde{J}_5 &:= \tilde{S}_{0,\epsilon a' b^{*''}}\tilde{S}_{0,\gamma'''c^w d^{*v}}\, G^{\epsilon\gamma'''}G^{a' b^{*''}}G^{c^w d^{*v}} \\
\tilde{J}_6 &:= \tilde{S}_{0,\epsilon a' b^{*''}}\tilde{S}_{0,\gamma'''c^w d^{*v}}\, G^{\epsilon\gamma'''}G^{a' d^{*v}}G^{c^w b^{*''}} \ .
\end{aligned}$$

The following relations are useful in the computation of $\tilde{J}$'s. Using (3.73), one has:

$$\tilde{S}_{0,\kappa c' d^{*''}}\, G^{c' d^{*''}} = \mathcal{F}^{dc}_{,\kappa}\, G^{cd^*} = 0 \tag{3.76}$$

$$\tilde{S}_{0,\gamma c' d^{*''}}\, G^{c' d^{*''}} = F^{dc}_{0\delta\gamma}\psi^{\delta}_{0}\, G^{cd^*} = 0 \ . \tag{3.77}$$

Equations (3.76) and (3.77) lead immidiately to:

$$\tilde{J}_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{for} : \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 5 \ . \tag{3.78}$$

It remains to calculate $\tilde{J}_4$ and $\tilde{J}_6$. In view of (3.59) and (3.62), one has:

$$\tilde{J}_4 = \mathcal{F}^{ba}_{,\tau}\mathcal{F}^{dc}_{,\pi}\int_0^\beta dt \int_0^\beta dt'''\, G^{\tau\pi'''}G^{ad^{*'''}}G^{c'''b^*} \tag{3.79}$$

$$\tilde{J}_6 = F^{ba}_{0\delta\epsilon}F^{dc}_{0\theta\gamma}\psi^{\delta}_{0}\psi^{\theta}_{0}\int_0^\beta dt \int_0^\beta dt'''\, G^{\epsilon\gamma'''}G^{ad^{*'''}}G^{c'''b^*} \ , \tag{3.80}$$

Using (3.33) and examining the integrands in (3.79) and (3.80), one finally arrives at:

$$\tilde{J}_\alpha = O(\beta^2) \quad \text{for} : \alpha = 4, 6 \ . \tag{3.81}$$

This concludes the 2-loop calculations for the special case of (3.48). For this case the kernel is given by:

$$\tilde{K} = \tilde{K}_{\mathrm{WKB}}\left(1 - \tfrac{i}{24}R_0\beta + O(\beta^2)\right) \ . \tag{3.82}$$

In the rest of this section, it is shown that the same conclusion is reached even for the general case where $\eta \neq 0 \neq \eta^*$, i.e. for

$$K := \langle x, \psi, \eta^* | x, \psi, \eta \rangle \ .$$

In the general case, the dynamical equations (2.12) are solved by (2.107), (D.6), and (D.7):

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_0(t) & = & x_0 + O(\beta) \\ \psi_0(t) & = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \left[\tilde{\psi}_0 + O(\beta) \right] \\ \eta_0^a(t) & = & O(1) \\ \eta_0^{a*}(t) & = & O(1) \ . \end{array} \tag{3.83}$$

It is easy to check that the terms in $S_{0,\ldots}$'s which involve η's or η^*'s, and thus survive in the general case, are all of higher order in β than the terms considered above. Therefore, the contribution of these terms are of order β^2 or higher. For instance,

$$S_{0,\tau\pi'\rho''} = \tilde{S}_{0,\tau\pi'\rho''} + \Sigma_{\tau\pi\rho}$$

where,

$$\tilde{S}_{0,\tau\pi'\rho''} = O(\beta^{-4})$$

is given by (3.51), and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Sigma_{\tau\pi\rho} & := & \Big[i(A^{ab}_{0\pi,\tau} - A^{ab}_{0\tau,\pi})_{,\rho} \eta_0^{a*} \eta_0^b + -i A^{cd}_{0\tau,\pi\rho} (\dot{\eta}_0^{c*} \eta_0^d + \eta_0^{c*} \dot{\eta}_0^d) + \\ & & + \, i(A^{cd}_{0\sigma,\tau} - A^{cd}_{0\tau,\sigma})_{,\pi\rho} \dot{x_0}^\sigma \eta_0^{c*} \eta_0^d + \mathcal{F}_{,\tau\pi\rho} \eta_0^{c*} \eta_0^d \Big] \, \delta(t-t') \delta(t-t'') + \\ & & + i(A_{0\rho,\tau} - A_{0\tau,\rho})_{,\pi} \delta(t-t') \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\delta(t-t'') \right] \\ & = & O(\beta^{-3}) \ . \end{array}$$

To determine the order of the terms in β, one proceeds as in Section 2.5, namely one makes the following change of time variable:

$$s := \frac{t}{\beta} \in [0,1] \ . \tag{3.84}$$

For example, one has:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta(t-t') = \beta^{-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\delta(s-s') = O(\beta^{-2}) \ .$$

The terms for which the above argument might not apply are those that vanish identically in the special case of (3.48) but survive otherwise. These are:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
J_7 & := & S_{0,\tau\pi'c''}S_{0,\xi'''\rho^w d^{*v}}\, G^{\tau\pi'}G^{\xi''\rho^w}G^{c''d^{*v}} \\
J_8 & := & S_{0,\tau\pi'c''}S_{0,\xi'''\rho^w d^{*v}}\, G^{\tau\xi'''}G^{\pi'\rho^w}G^{c''d^{*v}} \\
J_9 & := & S_{0,\tau\gamma'c''}S_{0,\pi'''\epsilon^w d^{*v}}\, G^{\tau\pi'''}G^{\gamma'\epsilon^w}G^{c''d^{*v}} \\
J_{10} & := & S_{0,\epsilon\gamma'c''}S_{0,\delta'''\theta^w d^{*v}}\, G^{\epsilon\gamma'}G^{\delta'''\theta^w}G^{c''d^{*v}} \\
J_{11} & := & S_{0,\epsilon\gamma'c''}S_{0,\delta'''\theta^w d^{*v}}\, G^{\epsilon\delta'''}G^{\gamma'\theta^w}G^{c''d^{*v}} \ .
\end{array}$$

However, one can easily show that the contribution of these terms to the kernel is of the order β^2. The following relations are useful:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
S_{0,\tau\pi'c''} & = & O(\beta^{-3}) \ = \ S_{0,\tau\pi'c^{*''}} \\
S_{0,\tau\gamma'c''} & = & O(\beta^{-\frac{5}{2}}) \\
S_{0,\epsilon\gamma'c''} & = & O(\beta^{-2}) \ = \ S_{0,\epsilon\gamma'c^{*''}} \\
G^{\tau\pi'} & = & O(\beta) \\
G^{\gamma\epsilon'} & = & O(1) \ = \ G^{cd^{*'}} \ .
\end{array}$$

Furthermore, each integral:

$$\int_0^\beta dt \cdots = \beta \int_0^1 ds \cdots$$

contributes a factor of β. Putting all this together, one finds out that $J_7, \cdots, J_{11}$ are all of order β^2.

This concludes the 2-loop calculations for the general case of (3.83). The final result is:

$$K = K_{\rm WKB}\left[1 - \tfrac{i}{24}R_0\beta + O(\beta^2)\right] \ . \tag{3.85}$$

3.5 Remarks and Discussion

Equation (3.85) verifies the existence of the scalar curvature factor in the Hamiltonian and provides a consistency check for the supersymmetric proof of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem presented in Chapter 2. [6] It is remarkable that in the operator formulation the scalar curvature factor originates from the supercommutation relations involving the fermionic variables whereas in the path integral formulation it appears in the bosonic sector.

It must be emphasized that the 2-loop term in (3.85) does not contribute to the index formula (2.131). This is simply because the ψ-integrations in (2.103) eliminate such a term.

---

[6] After the completion of the present work, the author was informed of an article by Peeters and van Nieuwenhuizen [39] whose results confirm the existence of the curvature factor in the Hamiltonian.

Chapter 4

Conclusion

The Peierls quantization scheme provides a systematic procedure for quantizing superclassical systems. Supersymmetry results in remarkable simplifications in the path integral evaluation of the kernel. In particular, the miraculous simplifications that occur in the computation of the path integral measure are due to supersymmetry. The WKB approximation for the path integral yields the index formula exactly. The supersymmetric proof of the index theorem is based on the assumption that the ordinary Gaussian integral formula holds even in the functional integral case. This can be viewed as a definition of the Gaussian superdeterminant. The existence of a rigorous supersymmetric proof of a substantial mathematical result such as the index theorem, is another indication of the validity and the power of the path integral techniques. It is particularly remarkable to observe that even the normalization constants agree with those chosen by mathematicians.

The scalar curvature factor in the Schrödinger equation yields a factor of $-iR\beta/24$ in the heat kernel expansion of the path integral. The loop expansion provides an independent test of the validity of this assertion. In particular, this factor is obtained in the two-loop order. Thus, it is shown that indeed the path integral used in the derivation of the index formula corresponds to the Hamiltonian defined by the twisted Dirac operator.

Appendix A

A Brief Review of Index Theory

Abstract

The mathematical concepts related to the index theory are introduced. The Atiyah-Singer index theorem is presented and its relation to K-theory is briefly discussed. The heat kernel proof of the index theorem is also outlined. The latter is closely related to the supersymmetric proofs of the index theorem.

A.1 Complex of Hermitian Bundles

Let M be a Riemannian manifold of dimension m. M is said to be *closed* if it is compact and has no boundary. A complex vector bundle V on M, [8], is said to be a *hermitian bundle* if it is endowed with a *(fibre) metric* and a compatible *connection*. The word compatible means that the covariant derivative of the metric vanishes. Let $\{\varphi_i(x)\}$ be a set of local basic sections over an open neighborhood $O \subset M$. Then, every section s of V is locally expressed by:

$$s(x) = s^i(x)\,\varphi_i(x) \quad ; \quad i = 1, \cdots, n \; ; \; \forall x \in O \; .$$

Here n denotes the fibre dimension of V and $s^i(x)$ are the local components of s in the basis $\{\varphi_i(x)\}$. Furthermore, let $\mathbf{h}$ denote the hermitian metric on V. It can be locally determined by the $(n \times n)$ dimensional matrix $(h_{ij}(x))$ of its components, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{h} =: h_{ij}(x)\,\varphi^i(x)\,\varphi^j(x) \; ,$$

where $\{\varphi^i(x)\}$ is the dual basis to $\{\varphi_i(x)\}$ [1]. $\mathbf{h}$ defines a hermitian inner product on V. For any pair of sections s_1 and s_2 of V, their inner product is given by

$$(s_1, s_2) := \int_M s_1^{i*}(x)\,h_{ij}(x)\,s_2^j(x)\,d\Omega \; ,$$

where $d\Omega$ is the volume form of M. For a more detailed discussion of hermitian bundles, refer to [40, 22].

Let $V_0, V_1, \cdots, V_k$ be a sequence of hermitian vector bundles over M. The vector space of smooth (C^∞) sections of V_i is denoted by $C^\infty(V_i)$. Let

$$D_i : C^\infty(V_i) \longrightarrow C^\infty(V_{i+1}) \quad , \quad i = 0, \cdots, k-1$$

be arbitrary differential operators. Then, the sequence

$$\mathcal{C} : \; C^\infty(V_0) \xrightarrow{D_0} C^\infty(V_1) \xrightarrow{D_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{D_{k-1}} C^\infty(V_k) \tag{A.1}$$

is said to be a *complex* if

$$im(D_i) \subset ker(D_{i+1}) \;\; , \;\; \forall i = 0, \cdots, k-2 \; ,$$

or alternatively

$$D_{i+1}\, o\, D_i = 0 \; .$$

Here, the symbols *im* and *ker* stand for *image* and *kernel*, respectively. The sequence $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be *exact* if

$$im(D_i) = ker(D_{i+1}) \; .$$

Clearly, any exact sequence is a complex.

---

[1] The bases $\{\varphi_i(x)\}$ and $\{\varphi^i(x)\}$ are the analogs of the local frames $\{e_\mu(x)\}$ and $\{e^\mu(x)\}$ of the Riemannian geometry. Persuing this analogy, one has the correspondence between V and the tangent bundle TM, and $\mathbf{h} = (h_{ij}(x))$ and the Riemannian metric $\mathbf{g} = (g_{\mu\nu}(x))$.

A.2 Symbol of a Differential Operator

Let V_+ and V_- be two hermitain vector bundles of fibre dimension n_+ and n_- and

$$D : C^\infty (V_+) \longrightarrow C^\infty (V_-)$$

be a differential operator. Let us choose a local coordinate patch and denote its points by their coordinates, $x = (x^\mu)$. The operator D can be locally expressed in the form

$$D = \sum_{l=1}^{d} \mathbf{a}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l}(x)\, D_{\mu_1} \cdots D_{\mu_l} + \mathbf{a}(x) \quad , \quad x = (x^\mu) \tag{A.2}$$

where μ's take values in $1, \cdots, m = dim(M)$, D_μ's are defined by

$$D_\mu := -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \ ,$$

and $\mathbf{a}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l}$'s and $\mathbf{a}$ are $(n_- \times n_+)$ dimensional matrix-valued functions of x^μ. The integer d is called the order of D. For example a first order differential operator is locally represented by

$$D^{(1)} = \mathbf{a}^\mu(x)\, D_\mu + \mathbf{a}(x) \ . \tag{A.3}$$

It is easy to verify that only the coefficients $(\mathbf{a}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_d})$ of the highest order part of a differential operator have tensorial properties. This is quite straightforward to see in the case of a first order operator (A.3). The *symbol* of D is obtained by taking the highest order part of D and viewing it as a homogeneous polynomial in D_μ's. For convenience, one often changes the notation

$$D_\mu \longrightarrow \xi_\mu \ .$$

Because of the obvious transformation property of D_μ's under coordinate transformations, one can actually view ξ_μ's as the coordinates of some point ξ in the *cotangent space* TM_x^* at x. Then (x, ξ) denote the points of the *cotangent bundle* TM^* of M. The symbol of D is locally given by

$$\sigma_D(x, \xi) := \mathbf{a}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_d}(x)\, \xi_{\mu_1} \cdots \xi_{\mu_d} \ . \tag{A.4}$$

As σ_D transforms tensorially it can be globally defined on TM^*.

On the other hand, since D is a linear operator, $\sigma_D(x,\xi)$ is a linear transformation (homomorphism) of the vector spaces:

$$\sigma_D(x,\xi) : V_{+x} \longrightarrow V_{-x} \; .$$

Here $V_{\pm x}$ denote the fibres of $V_\pm$ over x. Globally, the symbol of D defines the following mapping:

$$\sigma_D : TM^* \longrightarrow End(V_+, V_-) \; , \tag{A.5}$$

where $End(V_+, V_-)$ denotes the set of all fibrewise linear maps from V_+ to V_-.

The operator D is said to be an *elliptic* operator if for all $x \in M$ and all nonzero $\xi \in TM^*_x$ the linear map (A.4) defined by the symbol is an isomorphism. If D is an elliptic operator, its symbol defines a map

$$\sigma_D : TM^* - M \longrightarrow Iso(V_+, V_-) \; . \tag{A.6}$$

In (A.6), I have used the canonical identification of the *zero section* of TM^* with M. The symbol $Iso(V_+, V_-)$ denotes the subset of $End(V_+, V_-)$ consisting of the fibrewise bijective (one-to-one and onto) maps. In particular, if there is an elliptic operator between two hermitian bundles they must have the same fibre dimension.

A.3 Adjoint of a Differential Operator

Let D be an arbitrary differential operator as given locally by (A.2). The adjoint of D is a differential operator

$$D^\dagger : C^\infty(V_-) \longrightarrow C^\infty(V_+) \tag{A.7}$$

of the same order. Let $s_\pm$ be arbitrary sections of $V_\pm$, then $D^\dagger$ is defined by the following expression:

$$\int_M (s_- \, , \, D\, s_+)_- \, d\Omega =: \int_M (D^\dagger\, s_- \, , s_+)_+ \, d\Omega \; , \tag{A.8}$$

where $(.\,,.)_\pm$ are the hermitian inner products on $V_\pm$ defined by their hermitian metrices, and $d\Omega$ denotes the volume form of the manifold M. It is easy to see that the adjoint operator $D^\dagger$ depends not only on the original operator D, but also on the geometric structures of the base manifold and the vector bundles.

A.4 Elliptic Complexes and Their Indices

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a complex of $k+1$ hermitian vector bundles as in (A.1) and denote the symbols of the differential operators D_i by $\sigma_i(x,\xi)$. Then, if for all $x \in M$ and all $\xi \in TM^*_x - \{0\}$ the sequence

$$0 \hookrightarrow V_{0x} \overset{\sigma_0(x,\xi)}{\longrightarrow} V_{1x} \overset{\sigma_1(x,\xi)}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \overset{\sigma_{k-1}(x,\xi)}{\longrightarrow} V_{kx} \overset{0}{\longrightarrow} 0 \tag{A.9}$$

is exact, the complex $\mathcal{C}$ is called an *elliptic complex*. Note that in (A.9), the symbols are viewed as linear maps between vector spaces, and the exactness means that

$$Im\, \sigma_i(x,\xi) = Ker\, \sigma_{i+1}(x,\xi) \ .$$

In particular for $k=1$, there is a single differential operator $D := D_0$

$$\mathcal{C}_1 : 0 \hookrightarrow C^\infty(V_0) \overset{D}{\longrightarrow} C^\infty(V_1) \longrightarrow 0 \ . \tag{A.10}$$

The sequence defined by the symbol, in this case, is

$$0 \hookrightarrow V_{0x} \overset{\sigma_D(x,\xi)}{\longrightarrow} V_{1x} \overset{0}{\longrightarrow} 0 \ . \tag{A.11}$$

If the complex $\mathcal{C}_1$ is elliptic, then the sequence (A.11) is exact. This implies that for all $x \in M$ and $\xi \in TM^*_x - 0$, $Ker\, \sigma_D(x,\xi) = \{0\}$ and $Im\, \sigma_D(x,\xi) = Ker\,(0\text{-map}) = V_{1x}$. The former condition means that the symbol map is one-to-one and the latter indicates that it is onto. Thus, the map $\sigma_D(x,\xi)$ is bijective and D is an elliptic operator. In this sense the concept of "elliptic complex" is a generalization of the concept of "elliptic operator". I will show that indeed for any elliptic complex there is an elliptic operator that carries all the topological information and as far as the index problem is concerned one can restrict to the *short elliptic complexes* (A.10), i.e., elliptic operators.

Let us consider a linear operator $P : \mathcal{H}_+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_-$, where $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ are vector spaces. The *kernel* and the *cokernel* of P are defined respectively by:

$$\begin{aligned} ker(P) &:= \{v_+ \in \mathcal{H}_+ \ : \ P(v_+) = 0\,\} \\ coker(P) &:= \mathcal{H}_-/im(P) \ . \end{aligned}$$

If the dimensions of $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ are not finite, then the kernel and the cokernel of P might not be finite dimensional. The operators whose kernel and cokernel are finite dimensional are called *Fredholm operators*. For a Fredholm operator, one defines its *analytic index* by

$$index(P) := dim(ker\ P) - dim(coker\ P)\ .$$

For the case of differential operators which act on the sections of hermitian vector bundles, the spaces $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ correspond to the spaces of sections $C^\infty(V_\pm)$. These are clearly infinite dimensional. However, one can show that elliptic operators are Fredholm operators [27] and their analytic index is a finite integer. I shall denote the analytic index of an elliptic operator D, by "index". The index theorem gives a formula to compute this quantity in terms of the geometric structures of the spaces involved and the symbol of the operator (i.e., the coefficients of the highest order part of D). Furthermore, it is not difficult to show that

$$coker(D) = ker(D^\dagger)\ .$$

Thus, one has

$$index(D) := dim(ker\ D) - dim(ker\ D^\dagger)\ . \tag{A.12}$$

The concept of the index of an elliptic operator can be generalized to elliptic complexes. The index of an elliptic complex $\mathcal{C}$:

$$\mathcal{C}:\ 0 \overset{D_{-1}}{\hookrightarrow} C^\infty(V_0) \xrightarrow{D_0} C^\infty(V_1) \xrightarrow{D_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{D_{k-1}} C^\infty(V_k 0 \xrightarrow{D_k:=0} 0 \tag{A.13}$$

is defined by

$$index(\mathcal{C}) := \sum_{l=0}^{k} (-1)^l\, dim(ker\, D_l / Im\, D_{l-1})\ . \tag{A.14}$$

Incidentally, the vector spaces

$$H_l(\mathcal{C}) := ker(D_l)/im(D_{l-1})$$

are called the l-th cohomology of the complex. Let us also define the *Laplacian*'s of the complex:

$$\Delta_l := D_{l-1} D_{l-1}^\dagger + D_l^\dagger D_l : C^\infty(V_l) \longrightarrow C^\infty(V_l)\ . \tag{A.15}$$

The *index* can be conveniently expressed in terms of the Laplacians:

$$index(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} (-1)^l dim(ker\ \Delta_l)\ . \tag{A.16}$$

For a short elliptic complex (A.10), i.e. $k = 1$, the expression for the index (A.14) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} index(\mathcal{C}_1) &:= dim(ker\ D_0/Im\ D_{-1}) - dim(ker\ D_1/Im\ D_0) \\ &= dim(ker\ D) - dim\,(C^\infty(V_1)/im\ D) \\ &= dim(ker\ D) - dim(coker\ D)\ =:\ index(D)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{A.17}$$

where in accordance with (A.10), D_0 is identified with D. Thus, the concept of the index of an elliptic complex is a generalization of the (analytic) index of an elliptic operator.

Next, I will proceed in the opposite direction, namely I will show that the computation of the index of a (long) elliptic complex can be reduced to that of a short complex. In view of (A.17), the latter is equivalent to the index problem for an elliptic operator.

A.5 Rolling up a Complex

Consider the complex $\mathcal{C}$ of (A.13). One can construct an associated short complex $\mathcal{C}_1$ such that $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}_1$ have the same index. To show this, one starts with taking the direct sums of V_l's in (A.13) to define

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_+ &:= C^\infty(\bigoplus_l V_{2l}) \\ \Omega_- &:= C^\infty(\bigoplus_l V_{2l+1})\ , \end{aligned}$$

where $l = 0, 1, \cdots, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right]$, and $[z]$ denotes the largest integer part of $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Next, one defines

$$D_+ : \Omega_+ \longrightarrow \Omega_- \quad \text{and} \quad D_- : \Omega_- \longrightarrow \Omega_+$$

by requiring that

$$D_+|_{C^\infty(V_l)} := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } l \text{ is odd} \\ D_l & \text{if } l \text{ is even} \end{cases} \tag{A.18}$$

$$D_-\big|_{C^\infty(V_l)} \;:=\; \begin{cases} D_l & \text{if } l \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & \text{if } l \text{ is even} \end{cases} \;.$$

In fact, these define $D_\pm$ over

$$\Omega := \Omega_+ \oplus \Omega_- \;.$$

Hence, one can view them as oprators from Ω to itself. Also, one defines:

$$d := D_+ + D_- \;:\; \Omega \longrightarrow \Omega \;.$$

The following identities follow trivially from (A.18):

$$\begin{aligned} D_+^2 \;&=\; D_-^2 \;=\; D_+^{\dagger 2} \;=\; D_-^{\dagger 2} \;=\; 0 \\ D_+ D_-^\dagger \;&=\; D_- D_+^\dagger \;=\; D_+^\dagger D_- \;=\; D_-^\dagger D_+ \;=\; 0 \;, \end{aligned} \tag{A.19}$$

where $D_\pm$ and $D_\pm^\dagger$ are viewed as operators defined on Ω. The Laplacian of d is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \;&:=\; d\,d^\dagger + d^\dagger\,d \\ &=\; \left(D_+^\dagger D_+ + D_-\,D_-^\dagger\right) + \left(D_-^\dagger D_- + D_+\,D_+^\dagger\right) \end{aligned} \tag{A.20}$$

Let us denote the terms in the the right hand side of (A.20) by $\Delta\pm$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_+ \;&:=\; D_+^\dagger D_+ + D_-\,D_-^\dagger \\ &=\; \left(D_+ + D_-^\dagger\right)^\dagger \left(D_+ + D_-^\dagger\right) \end{aligned} \tag{A.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_- \;&:=\; D_-^\dagger D_- + D_+\,D_+^\dagger \\ &=\; \left(D_+ + D_-^\dagger\right)\left(D_+ + D_-^\dagger\right)^\dagger \;. \end{aligned} \tag{A.22}$$

In (A.21) and (A.22), use has been made of the identities listed in (A.19). Equations (A.21) and (A.22) suggest the definition of

$$\hat{D} := D_+ + D_-^\dagger : \Omega \longrightarrow \Omega \;.$$

$\hat{D}$ is determined by its restriction to Ω_+:

$$D := \hat{D}\Big|_{\Omega_+} : \Omega_+ \longrightarrow \Omega_- \;.$$

In terms of D, $\Delta_\pm$ are written conveniently in the form:

$$\Delta_+ = D^\dagger D \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_- = D\, D^\dagger \ . \tag{A.23}$$

Another important observation is that $\Delta_\pm$ are related to the Laplacians Δ_l, (A.15), of the complex $\mathcal{C}$ according to the following folmulea

$$\Delta_+ = \sum_{l=0}^{[k/2]} \Delta_{2l} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_- = \sum_{l=0}^{[k/2]} \Delta_{2l+1} \ . \tag{A.24}$$

Let us consider the short complex defined by D

$$\mathcal{C}_1 :\ 0 \hookrightarrow \Omega_+ \xrightarrow{D} \Omega_- \longrightarrow 0 \ .$$

The opertor D is by construction an elliptic differential operator. This can be infered using (A.24) and realizing that since Δ_l are elliptic operators, so are $\Delta_\pm$. In view of (A.23), the ellipticity of $\Delta_\pm$ is a sufficient condition for the ellipticity of D and $D^\dagger$, [25].

One can directly check that indeed D and $D^\dagger$ have finite dimensional kernels. To see this, one first shows that

$$ker(D) = ker(\Delta_+) \quad \text{and} \quad ker(D^\dagger) = ker(\Delta_-) \ . \tag{A.25}$$

To prove the first equaltity, one chooses an arbitrary element s_+ of $ker(\Delta_+)$, i.e.,

$$D^\dagger D(s_+) = \Delta_+(s_+) = 0 \ .$$

Then, using the definition of the adjoint of a differential operator one shows that

$$0 = (s_+, D^\dagger D\, s_+)_+ = (D\, s_+, D\, s_+)_- \ . \tag{A.26}$$

Since the inner product (metric) induced [2] on Ω_- is positive definite, (A.26) implies that $D\, s_+$ vanishes, i.e., $s_+ \in ker(D)$. Thus, $ker(\Delta_+) \subset ker(D)$. The converse of the latter relation is trivially correct. This completes the proof of the claim that

$$ker(D) = ker(\Delta_+) \ .$$

The proof of the other identity uses the same argument. Now, in view of (A.24) and the fact that $ker(\Delta_l)$ are finite dimensional, one observes that

---

[2] The hermitian metrices on V_l induce hermitian metrices on their direct products.

$ker(\Delta_\pm)$ have also finite dimensions. This together with (A.25) indicate that both D and $D^\dagger$ have finite dimensional kernels.

The analytic index of $\mathcal{C}_1$ is given by

$$index(\mathcal{C}_1) = index(D) = dim(ker\, D) - dim(ker\, D^\dagger) \ . \tag{A.27}$$

It is quite easy to employ the results derived in the preceding paragraph to prove that

$$index(\mathcal{C}) = index(\mathcal{C}_1) = index(D) \ . \tag{A.28}$$

One has the following list of identities:

$$\begin{aligned} index(\mathcal{C}_1) \ &:= \ dim(ker\, D) - dim(ker\, D^\dagger) \\ &= \ dim(ker\, \Delta_+) - dim(ker\, \Delta_-) \\ &= \ \sum_l dim(ker\, \Delta_{2l}) - \sum_l dim(ker\, \Delta_{2l+1}) \\ &= \ \sum_l (-1)^l dim(ker\, \Delta_l) \ = \ index(\mathcal{C}) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Before ending this section, let us also consider the extension of D and $D^\dagger$ to whole Ω, namely $\hat{D}$ and $\hat{D}^\dagger$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega \ &\xrightarrow{\hat{D}} \ \Omega \\ \Omega \ &\xleftarrow{\hat{D}^\dagger} \ \Omega \ . \end{aligned}$$

In view of (A.19), one has the following obvious equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{D}^2 \ &= \ D_+^2 + D_-^{\dagger 2} + D_+ D_-^\dagger + D_-^\dagger D_+ \ = \ 0 \\ \hat{D}^{\dagger 2} \ &= \ D_-^2 + D_+^{\dagger 2} + D_- D_+^\dagger + D_+^\dagger D_- \ = \ 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{A.29}$$

Moreover, the total Laplacian can be expressed as

$$\Delta = \Delta_+ + \Delta_- = \left(\hat{D} + \hat{D}^\dagger\right)^2 \ . \tag{A.30}$$

Equations (A.29) and (A.30) are used in Appendix B in the discussion of the relation between the index theorem and the supersymmetric quantum mechanics.

A.6 Symbol Bundle

The index of an elliptic operator depends not only on the operator but also on the spaces on which it acts. This is easily seen by recalling the definition of the adjoint operator. Thus, the index formula is expected to involve the coefficients of the operator as well as the geometric quantities associeted with the bundles and their base manifold. It is practical to encode all these information in a single geometric (topological) construction and then try to use its characteristic properties to obtain the index. In this way, one does not need to deal with both the operator and the vector bundles at the same time. The space that carries all the necessary information is called the *symbol bundle*. It is a vector bundle over a base manifold which is itself a fibre bundle. This section is devoted to the description of the symbol bundle associated to an arbitrary short elliptic complex. Certainlly, one can apply the same construction to long elliptic complexes by first rolling them up.

Let

$$\mathcal{C}:\ C^\infty(V_+)\xrightarrow{D}C^\infty(V_-)$$

be a short elliptc complex. The *unit ball bundle* $B(M)$ and the *unit sphere bundle* $S(M)$ associated with the cotangent bundle TM^* of the base manifold are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} B(M) &:= \{z=(x,\xi)\in TM^*\ :\ |\xi|\leq 1\} \\ S(M) &:= \{z=(x,\xi)\in TM^*\ :\ |\xi|=1\}\ =:\ \partial B(M)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A.31}$$

B(M) and S(M) are indeed fibre bundles over M. They will be simply denoted by B and S. Let us take two copies of B and label them as $B^\pm$. These are fibre bundles

$$B^\pm\xrightarrow{\pi^\pm}M \tag{A.32}$$

of fibre dimension m. Next, let us glue the fibres B_x^+ and B_x^- along their boundries, i.e., identify the points of their boundaries. The boundaries are unit spheres sitting inside the cotangent space TM_x^*, $\forall x\in M$. Let us denote the single bundary that is obtained in this way by S_x. Clearly, the collection of all S_x leads to a description of the sphere bundle S. The object obtained by gluing $B^\pm$ in this manner is denoted by

$$\Sigma(M)=B^+\cup_S B^-\ ,$$

or simply Σ. This is also a fibre bundle over M:

$$\Sigma \xrightarrow{\pi} M \ . \tag{A.33}$$

The (total) space Σ as a manifold will play the role of the base space of the symbol bundle. By its construction Σ depends solely on M.

Next step is to incorporate some information about the bundles $V_\pm$. This is realized by viewing $B^\pm$ as seperate submanifolds of Σ and pulling back the vector bundles $V_\pm$ on $B^\pm$ using the obvious projection maps $\pi^\pm$ of (A.32). This is demonstrated by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi^{\pm *}(V_\pm) \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\bigcirc"] & V_\pm \arrow[l, "\pi^{\pm *}"'] \arrow[d] \\
B^\pm \arrow[r, "\pi^\pm"] & M \ .
\end{tikzcd} \tag{A.34}$$

$\pi^{\pm *}(V_\pm)$ are pullback bundles on $B^\pm$. To obtain a fibre bundle over Σ, one needs to somehow identify the fibres along the boundaries of $B^\pm$. To clarify what the last sentence means, let us consider an arbitrary point $z = (x, \xi)$ in Σ. Either z belongs to S, i.e., the common boundary of $B^\pm$ or it belongs to their interior. In the latter case the fibre over z is uniquely defined to be V_{x+} or V_{x-} depending on whether z belongs to B^+ or B^-, respectively. In the case where z is in $S = \partial B^\pm$, there are two different choics of fibers, namely $V_{x\pm}$. To obtain a well-defind fibre bundle structure on Σ, one needs to identify these two fibres. The identification can introduce 'topological twists' and thus effects the topology of the bundle in a crucial manner. This is precisely the way one introduces the information about the operator in the construction.

To begin describing this in detail, let us recall the mathematical meaning of the word 'identification'. If the two spaces which are to be identified have only the structure of point sets, their identification means that there is a one-to-one and onto map that takes the points of the first space to the other. If the two spaces have further structures one would like to also obtain a corrspondence between these structures, e.g., for vector spaces one would like to also identify their linear structure. Thus, two vector spaces are identified with a bijection which also preserve the linear (vector space) structures. Such a map is called an isomorphism. Therefore, for each $z \in S$, one needs an isomorphism between the two vector spaces $V_{x\pm}$. This is precisely what the *symbol* σ_D, (A.6), of the elliptic operator D provides. Note that by definition (A.31), for all $z = (x, \xi) \in S$, $|\xi| = 1$. This means that as an element of TM_x^*, ξ is nonzero. Consequently, since D is an elliptic operator, the symbol

$$\sigma_D(z) : V_{+x} \longrightarrow V_{-x} \quad , \quad \forall z = (x, \xi) \in S$$

is an isomorphism. The construction of the symbol bundle is then completed by using the symbol σ_D of D to uniquely define the fibres over $S \subset \Sigma$. The symbol bundle, which is denoted by σ, is a vector bundle of fibre dimension n over the space Σ,

$$\sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma \ . \tag{A.35}$$

We will see that it carries all the necessary information about the index of D ($\mathcal{C}$). In particular, the index depends on the coefficients of the highest order part of the operator.

A.7 Characteristic Classes

In this section, I shall review the basic definitions of some of the *charactristic classes* of vector bundles that appear in the statement of the index theorem. For a more detailed survey of characteristic classes see [7, 41].

Let V be a complex hermitian vector bundle over the closed Rimannian manifold M. Let A and F denote the *connection one-form* and the *curvature two-form* of V, respectively. F is a two-form with values in the Lie algebra of the structure group of V. Since V has a hermitian structure, one can assume, without loss of generality, that the structure group of V is $U(n)$ [31]. Then, in a basis of the Lie algebra of $U(n)$, F can be exprssed as an antihermitian matrix. This makes it diagonalizable. The eigenvalues of F define a set of basic *characteristic classes* which are called the *Chern roots* of V and denoted by x_i, $i = 1, \cdots, n$. These are by definition differential two-forms on M. One has

$$F = diag(-2\pi i x_1, \cdots, -2\pi i x_n) \ .$$

The *Chern classes* [8] of V are symmetric polynomials of the Chern roots. They are defined by

$$c_1(V) \ := \ \sum_i x_i$$

$$\begin{aligned}
c_2(V) &:= \sum_{i<j} x_i \wedge x_j \\
\cdots &:= \cdots \\
c_n(V) &:= x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n \ .
\end{aligned}$$

The sum of the Chern classes is called the *total Chern class.* It is given by

$$c(V) := c_1 + \cdots + c_n = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + x_i) \ .$$

The total Chern class has the following property

$$c(V_1 \oplus V_2) = c(V_1) \wedge c(V_2) \ , \tag{A.36}$$

where V_1 and V_2 are hermitian vector bundles and $\oplus$ denotes their *direct sum* [8, 7]. Another interesting characteristic class associated with a complex hermitian bundle V is the *Chern character*, $ch(V)$. It is defined by

$$ch(V) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{x_i} = n + c_1 + \tfrac{1}{2}(c_1^2 - 2c_2) + \cdots \ .$$

The Chern character has the following important properties

$$\begin{aligned}
ch(V_1 \oplus V_2) &= ch(V_1) + ch(V_2) \\
ch(V_1 \otimes V_2) &= ch(V_1) \wedge ch(V_2) \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.37}$$

where $\otimes$ denotes the *tensor product* [8, 7] of two vector bundles. These properties have important implications for the index theorem, [27]. Another useful characteristic class of a complex vector bundle is the *Todd class*

$$td(V) := \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{1 - e^{-x_i}} = 1 + \tfrac{1}{2}c_1 + \tfrac{1}{12}(c_2 + c_1^2) + \cdots \ . \tag{A.38}$$

The Todd class of the direct sum of two vector bundles is the product of their Todd classes. In this respect, the Todd class is similar to the total Chern class (A.36).

All these characteristic classes can be expressed in terms of the traces (determinants) of the (wedge) products of the curvature two-form. For example, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
c(V) &= \det\left[1_{n\times n} + \frac{iF}{2\pi}\right] \\
ch(V) &= tr\left[\exp\frac{iF}{2\pi}\right] \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{A.39}$$

There are also the characteristic classes which are associated with *symmetric vector bundles*. A symmetric vector bundle is a real vector bundles which is endowed with a symmetric positive definite metric and a compatible connection. Briefly, symmetric bundles are the real analogs of the hermitian bundles. Undoubtedly, the most well-known examples of symmetric vector bundles are the tengent bundle TM and its dual the cotangent bundle TM^* of a Riemannian manifold M. The structure group of a symmetric vector bundle can be chosen to be $O(n)$. Thus, in this case the curvature two-form Ω is an antisymmetric matrix of differential two-forms. It can be block-diagonalized

$$\Omega = diag\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Omega_i \\ -\Omega_i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \, : \, i = 1, \cdots n \right) \, . \tag{A.40}$$

The analogs of the Chern roots are defined by

$$r_i := 2\pi\Omega_i \, ,$$

and the analogs of the Chern classes are the symmetric polynomials of r_i^2:

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(V) &:= \sum_i r_i^2 \\ p_2(V) &:= \sum_{i<j} r_i^2 \wedge r_j^2 \\ \cdots &:= \cdots \\ p_n(V) &:= r_1^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge r_n^2 \, . \end{aligned}$$

These are called the *Pontrijagin classes*. Once more, one can define the *total Pontrijagin class* and other polynomial combinations of p_i's. Some of the more famous examples of these are *Herzebruch's signature density*:

$$L := \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{r_i}{\tanh(r_i)} = 1 + \tfrac{1}{3}p_1 + \tfrac{1}{45}(7p_2 - p_1^2) + \cdots \, , \tag{A.41}$$

and *Dirac's $\hat{A}$-genus density*:

$$\hat{A} := \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{r_i/2}{\sinh(r_i/2)} = 1 - \tfrac{1}{24}p_1 + \tfrac{1}{5760}(-4p_2 + 7p_1^2) + \cdots \, . \tag{A.42}$$

In fact, the Pontrijagin classes of a real vector bundle are related to the Chern classes of its complexification, namely

$$p_i(V) = (-1)^i c_{2i}(V \otimes \mathbb{C}) \ .$$

Unlike the Chern classes c_i that are $2i$-forms, the Pontrijagin classes p_i are $4i$-forms. Thus, if the dimension of M is less than four all the Pontrijagin classes vanish.

A.8 The Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem

Let $\mathcal{C} : C^\infty(V_+) \xrightarrow{D} C^\infty(V_-)$ be an elliptic complex and $\sigma \to \Sigma$ be the symbol bundle (A.35) of D. Then, the *topological index* of $\mathcal{C}$ (alternatively of D) is defined by

$$index^{\text{top.}}(D) := \int_\Sigma ch\,(\sigma) \wedge \pi^*\left[td\,(TM^* \otimes \mathbb{C})\right] \ , \tag{A.43}$$

where π^* denotes the pullback operation defind by the projection map $\pi : \Sigma \longrightarrow M$, of (A.33), and ch and td stand for the corresponding Chern character and Todd class (A.37,A.38), respectively.

The Atiyah-Singer index theorem states that the analytic index (A.12) and the topological index (A.43) are identical. In other words, it provides a formula for the analytic index which is given by (A.43). The latter statement is more illuminating since the motivation for defining the topological index is precisely to give a closed expression for the analytic index in terms of some differential geometric quantities.

There are alternative expressions for the topological index which are almost as equally complicated as (A.43) [25]. However, in particular cases the expression for the topological index may be simplified. There are four so called *classical complexes* whose indices give important topological information about the associated structures. These are the *de Rham*, *signature*, *spin*, and *Dolbeault* complexes. They are discussed extensively in the most of the text books on the index theorem, [25, 26]. A rather complete survey is provided in [7]. In the following section, I will review the index theorem for the de Rham complex. It has all the ingrediants of a general elliptic complex.

A.9 The de Rham Complex and the Euler-Poincaré Characteristic

Let M be a closed, oriented smooth manifold of dimension m. The de Rham complex is the long elliptic complex:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{deRham}} : 0 \hookrightarrow \Lambda_0 \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda_1 \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda_m \xrightarrow{d} 0 \ , \tag{A.44}$$

where Λ_i are the spaces of i-th differential forms. The bundles V_i of (A.1) are, in this case, the i-the wedge products of the cotangent bundle, i.e.,

$$V_i := \underbrace{TM^* \wedge \cdots \wedge TM^*}_{i-\text{times}} \ ,$$

and the operators D_i are the restrictions of the exterior derivative operator d to the i-th forms. Rolling up $\mathcal{C}$, one obtains the following short complex:

$$\mathcal{C}_{dR} : \Lambda_{\text{even}} \xrightarrow{d+d^*} \Lambda_{\text{odd}} \ ,$$

where d^* is the adjoint of d [8, 7].

The expression for the topological index of $\mathcal{C}_{dR}$ can be simplified as described in [25]. The index is called the *Euler-Poincaré characteristic* of M. The statement of the index theorem for the de Rham complex reads:

$$index(\mathcal{C}_{dR}) = \int_M e\,(TM^*) \ . \tag{A.45}$$

Here $e\,(TM^*)$ denotes the so called *Euler class* of TM^*. This is another characteristic class of a real vector bundle. It is an m-differential form on M whose coefficient is determined by the Riemann curvature tensor of M, [8, 7, 26, 21].

For $m = 2$, M is a Riemann surface and the Riemann curvature tensor is determined by the Gaussian curvature K. In this case, (A.45) reduces to

$$index(\mathcal{C}_{dR}) = \tfrac{1}{2\pi} \int_M K\,ds \ =: \ \chi \ , \tag{A.46}$$

where ds is the *surface (volume) element* of M. Equation (A.46) is a classical result of the differential geometry of surfaces. It is known as the *Gauss-Bonnet* theorem. A remarkable fact about the Riemann surfaces is that

$$\chi = 2 - 2g \ ,$$

where g is the *genus*, i.e., the number of handles of M. Thus, Riemann surfaces are classified by their Euler-Poincaré characteristic.

Euler-Poincaré characteristic is also related to the zeros of the global sections of TM which have a discrete number of zeros. This is known as *Pincaré-Hopf theorem*, [8, Part II p.321]. Sections of TM are usually called vector fields on M. A *zero* of a vector field s is a point of M at which s vanishes. For instance if there exists a nowhere vanishing vector field on M then the Euler-Poincaré characteristic must be zero. Thus the vanishing of this topological invariant is a necessary condition for the existence of nowhere zero vector fields. A simple application of this is the fact that the only two-dimensional surface that supports nowhere zero vector fields is the torus. This is one of the main reasons why toroidal geometries are so commonly encountered in areas such as plasma physics.

A.10 Spin Bundle and Spin Complex

Let E be an *inner product space* with an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}$. The *Clifford algebra* $\mathcal{C}(E)$ of E is generated by e_i according to the Clifford multiplication rule [25, 26, 8, 42]:

$$\begin{aligned}\{e_i, e_j\} &= e_i * e_j + e_j * e_i\\ &= -2(e_i, e_j)\\ &= -2\delta_{ij}\ ,\end{aligned}$$

where $*$ and $(\ ,\ )$ denote the Clifford multiplication and the inner product, respectively. As a vector space the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}(E)$ can be decomposed into the following subspaces

$$\mathcal{C}(E) = \mathcal{C}^+(E) \oplus \mathcal{C}^-(E)$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}^\pm(E) := \mathrm{Span}\{e_{i_1} * \cdots * e_{i_p}\}\ \text{ with } \pm = (-1)^p\ .$$

In fact, $\mathcal{C}^+(E)$ forms a Clifford subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}(E)$.

Let us assume that E is even dimensional, dim(E)$= m = 2l$, and denote by $t : \mathcal{C}(E) \to \mathcal{C}(E)$ the operation of transposition:

$$(e_{i_1} * \cdots * e_{i_p})^t := e_{i_p} * \cdots e_{i_1}\ .$$

Furthermore, let $\{v_i\}$ be a set of unit vectors in E. Then the subset

$$Spin(m) := \{w = v_1 * \cdots * v_{2p} : p \leq l\} ,$$

of $\mathcal{C}^+(E)$ forms a group under Clifford multiplication. The inverse of each element is given by its transpose. Clearly, $w * w^t = 1$.

$Spin(m)$ acts on $\mathcal{C}(E)$ by conjugation

$$x \xrightarrow{w} \rho(w)(x) := w * x * w^t .$$

This action of $Spin(m)$ on $\mathbb{R}^m \cong E \subset \mathcal{C}(E)$ may be used to show that indeed there is a two-to-one canonical homomorphism from $Spin(m)$ onto $So(m)$, i.e., $Spin(m)$ is a double cover of $So(m)$, [26, 8]. On the other hand, $\mathcal{C}(E) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ provides a $2^l \times 2^l$ dimensional complex representation ρ of $Spin(m)$. This decomposes into 2^l copies of a more basic representation, $\mathcal{S}$, which itself consists of two 2^{l-1} dimensional irreducible representations $\mathcal{S}^{\pm}$, [25, 26, 42].[3] The representations $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\pm}$ are called the *spinor* and *chirality* ± *spinor representations*. Briefly one has

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^m) & = & 2^l\, \mathcal{S} \\ \mathcal{S} & = & \mathcal{S}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}^- \\ \mathcal{S}^{\pm} & := & \mathcal{S} \cap \left(\mathcal{C}^{\pm}(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \mathbb{C} \right) . \end{array}$$

Let M be an oriented compact Riemannian manifold of dimension m. For all $x \in M$, one can use $E = TM_x^*$ to construct the associated Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}(TM_x^*)$. These may be viewed as the fibres of a global bundle structure over M which is called the *Clifford bundle* of M. The transition functions of this bundle are induced from those of TM^*, so the structure group is again $SO(m)$. However, we know that there is a (fibrewise transitive) action of $Spin(m)$ on such a vector bundle. Thus, it is interesting to construct another bundle structure on the same set of points whose structure group is $Spin(m)$ rather than $So(m)$. This is done by lifting the transition functions of the Clifford bundle from $So(m)$ to $Spin(m)$. The associated principal bundle to this new construction (if it exists) is called the *spin bundle*, $\mathcal{S}$. The existence of such a structure depends on the topology of M and it is detected by yet another characteristic class of TM^* called the second *Stiefel-Whitney* class, [26, 7, 8, 43].

---

[3] In fact, ρ may be used as a representation of the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}(E)$; then $\mathcal{S}$ is an irrducible representation of this algebra. It is, however, reducible as a representation of $Spin(m)$, [25].

Let us consider the associated $So(m)$ principal bundle to TM^*, the coframe bundle $coframe(M)$. The transition functions of $\mathcal{S}$ are lifted from those of $coframe(M)$ via the canoniacal homomorphism from $Spin(m)$ onto $So(m)$. If the transition functions can be consistently defined then the manifold is called a *spin manifold*, [25, 7, 26]. The associated vector bundles to $\mathcal{S}$ defined by the spinor representations of $Spin(m)$ are of utmost importance in physics. In particuler, their sections are the so called *spinors* which are often used to represent the matter fields of physical theories. For further details, see [8, Part II p. 134] and references therein.

The spin and the twisted spin complexes are described in Chapter 1. For completeness I shall briefly recall the expression for the twisted spin complex. It is given by

$$0 \to C^\infty(\mathcal{S}^+ \otimes V) \xrightarrow{\partial\!\!\!/_V} C^\infty(\mathcal{S}^- \otimes V) \to 0 \; , \tag{A.47}$$

where V is a hermitian vector bundle over M and $\partial\!\!\!/_V$ is the *twisted Dirac opertor*. For more details see Chapter 1 and the references cited there. (A.47) is indeed a family of elliptic complexes which are parametrized by the auxiliary bundle V. It turns out that for any elliptic complex (operator) there exists an auxiliary bundle V such that the indices of the original complex and the twisted spin complex defined by V are equal. This is a substantial result for it reduces the proof of the index theorem to that of the twisted Dirac complex. The body of knowledge leading to this result is called *K-theory*. I shall briefly outline the utility of K-theory in relation to the index theorem in the next section.

A.11 K-Theory and the Index Theorem

Let $Vec(X)$ be the set of all complex vector bundles over a manifold X. $Vec(X)$ forms an abelian semigroup under the operation of direct (Whitney) sum, [25, 26, 7, 27]. The abelian semigroup $(Vec(X), \oplus)$ is not a group because there is no consistent way of defining the inverse of a vector bundle with respect to $\oplus$.

Interestingly, the situation is in perfect analogy with the set of non-negative integers, $\mathbb{Z}^+$, and the operation of addition. Clearly, $(\mathbb{Z}^+, +)$

is an abelian semigroup which is not a group because the negative integers are not included in $\mathbb{Z}^+$. There is however a very simple but rather clever way of defining the negative integers from $\mathbb{Z}^+$ which generalizes to any abelian semigroup. The construction is as follows.

Let us consider the set of all pairs of non-negative integers

$$Z := \{(p,q) \ : \ p,q \in \ \mathbb{Z}^+\} \ .$$

and define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on Z:

$$(p_1,q_1) \sim (p_2,q_2)$$

if and only if there exist an $r \in \ \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that

$$p_1 + q_2 + r = p_2 + q_1 + r \ .$$

In the last equality one cannot simply cross out r on the both sides as one usually does since there is still no notion of "$-r$" defined for $\mathbb{Z}^+$. The quotient set $\mathbb{Z} := Z/\sim$ is the set of all integers, where $\mathbb{Z}^+$ can be viewed as the subset of pairs with the second entry being zero. The negative numbers are those with the first entry being zero. In fact one usually uses the following familiar notation:

$$(p,q) =: p - q \ .$$

This simple but general construction can be applied for $Vec(X)$ to construct a group structure. Again one considers the set of pairs of vector bundles

$$\{(E_+,E_-) \ : \ E_\pm \in Vec(X)\} \ ,$$

and considers the (quotient) set of equivalence classes of the following equivalence relation:

$$(E_+,E_-) \sim (F_+,F_-)$$

if and only if there is a $U \in Vec(X)$ such that

$$E_+ \oplus F_- \oplus U \cong F_+ \oplus E_- \oplus U \ .$$

Here $\cong$ denots the isomorphism of the vector bundles. The quotient set $K(X)$ has the structure of an abelian group. It is known as Grothendieck's K-group. [27, 25, 26, 30]. There is another representation of $K(X)$ which makes it quite useful in the index theory.

Let $h : E_+ \rightarrow E_-$ be a homomorphism of vector bundles over X. If there is a compact region in X outside of which h is an isomorphism then the triplet

$$k: \; E_+ \xrightarrow{h} E_- \tag{A.48}$$

is said to have a *compact support* and the compact region is called the *support* of the triplet. Two triplets are said to be *equivalent* if they are *homotopic*, [27]. The following is a definition of the concept of homotopy for the triplets of the form (A.48). Let k and k' be two such triplets with k given by (A.48) and k' by

$$k': \; E'_+ \xrightarrow{h'} E'_- \; .$$

Then, k and k' are said to be *homotopic* if there exists a triplet

$$\mathcal{K}: \; \mathcal{E}_+ \xrightarrow{H} \mathcal{E}_- \; ,$$

where $\mathcal{E}_\pm$ are vector bundles over the manifold $X \times [0,1]$ such that the restrictions of $\mathcal{K}$ to $X \times \{0\}$ and $X \times \{1\}$ yield k and k', respectively. Next, let us define the following two sets

$$\begin{aligned} C(X) &:= \text{the set of compactly supported triplets} \\ C_0(X) &:= \text{the set of triplets with empty support} \; . \end{aligned}$$

Evidently, $C_0(X) \subset C(X)$. Then one can prove, [25, 27], that $C(X) - C_0(X)$ and $K(x)$ are in one-to-one correspondence. The Whitney sum $\oplus$ can be also trivially extended on this set. Thus one obtains an alternative representation of the K-group. In this representation it can be manifestly seen that the K-group is sensitive to the homotopic properties of X.

The other advantage of the second representation is in relation to the index problem. Let M be a closed Riemannian manifold and $V_\pm$ be two hermitian vector bundles on M. Let

$$D : C^\infty(V_+) \longrightarrow C^\infty(V_-) \; ,$$

be an elliptic operator with symbol (A.4)

$$\sigma_D(x, \xi) : V_{+x} \longrightarrow V_{-x} \; .$$

The projection map π of the cotengent bundle

$$\pi : TM^* \longrightarrow M$$

can be used to pullback the bundles $V_{\pm}$ on TM^* and the symbol map (A.6) gives rise to the following triplet

$$\pi^*(V_+) \xrightarrow{\sigma_D} \pi^*(V_-) \; . \tag{A.49}$$

By definition of ellipticity $\sigma_D(x, \xi)$ is an isomorphism for all $\xi \neq 0$. Thus σ_D of (A.49) fails to be an isomorphism only on the zero section of the cotangent bundle. The latter is canoniacally diffeomorphic to M. Since M is compact and nonempty, $\sigma_D \in C(TM^*) - C_0(TM^*) = K(TM^*)$.

As is argued in the preceding sections the index depends on the symbol of the operator. In fact, it is a map of the form

$$index : K(TM^*) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \; .$$

This means that it depends on the equivalence (homotopy) class of the symbol. In other words, one may calculate the index of a given elliptic operator by using any of the elements within the same equivalence class in $K(TM^*)$. Incidentally, one can show that $K(TM^*)$ and $K(M)$ are indeed isomorphic, [27].

On the other hand, one can show, [26, 27, 20], that within every equivalence class of $K(M)$ there is a twisted spin complex (triplet). This is to say that for any elliptic operator one can choose the auxiliary bundle V of the twisted spin complex such that its index equals to that of the original operator. In this sense, a proof of the twisted spin index theorem leads to a proof of the general index theorem. This is the strategy used in the supersymmetric proofs of the index theorem as well as the original *cobordism proof*, [20], and the heat kernel proof, [26]. The latter is quite relevant to the supersymmetric proofs of the index theorem. Hence, the next section is devoted to a brief review of the heat kernel proof.

A.12 A Sketch of the Heat Kernel Proof

There are four different proofs of the index theorem. These are

1. Cobordism proof, [24];
2. Embedding proof, [20, 25];

3. Heat kernel proof, [22, 26, 27, 28];
4. Supersymmetric proofs [4].

All of these proofs have a common feature, namely they use K-theory to arrive at the index formula. In this section, I shall briefly introduce the main idea behind the heat kernel proof.

Let $D : C^\infty(V_+) \to C^\infty(V_-)$ be an elliptic operator and $\Delta_\pm$ be the corresponding Laplacians as in eq. (A.23). Furthermore, let us define the eigenspaces of $\Delta_\pm$ by

$$\Gamma^\pm_\lambda := \{s \in C^\infty(V_\pm) \ : \ \Delta_\pm s = \lambda s\} \ . \tag{A.50}$$

Then one has the following theorem.

Theorem(Hodge): For all $\lambda \in Spec(\Delta_+) \cap \mathbb{R}$, Γ^+_λ is finite dimensional and the space of square integrable sections of V_+, $L_2(V_+)$, has the following decomposition

$$L_2(V_+) \equiv \oplus_\lambda \Gamma^+_\lambda \ .$$

Furthermore, for all positive $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda > 0$

$$\Gamma^+_\lambda \cong \Gamma^-_\lambda \ , \tag{A.51}$$

where $\cong$ denotes the isomorphism of vector spaces.

According to eq. (A.25), the zero eigenspaces are

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^+_0 \ &=: \ Ker(\Delta_+) \ = \ Ker(D) \\ \Gamma^-_0 \ &=: \ Ker(\Delta_-) \ = \ Ker(D^\dagger) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{A.52}$$

Thus using eqs. (A.51) and (A.52), one has the identities:

$$\begin{aligned} index(D) &= dim(\Gamma^+_0) - dim(\Gamma^-_0) \\ &= \sum_\lambda e^{-t\lambda} \left[dim(\Gamma^+_\lambda) - dim(\Gamma^-_\lambda)\right] \\ &= h_t(\Delta_+) - h_t(\Delta_-) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{A.53}$$

where t is some complex parameter with positive real part and

$$h_t(\Delta_\pm) := \sum_\lambda e^{-t\lambda} dim(\Gamma^\pm_\lambda) \ . \tag{A.54}$$

---

[4] See chapter 2 for details and references.

One can show, [27], that there are differential forms $\mu_0^\pm$ over M such that

$$h_t(\Delta_\pm) = \int_M \mu_t^\pm \ .$$

Thus the index is given by

$$index(D) = \int_M \left(\mu_0^+ - \mu_0^-\right) \ . \tag{A.55}$$

In the heat kernel proof of the index theorem one tries to obtain the integrand of eq. (A.55) in terms of the coefficients of the operator D, the curvature 2-forms of $V_\pm$, and the Riemann curvature tensor of M. This can be achieved for classical elliptic complexes and in particular for the *twisted signature* complex, [20, 22, 25, 26, 7, 27, 30]. This is a short complex with the same K-theoretic properties as the twisted spin complex. In fact, for each twisted spin complex there is an associated twisted signature complex and vice versa, such that their indices are equal, [44]. The computation of this integrand for arbitrary elliptic complexes turned out to be extremely difficult and has been an open problem since late sixties, [22].

The name *heat kernel* is attached to this method because one can write $\mu_t^\pm$ of eq. (A.54) in terms of the kernel of the heat equation. Let us consider the operator

$$H_t^+ := e^{-t\Delta_+} \ .$$

H_t^+ satisfies the heat equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} H_t^+ + \Delta_+ H_t^+ = 0$$

$$H_0^+ = 1 \ .$$

Evidently,

$$h_t(\Delta_+) = tr\left(e^{-t\Delta_+}\right) = tr\left(H_t^+\right) \ .$$

Moreover, one can represent H_t^+ by its kernel $H_t^+(x,y)$:

$$\left(H_t^+(s)\right)(x) =: \int_M H_t(x,y)\, s(y) d\Omega \ .$$

Then one has

$$\mu_t^+(x) = tr\left[H_t(x,x) d\Omega\right] \ .$$

The same applies for Δ_-. Hence, the knowledge of the heat equation can be used to yield a derivation of the index formula for classical elliptic complexes directly and the general elliptic complexes indirectly, [22, 26, 28].

The supersymmetric proofs of the index theorem benefit from the arguments presented above. The advantage of these proofs is that one can use the analogy between the heat equation and the Schrödinger equation to employ the path integral technique in the evaluation of the index density, $\mu_t^+ - \mu_t^-$. There are two main reasons that make the supersymmetric proofs so effective. Firstly, in these proofs one avoides the computation of μ_t^+ and μ_t^- seperately and calculates their difference directly. Secondly, since the index is a continuous function onto integers, it is independent of the parameter t. Thus, one can simply perform the computations in the limit $t \to 0$. Thus the WKB approximation for the path integral is indeed exact. This simplfies the calculations a great deal.

Appendix B

A Brief Review of Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics

Abstract

A review of Witten's supersymmetric quantum mechanics is presented. The Witten index in defined and its topological invariance is shown. A general supersymmetric proof of the index theorem is outlined. The holomorphic or coherent state representation is briefly discussed and the supernumbers and Berezin integration are introduced.

B.1 Witten's Definition of Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics

Consider a quantum mechanical system consisting of a Hilbert (Fock) space $\mathcal{F}$ and the Hamiltonian H. This system is said to be a *supersymmetric quantum mechanical* (SUSYQM) system if

1. $\mathcal{F}$ consists of two subspaces $\mathcal{F}_+$ and $\mathcal{F}_-$ and there is an operator $(-1)^f$ with $\left[(-1)^f\right]^2 = 1$, such that

   $$(-1)^f\,[\Psi] = \pm\Psi \quad \text{if} \quad \Psi \in \mathcal{F}_\pm .$$

   f and $(-1)^f$ are called the *fermion number operator* and the *chirality operator*. The quantum states represented by the elements of $\mathcal{F}_+$ and $\mathcal{F}_-$ are called the *bosonic* and the *fermionic* states, respectively.

2. There are N operators $\mathcal{Q}^I$, $I = 1, \cdots, N$, such that

   $$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}^I\,(\mathcal{F}_\pm) &= \mathcal{F}_\mp \\ \mathcal{Q}^{I\dagger}\,(\mathcal{F}_\pm) &= \mathcal{F}_\mp \\ \left\{(-1)^f, \mathcal{Q}^I\right\} &= \left\{(-1)^f, \mathcal{Q}^{I\dagger}\right\} = 0 \,. \end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

   The operators $\mathcal{Q}$ are called the (nonhermitian) supersymmetry (SUSY) *charges* or *generators*.

3. The SUSY generators satisfy the following general superalgebra conditions:

   $$\left\{\mathcal{Q}^I, \mathcal{Q}^{J\dagger}\right\} = 2\delta^{IJ} H \tag{B.2}$$

   $$\left\{\mathcal{Q}^I, \mathcal{Q}^J\right\} = \left\{\mathcal{Q}^{I\dagger}, \mathcal{Q}^{J\dagger}\right\} = 0 \,, \tag{B.3}$$

   where $I, J = 1, \cdots, N$. These equations can also be written in the form

   $$H = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{Q}^I\mathcal{Q}^{I\dagger} + \mathcal{Q}^{I\dagger}\mathcal{Q}^I\right) \tag{B.4}$$

   $$\left(\mathcal{Q}^I\right)^2 = \left(\mathcal{Q}^{I\dagger}\right)^2 = 0 \,, \tag{B.5}$$

   for all $I = 1, \cdots, N$.

A quantum system that satisfies these conditions is said to have a *type N supersymmetry*. We can also define (2N) *hermitian SUSY charges* simply by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1^I &:= \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\mathcal{Q}^I + \mathcal{Q}^{I\dagger}\right) \\ Q_2^I &:= \tfrac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\mathcal{Q}^I - \mathcal{Q}^{I\dagger}\right) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

Equations (B.2) and (B.3) can be written in terms of Q^I_α, with $\alpha = 1, 2$. The result is:

$$\left\{Q^I_\alpha, Q^J_\beta\right\} = 2\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta^{IJ} H \ , \tag{B.7}$$

where $I, J = 1, \cdots N$ and $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$. Alternatively, one has

$$\begin{array}{ll} H = \left(Q^I_1\right)^2 = \left(Q^I_2\right)^2 & \forall I = 1, \cdots, N \\ \left\{Q^I_1, Q^J_2\right\} = 0 & \forall I, J = 1, \cdots, N \ . \end{array} \tag{B.8}$$

In a SUSYQM system the SUSY charges (and their adjoints) map the bosonic state vectors to the fermionic state vectors and vice versa, hence the name *Supersymmetry*. It turns out that there are many quantum systems that display this type of supersymmetry [9, 32]. For such systems the knowledge of supersymmetry allows for a great deal of simplifications. In fact, there are purely algebraic methods to obtain the energy spectrum of these systems, e.g., [45]. For more details see [32] and references therein. A classification of some SUSYQM systems is provided in [46]. The extension to field theory is discussed in [47, 48, 49].

B.2 Degeneracy of Energy Levels

According to (B.8), for all $I = 1, \cdots, N$, one has

$$\left[Q^I_1, H\right] = 0 \ .$$

Hence H and Q^I_1 have simtultaneous eigenstates. If we denote the eigenvectors by $|E, q_1\rangle$, we have

$$H|q_1, E\rangle = E|q_1, E\rangle \tag{B.9}$$

$$Q^I_1|q_1, E\rangle = q^I_1|q_1, E\rangle \ . \tag{B.10}$$

Moreover, since $H = (Q^I_1)^2$

$$E = (q^I_1)^2 \ .$$

Thus we can simplify the notation by setting $q_1 := q^I_1$, $|q_1\rangle := |q_1, E\rangle$, and write (B.9) and (B.10) in the following form

$$H|q_1\rangle = q_1^2|q_1\rangle \tag{B.11}$$

$$Q^I_1|q_1\rangle = q_1|q_1\rangle \ . \tag{B.12}$$

Let us choose $J \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ arbitrarily and consider

$$|q_2\rangle := Q_2^J |q_1\rangle \ . \tag{B.13}$$

Then, it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1^I |q_2\rangle &= Q_1^I Q_2^J |q_1\rangle \\ &= -Q_2^J Q_1^I |q_1\rangle \\ &= -q_1 Q_2^J |q_1\rangle \\ &= -q_1 |q_2\rangle \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.14}$$

where in the second, third, and fourth equalities use has been made of (B.8), (B.12), and (B.13). Equation (B.14) indicates that $|q_2\rangle$ is also an eigenstate vector of Q_1^I. We have

$$Q_1^I |q_2\rangle = -q_1 |q_2\rangle \tag{B.15}$$

$$H|q_2\rangle = (Q_1^I)^2 |q_2\rangle = q_1^2 |q_2\rangle \ . \tag{B.16}$$

Equations (B.11), (B.12), (B.15), and (B.16) indicate that both $|q_1\rangle$ and $|q_2\rangle$ are energy eigenstate vectors. Since $[Q_1^I, Q_2^J]$ do not commute for all possible I and J, then

$$|q_1\rangle \neq |q_2\rangle \quad \text{for} \quad q_1 \neq 0 \neq q_2.$$

Thus, the energy eigenstates are doubly degenerate unless the energy eigenvalue equals zero. In fact, since H is the square of some hermitian operators its spectrum is nonnegative. Therefore, if the ground state has positive energy, then all the energy eigenstates are doubly degenerate. Furthermore, according to equations (B.1) and (B.13) the two state vectors $|q_1\rangle$ and $|q_2\rangle$ have opposite chiralities, i.e., if $|q_1\rangle$ is a bosonic (positive chirality) state vector, $|q_2\rangle$ will be a fermionic (negative chirality) state vector and vice versa. $|q_1\rangle$ and $|q_2\rangle$ are called *superpartners* associated with the energy eigenvalue $E = q_1^2$.

B.3 Spontaneous Supersymmetry Breaking and the Witten Index

If the ground state of a SUSYQM system has positive energy, then the supersymmetry is said to be *spontaneously broken*.[1] If, on the other hand, there exist some state vector(s) $|\emptyset\rangle$ such that

---

[1] This is applied for other types of symmetry as well.

$$H|\emptyset\rangle = (Q^I_\alpha)^2|\emptyset\rangle = 0$$

then the supersymmetry is said to be *unbroken* or *exact*, [32].

A simple way of determinig whether a SUSYQM system is spontaneously broken is to compare the number of bosonic (n_b) and fermionic states (n_f). If the SUSY is broken then all the energy states have positive energy and thus there must be equal number of bosonic and fermionic states (superpartners), i.e., the difference

$$index_{\mathrm{W}} := n_b - n_f \tag{B.17}$$

vanishes. The number $index_{\mathrm{W}}$ is called the *Witten index* of the SUSYQM system. If $index_{\mathrm{W}} \neq 0$ then the supersymmetry is definitely exact. Obviously, the numbers n_b and n_f are in general infinite whereas their difference remains finite.[2] In fact, due to supersymmetry,

$$index_{\mathrm{W}} = n_{b,0} - n_{f,0} \ , \tag{B.18}$$

where $n_{b,0}$ and $n_{f,0}$ are the numbers of (linearly independent) bosonic and fermionic zero energy eigenstate vectors, respectively.

A practicle way of computing $index_{\mathrm{W}}$ is through the following set of identities:

$$\begin{aligned} index_{\mathrm{W}} &= tr\left[(-1)^f\right] \\ &= tr\left[(-1)^f e^{-i\beta H}\right] \\ &=: str\left[e^{-i\beta H}\right] \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.19}$$

where *tr* and *str* stand for *trace* and *supertrace* [21], β is a complex parameter with negative imaginary part. The operator $\exp(-i\beta H)$ plays the role of a "regulator" for the trace. Note that, its insertion in the second equality does not influence the result. It can contribute when the trace is taken over the nonzero energy eigenstates, but these are doubly degenerate and the contribution of the fermionic states cancels the contribution of the bosonic states (due to the presence of $(-1)^f$.) Incidentally, the right hand side of (B.19) has a well-known path integral representation. See [21] and Sections 2.2 and 2.3 for further details.

---

[2] I assume that the the ground state is not infinitely degerenerate.

Probably, the most important hint that suggests the relevance of $index_{\mathrm{W}}$ to the index theorem of mathematics is its “topological invariance.” Let us suppose that the Hamiltonian of a SUSYQM system undergoes a continuous deformation such that the SUSY remains intact. [3] In this case, some of the ground states may be lifted to higher energies and vice versa. However, due to supersymmetry the excited states can only exist in pairs. This requires $index_{\mathrm{W}}$ to remain fixed while the total degree of degeneracy of the ground state changes. To make contact with topology, one can imagine a SUSYQM Hamiltonian that depends on the geometric quantities of a differentiable manifold or a fibre bundle. Under a smooth deformation of the geometric structure, i.e., under a diffeomorphism, the Witten index remains unchanged, so in this sense it is a topological invariant of the manifold or the bundle.

B.4 Witten Index as the Index of an Elliptic Operator

Consider an $N = 1$ SUSYQM system and represent the elements of the Fock space by

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_+ \\ \Psi_- \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where $\Psi_\pm \in \mathcal{F}_\pm$. Then the operator Q_1 can be represented by the matrix

$$Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D^\dagger \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{B.20}$$

for some operator $D : \mathcal{F}_+ \to \mathcal{F}_-$.[4] The Hamiltonian is then given by

$$H = Q_1^2 = \begin{pmatrix} D^\dagger D & 0 \\ 0 & DD^\dagger \end{pmatrix} . \tag{B.21}$$

Let us also introduce

$$\begin{aligned} H_+ &:= D^\dagger D : \mathcal{F}_+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_+ \\ H_- &:= DD^\dagger : \mathcal{F}_- \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_- . \end{aligned}$$

---

[3] This can be achieved by changing the coefficients of different terms in the Hamiltonian in an appropriate fashion.

[4] The adjoint operator $D^\dagger$ maps $\mathcal{F}_-$ into $\mathcal{F}_+$.

Evidently the kernels of $H_\pm$ are the subspaces of the zero energy eigenspace. We have

$$\begin{aligned} dim\,[ker(H_+)] &= n_{b,0} & \text{(B.22)} \\ dim\,[ker(H_-)] &= n_{f,0}\ . & \text{(B.23)} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, one can easily show that

$$\begin{aligned} ker(H_+) &= ker(D^\dagger D) \;=\; ker(D) & \text{(B.24)} \\ ker(H_-) &= ker(DD^\dagger) \;=\; ker(D^\dagger)\ . & \text{(B.25)} \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $ker(H_+) \subset ker(D)$, so to prove (B.24), one must show $ker(D) \subset ker(H_+)$. To see the latter, let $|\Psi_+\rangle \in ker(H_+)$ be an arbitrary element. Then, $H_+|\Psi_+\rangle = 0$, so

$$0 = \langle\Psi_+|H_+|\Psi_+\rangle = \langle\Psi_+|D^\dagger D|\Psi_+\rangle = \parallel D|\Psi_+\rangle \parallel\ .$$

This is sufficient to show that $D|\Psi_+\rangle = 0$, i.e., $\Psi_+ \in ker(D)$, and so $ker(H_+) \subset ker(D)$. The proof of (B.25) is similar.

Equations (A.12), (B.18), and (B.22)–(B.25) imply that

$$index_{\mathrm{W}} = ker(D) - ker(D^\dagger) = index(D)\ . \tag{B.26}$$

The last equation describes the relation between the SUSYQM and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem. For general index problem, however, one first starts with a given elliptic operator $D : C^\infty(V_+) \to C^\infty(V_-)$. [5] Thus, in priciple, a direct supersymmetric proof of the index theorem involves the following two steps:

1. Construct a SUSYQM system whose bosonic and fermionic Fock subspaces $\mathcal{F}_\pm$ are identified with (the completions of) the spaces of sections $C^\infty(V_\pm)$ of $V_\pm$ and whose supersymmetric charge Q_1 is related to the elliptic operator as described above.

2. Use the path integral representation of the supertrace of the evolution operator (B.19) to compute the index.

---

[5] See Appendix A for a discussion of the index theorem.

There are further similarities between the constructions of Appendix A and those encountered here. Following Appendix A.5, let us extend the operator D of (B.20) to

$$d : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \ ,$$

by defining

$$\begin{aligned} d|_{\mathcal{F}_+} &:= D \\ d|_{\mathcal{F}_-} &:= 0 \\ d^\dagger\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_+} &:= 0 \\ d^\dagger\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_-} &:= D^\dagger \ . \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have the trivial identity

$$d^2 = (d^\dagger)^2 = 0 \ .$$

In terms of d and $d^\dagger$, the Hamiltonian is written in the form

$$H = d^\dagger d + d d^\dagger \ .$$

This suggests that the operators d is related to the non-hermitian supersymmetric charge $\mathcal{Q}$. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}^\dagger)\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_+} \\ d^\dagger &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}^\dagger)\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_-} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} H_+ &= D^\dagger D = \tfrac{1}{2}(\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}^\dagger)^2\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_+} = \tfrac{1}{2}(\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{Q}^\dagger + \mathcal{Q}^\dagger\mathcal{Q})\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_+} \\ H_- &= D D^\dagger = \tfrac{1}{2}(\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}^\dagger)^2\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_-} = \tfrac{1}{2}(\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{Q}^\dagger + \mathcal{Q}^\dagger\mathcal{Q})\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_-} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we recover the familiar equation

$$\begin{aligned} H &= H_+ + H_- = \tfrac{1}{2}(\mathcal{Q}^\dagger\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}\mathcal{Q}^\dagger) \\ Q_1 &= d + d^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}^\dagger) \ , \end{aligned}$$

that were labelled (B.4) and (B.6), respectively.

A simple comparision of the quantities defined here with those of Appendix A.5, suggests the following additional identifications:

$$\Omega \equiv \mathcal{F} \ , \quad \Omega_\pm \equiv \mathcal{F}_\pm \ ,$$
$$\Delta \equiv H \ , \quad \Delta_\pm \equiv H_\pm \ .$$

In spite of the existence of all these identities, the construction of a SUSYQM system for arbitrary elliptic operators is a difficult and sometimes an impossible task. An obvious problem is related to the fibre dimension of the corresponding vector bundles. For, the Fock space of SUSYQM systems cannot be made to take arbitrary dimensions whereas there are no restrictions on the fibre dimension of V. A more important problem with a direct supersymmetric proof of the index theorem involves the second step in the above list. Namely, the path integral techniques presently known do not allow for a reasonable supersummetric derivation of the index of second or higher order elliptic operators. These difficulties have led the physicsts to restrict to the supersymmetric proofs of the twisted Dirac operator which implies a proof of the general index theorem via K-theory.

B.5 Coherent State (Holomorphic) Representation of Quantum Mechanics, Supernumbers, and Berezin Integration

In the coherent state representation [32, 21, 50] of a quantum system, the Hilbert (Fock) space is generated from a ground state vector $|\emptyset\rangle$ by repeated action of the *creation operators*. Let us first consider a bosonic system with one degree of freedom. The creation operator is denoted by $\hat{a}^\dagger$. It is related to the coordinate operator $\hat{x}$ and momentum operator $\hat{p}$ of the one-dimensional quantum mechanics via the familiar folmula:

$$\hat{a}^\dagger := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{x} - i\hat{p}) \ . \tag{B.27}$$

The operator $\hat{a}$ is called the *annihilation operator*

$$\hat{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{x} + i\hat{p}) \ . \tag{B.28}$$

Creation and annihilation operators satisfy a set of commutation relations given by

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger\right] &= 1 \\ [\hat{a}, \hat{a}] &= 0 \\ \left[\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}^\dagger\right] &= 0 \,. \end{aligned} \tag{B.29}$$

The collection of the following state vectors

$$(\hat{a}^\dagger)^r |\emptyset\rangle \,, \qquad r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \,. \tag{B.30}$$

form a basis. Thus, any state vector $|\Psi_+\rangle$ can be wirtten as a linear combination of them, i.e.,

$$|\Psi_+\rangle = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} c_r \, (\hat{a}^\dagger)^r |\emptyset\rangle \,, \tag{B.31}$$

where c_r are complex coefficients. In fact, we can view (B.31) as the Taylor series expnasion of a function $\hat{F} = F(\hat{a}^\dagger)$. Alternatively, we define a *holomorphic* (analytic) function of a single complex variable z^* that is associated with the state vector Ψ_+, namely

$$F(z^*) := \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} c_r \, (z^*)^r \,, \qquad z^* \in \mathbb{C} \,.$$

Clearly, the correspondence is one-to-one. The choice of parameter z^* rather than z has conventional reasons.

The same approach can be directly generalized to arbitrary n-dimensional quantum systems. In that case, one introduces the creation operators $\hat{a}^{i\dagger}$ and the annihilation operators $\hat{a}^i$ associated with pairs of coordinate and momentum operators. They satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\hat{a}^i, \hat{a}^{j\dagger}\right] &= \delta_i^j \\ \left[\hat{a}^i, \hat{a}^j\right] &= 0 \\ \left[\hat{a}^{i\dagger}, \hat{a}^{j\dagger}\right] &= 0 \,, \end{aligned} \tag{B.32}$$

where $i, j = 1, \cdots n$.

Again the Hilbert (Fock) space is spanned by monomials in $\hat{a}^{i\dagger}$, i.e., every state vector $|\Psi_+\rangle$ can be expressed as

$$|\Psi_+\rangle = \sum_{r_1,\cdots,r_n=0}^{\infty} c_{r_1\cdots r_n}\,(\hat{a}^{n\dagger})^{r_n}\cdots(\hat{a}^{1\dagger})^{r_1}|\emptyset\rangle\ .$$

Thus, every state vector corresponds to a holomorphic function F of n complex variable z^{i*},

$$|\Psi_+\rangle \equiv F(z^{1*},\cdots,z^{n*}) := \sum_{r_1,\cdots,r_n=0}^{\infty} c_{r_1\cdots r_n}\,(z^{n*})^{r_n}\cdots(z^{1*})^{r_1}\ . \tag{B.33}$$

The validity of this identification relies heavily on the fact that the creation operators commute among themselves, (B.32). Otherwise, one could not have replaced the operators by numbers. In fact, for the fermionic systems the creation opertaors do not commute among themselves, so one cannot use the holomorphic representation based on complex numbers. Instead, one uses the anticommutativity of the fermionic creation operators and replaces the role of complex variables z^* in the above construction by *anticommuting Grassman numbers*. These are the "odd" elements of a *Grassman algebra* with an infinite number of generators. They are also called *supernumbers*, [21].

The coherent states are indeed the eigenstates of the annihilation operators. Let us consider the state vectors

$$\begin{aligned} |z\rangle &:= e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^{i*}z^i+\hat{a}^{i\dagger}z^i}|\emptyset\rangle\ , \\ \langle z^*| &:= |z\rangle^\dagger\ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.34}$$

where the sum over repeated indices is understood. Then, it is a matter of simple algebra to show that

$$\hat{a}^i|z\rangle = z^i|z\rangle\ , \qquad \forall i=1,\cdots,n\ . \tag{B.35}$$

In view of (B.33) and (B.35), one has

$$\langle z^*|\Psi_+\rangle = F(z^{1*},\cdots,z^{n*})\ , \tag{B.36}$$

up to a common factor of $\langle z^*|\emptyset\rangle$. Equation (B.36) is called the *coherent state or holomorphic representation* of the state vector $|\Psi_+\rangle$.

Let us examine the expression for the inner product of two state vectors $|\Psi_{+1}\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{+2}\rangle$. Let us introduce the basic states

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z^*|i,r\rangle &:= \frac{(z^{i*})^r}{\sqrt{r!}} \\ \langle i,r|z\rangle &:= \langle z^*|i,r\rangle^\dagger \;=\; \frac{(z^i)^r}{\sqrt{r!}} \ , \end{aligned}$$

where $i = 1, \cdots, n$ and $r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. Then one can formally write

$$\langle \Psi_{+1}|\Psi_{+2}\rangle = \int \langle \Psi_{+1}|z\rangle\langle z|z^{'*}\rangle\langle z^{'*}|\Psi_{+2}\rangle \prod_{i=1}^{n} dz^i dz^{i*} dz'^i dz'^{i*} \ . \tag{B.37}$$

Note that the quantities $\langle z|$, $|z^{'*}\rangle$, and $\langle z|z^{'*}\rangle$ have not been defined yet. In fact, we need to define the latter to have a coherent state representation of the inner product. This quantity behaves like a "measure" for the integral depicted in (B.37). It is naturally chosen by requiring that the basic state vectors are orthonormal, namely

$$\langle i,r|j,s\rangle = \delta_{ij}\delta_{rs} \ .$$

This suggests immediately

$$\langle z|z^{'*}\rangle := \frac{e^{-z^{k*}z^k}}{(2\pi i)^n}\delta(z - z') \ . \tag{B.38}$$

Finally, one finds

$$\langle \Psi_{+1}|\Psi_{+2}\rangle := \int \langle \Psi_{+1}|z\rangle\langle z^*|\Psi_{+2}\rangle e^{-z^{k*}z^k} \prod_{l=1}^{n} \frac{dz^l dz^{l*}}{2\pi i} \ . \tag{B.39}$$

Here the multiple integral is over whole $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. It is performed by changing to real variables.

The situation is quite similar for fermionic systems. The only difference is in the use of complex anticommuting supernumbers ζ^{i*} in the place of the ordinary complex numbers z^{i*}. The creation operators $\hat{\alpha}^{i\dagger}$ and the annihilation operators $\hat{\alpha}^i$ satisfy the following anticommutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{\hat{\alpha}^i, \hat{\alpha}^{i\dagger}\right\} &= \delta^{ij} \\ \left\{\hat{\alpha}^i, \hat{\alpha}^j\right\} &= 0 \\ \left\{\hat{\alpha}^{i\dagger}, \hat{\alpha}^{j\dagger}\right\} &= 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.40}$$

The state vectors $|\Psi_-\rangle$ are written as

$$|\Psi_-\rangle = \sum_{r_1,\cdots,r_m=0}^{1} \gamma_{r_1,\cdots,r_m}\,(\hat{\alpha}^{m\dagger})^{r_m}\cdots(\hat{\alpha}^{1\dagger})^{r_1}|\emptyset\rangle\ ,$$

where m is the number of degrees of freedom, $r_i = 0, 1$, and $\gamma_{r_1\cdots r_m}$ are complex coefficients. Follwing the same line of reasoning as for the bosonic case, one represents $|\Psi_-\rangle$ by a polynomial in the odd supernumbers ζ^{i*},

$$|\Psi_-\rangle \equiv \sum_{r_1,\cdots,r_m=0}^{1} \gamma_{r_1\cdots r_m}(\zeta^{m*})^{r_m}\cdots(\zeta^{1*})^{r_1}\ . \tag{B.41}$$

ζ^{i*} and their complex conjugate ζ^i anticommute among themselves and commute with the ordinarly numbers and other even supernumbers, [21, 51]. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\{\zeta^{i*},\zeta^{j*}\right\} &= 0\\ \left\{\zeta^{i},\zeta^{j}\right\} &= 0\ .\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\zeta^{i*})^2 = (\zeta^i)^2 = 0$. It turns out that most of the mathematical constructions which are based on ordinary real or complex numbers can be generalized to supernumbers. A large number of these are described in [21].

The holomorphic representation for fermionic systems is further clarified by introducing the state vector

$$|\zeta\rangle := e^{-\frac{1}{2}\zeta^{i*}\zeta^i+\hat{\alpha}^{i\dagger}\zeta^i}|\emptyset\rangle$$

Again, one can easily show that

$$\hat{\alpha}^i|\zeta\rangle = \zeta^i|\zeta\rangle\ .$$

Then, the right hand side of (B.41) is conveniently denoted by $\langle\zeta^*|\Psi_-\rangle$, where $\langle\zeta^*| := |\zeta\rangle^\dagger$.

Next, one needs to devise an expression for the inner product of the state vectors in the coherent state representation. This requires the notion of integration over the odd supernumbers, [21]. The latter was originally discovered by Berezin [51]. I shall follow the natural correspondence with the bosonic case. The inner product of two state vectors $|\Psi_{-1}\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{-2}\rangle$ is formally given by:

$$\langle\Psi_{-1}|\Psi_{-2}\rangle = \int \langle\Psi_{-1}|\zeta\rangle\langle\zeta|\zeta^{'*}\rangle\langle\zeta^{'*}|\Psi_{-2}\rangle \prod_{i=1}^{m} d\zeta^{'i*}d\zeta^{'i}d\zeta^{i*}d\zeta^{i} \ . \tag{B.42}$$

Once more, one needs to define $\langle\zeta|\zeta^{'*}\rangle$. The natural choice is [6]

$$\langle\zeta|\zeta^{'*}\rangle := \frac{e^{-\zeta^{k*}\zeta^{k}}}{(2\pi i)^m}\delta(\zeta-\zeta') \ . \tag{B.43}$$

This choice leads to

$$\langle\Psi_{-1}|\Psi_{-2}\rangle := \int \langle\Psi_{-1}|\zeta\rangle\langle\zeta^{*}|\Psi_{-2}\rangle e^{-\zeta^{k*}\zeta^{k}} \prod_{l=1}^{m} \frac{d\zeta^{l*}d\zeta^{l}}{2\pi i} \ . \tag{B.44}$$

Here the integrals over ζ and ζ^*'s are Berezin integrals which is simply defined by requiring the basic state vectors to be orthonormal. For simplicity, let us concentrate on the case, $m = 1$. Then there are obviously two basic state vectors;

$$|\emptyset\rangle \ , \qquad |1\rangle := \hat{\alpha}^{\dagger}|\emptyset\rangle \ .$$

In the coherent state representation, they are expressed by:

$$\langle\zeta^*|\emptyset\rangle = 1 \ , \qquad \langle\zeta^*|1\rangle = \zeta^* \ .$$

Then, the orthonormality condition

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\emptyset|\emptyset\rangle &= \langle 1|1\rangle = 1 \\ \langle\emptyset|1\rangle &= \langle 1|\emptyset\rangle = 0 \ . \end{aligned}$$

together with (B.44) lead to the following rules:

$$\int \zeta \, d\zeta = \int \zeta^* d\zeta^* = \sqrt{2\pi i} \tag{B.45}$$

$$\int \zeta \, d\zeta = \int \zeta^* d\zeta^* = 0 \ . \tag{B.46}$$

---

[6] Here I assume that the delta function for odd supernumbers ζ satisfies the familiar property:

$$\int f(\zeta,\zeta')\delta(\zeta-\zeta')d\zeta' d\zeta^{'*} = f(\zeta,\zeta) \ .$$

This confirms DeWitt's convention of the choice of the factor $\sqrt{2\pi i}$ in (B.45), [21]. In Berezin's original work this factor was chosen to be 1, [51]. The latter corresponds to the following alternative choice for (B.43):

$$\langle\zeta|{\zeta'}^*\rangle = e^{-\zeta^{*k}\zeta^k}\delta(\zeta' - \zeta) \ ,$$

which has less resemblance to its bosonic counterpart, i.e., (B.38). The Berezin integration satisfies many familiar properties such as linearity. For further details, see [21] and the references therein.

Appendix C

Derivation of the Scalar Curvature Factor in the Operator Formalism

Abstract

The supersymmetry algebra is used to obtain the quantum mechanical Hamiltonian operator of the system studied in Chapters 2 and 3. It is shown that this Hamiltonian includes a factor of $\frac{\hbar^2}{8}R$. This factor originates from the supercommutation relation involving the fermionic variables.

The computation of the Hamiltonian H involves some simple but rather tedious algebra. One starts from the formula for the supersymmetric charge (2.24):

$$Q = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\psi^{\nu} g^{\frac{1}{4}} p_{\nu} g^{-\frac{1}{4}} \ , \tag{C.1}$$

and the superalgebra condition (1.14):

$$H = Q^2 \ .$$

The latter can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \tfrac{1}{2}\{Q,Q\} \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2\hbar} g^{\frac{1}{4}} \left\{\psi^{\nu} p_{\nu}, \psi^{\mu} p_{\mu}\right\} g^{-\frac{1}{4}} \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2\hbar} g^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\psi^{\nu}\psi^{\mu}\left[p_{\nu}, p_{\mu}\right] + \psi^{\nu}\left[p_{\nu}, \psi^{\mu}\right] p_{\mu} \right. \\ &\qquad \left. + \psi^{\mu}\left[p_{\mu}, \psi^{\nu}\right] p_{\nu} + \left\{\psi^{\mu}, \psi^{\nu}\right\} p_{\mu} p_{\nu}\right) g^{-\frac{1}{4}} , \end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

where in the second and third equalities use has been made of the supercommutation relations (2.22) and the following identities

$$\{AB, C\} = A\,[B,C] + \{A,C\}\,B \tag{C.3}$$

$$[AB, C] = A\,[B,C] + [A,C]\,B \tag{C.4}$$

$$[AB, C] = A\,\{B,C\} - \{A,C\}\,B\ . \tag{C.5}$$

Implementing (2.22) in (C.2), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \tfrac{1}{2} g^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[-\tfrac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau}\psi^{\mu}\psi^{\nu}\psi^{\sigma}\psi^{\tau} + 2i\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\epsilon}\psi^{\nu}\psi^{\epsilon} p_{\mu} + g^{\mu\nu} p_{\mu} p_{\nu}\right] g^{-\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\quad - \tfrac{\kappa}{2} F^{ab}_{\nu\mu}\psi^{\nu}\psi^{\mu}\eta^{a*}\eta^{b}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{C.6}$$

Next step is to recognize the following identities

$$R_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau}\psi^{\mu}\psi^{\nu}\psi^{\sigma}\psi^{\tau} = -\tfrac{\hbar^2}{2} R \tag{C.7}$$

$$g^{\frac{1}{4}} g^{\mu\nu} p_{\mu} p_{\nu} = g^{-\frac{1}{4}} p_{\mu} g^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{\mu\nu} p_{\nu} - i\hbar g^{\frac{1}{4}} g^{\tau\mu}\Gamma^{\nu}_{\tau\mu} p_{\nu} \tag{C.8}$$

$$2i\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\epsilon}\psi^{\nu}\psi^{\epsilon} = i\hbar g^{\nu\epsilon}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\epsilon}\ . \tag{C.9}$$

Substituting (C.7), (C.8) and (C.9) in (C.6) and simplifying the result, one arrives at

$$H = \tfrac{1}{2} g^{-\frac{1}{4}} p_{\mu} g^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{\mu\nu} p_{\nu} g^{-\frac{1}{4}} + \tfrac{\hbar^2}{8} R + \kappa\left[-\tfrac{1}{2} F^{ab}_{\epsilon\gamma}\psi^{\epsilon}\psi^{\gamma}\eta^{a*}\eta^{b}\right]\ .$$

In the rest of this appendix, I shall present the derivation of the equations (C.7) and (C.8). The derivation of (C.9) is straightforward.

Consider the following set of identities:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau}\psi^{\mu}\psi^{\nu}\psi^{\sigma}\psi^{\tau} &= \tfrac{1}{3}\psi^{\mu}\left(R_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau}\psi^{\nu}\psi^{\sigma}\psi^{\tau} + R_{\mu\tau\nu\sigma}\psi^{\tau}\psi^{\nu}\psi^{\sigma}\right. \\ &\qquad \left. + R_{\mu\sigma\tau\nu}\psi^{\sigma}\psi^{\tau}\psi^{\nu}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{C.10}$$

$$\psi^{\tau}\psi^{\nu}\psi^{\sigma} = \psi^{\nu}\psi^{\sigma}\psi^{\tau} - \hbar g^{\tau\sigma} p^{\nu} + \hbar g^{\tau\nu}\psi^{\sigma}\ , \tag{C.11}$$

and similarly

$$\psi^\sigma\psi^\tau\psi^\nu = \psi^\nu\psi^\sigma\psi^\tau - \hbar g^{\nu\sigma}\psi^\tau + \hbar g^{\tau\nu}\psi^\sigma \ . \tag{C.12}$$

In (C.11) and (C.12), use has been made of (2.22), namely

$$\{\psi^\epsilon, \psi^\gamma\} = \hbar g^{\epsilon\gamma} \ .$$

Substituting (C.11) and (C.12) in the right and side of (C.10), one has

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau}\psi^\mu\psi^\nu\psi^\sigma\psi^\tau &= \tfrac{1}{3}\psi^\mu \left([R_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau} + R_{\mu\tau\nu\sigma} + R_{\mu\sigma\tau\nu}]\, \psi^\nu\psi^\sigma\psi^\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \, \hbar R_{\mu\tau\nu\sigma} \left[-g^{\tau\sigma}\psi^\nu + g^{\tau\nu}\psi^\sigma \right] + \hbar R_{\mu\sigma\tau\nu} \left[-g^{\nu\sigma}\psi^\tau + g^{\tau\nu}\psi^\sigma \right] \right) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{C.13}$$

The first bracket on the right hand side of (C.13) vanishes due to the cyclic identity of the Riemann curvature tensor, [38, p. 57]. The remaining term are further simplified to yeild

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau}\psi^\mu\psi^\nu\psi^\sigma\psi^\tau &= -\hbar R_{\mu\nu}\psi^\mu\psi^\nu \\ &= -\frac{\hbar}{2} R_{\mu\nu} \{\psi^\mu, \psi^\nu\} \\ &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} R_{\mu\nu} g^{\mu\nu} \\ &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} R \ , \end{aligned}$$

where I have used (2.22). $R_{\mu\nu}$ and R are the Ricci curvature tensor and scalar, respectively. This completes the derivation of (C.7).

Dervation of (C.8) uses the following set of identities

$$\begin{aligned} g^{-\frac{1}{4}} p_\mu g^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{\mu\nu} p_\nu &= g^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(g^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{\mu\nu} p_\mu + \left[p_\mu, g^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{\mu\nu} \right] \right) p_\nu \\ &= g^{\frac{1}{4}} g^{\mu\nu} p_\mu p_\nu + g^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left[-i\hbar (g^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{\mu\nu})_{,\mu} \right] p_\nu \\ &= g^{\frac{1}{4}} g^{\mu\nu} p_\mu p_\nu - i\hbar g^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\tfrac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} g^{\sigma\tau} - g^{\mu\tau} g^{\nu\sigma} \right) g_{\tau\sigma,\mu} p_\nu \ , \end{aligned} \tag{C.14}$$

where use has been made of (2.22) and

$$\begin{aligned} (g^{\frac{1}{2}})_{,\mu} &= \tfrac{1}{2} g^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{\sigma\tau} g_{\sigma\tau,\mu} \\ (g^{\mu\nu})_{,\mu} &= -g^{\mu\tau} g^{\nu\sigma} g_{\tau\sigma,\mu} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, one has

$$g_{\tau\sigma,\mu} = \Gamma_{\tau\sigma\mu} + \Gamma_{\sigma\tau\mu} \ . \tag{C.15}$$

Substituting (C.15) in (C.14), contracting the Christoffel symbols with the metric tensors and simplifying the result, one obtains equation (C.8).

Appendix D

Coherent State Path Integral and Calculation of S_0

Abstract

The end point contributions to the coherent state path integral is discussed. A method is introduced that includes these contributions authomatically in the action functional. This is done by considering paths with step function discontinuities at the end points. The method developed here allows for a direct application of the ordinary path integral formula in the coherent state represenation. This method is used to compute the factor S_0 in the WKB expression for the path integral analyzed in Chapter 2.

In the coherent state path integral formula for the kernel, one must also include the end point contributions to the action, [52, 53][1]. This can be done implicitly by including step functions at the end points of the paths. An example of this is provided in [21].

---

[1] In fact, the action function can be shown to include the end point contributions automatically. This was pointed out to the author by Cecile DeWitt-Morette.

Consider a fermionic quantum system and let $\hat{\zeta}^{i\dagger}$ and $\hat{\zeta}^i$ be the creation and annihilation operators:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\{\hat{\zeta}^{i\dagger}, \hat{\zeta}^j\} & = & \delta^{ij} \\ \{\hat{\zeta}^i, \hat{\zeta}^j\} & = & \{\hat{\zeta}^{i\dagger}, \hat{\zeta}^{j\dagger}\} = 0 \ .\end{array}$$

The coherent states are defined by:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}|\zeta\rangle & := & e^{\frac{1}{2}\zeta^{i*}\zeta^i + \hat{\zeta}^{i\dagger}\zeta^i} \mid \emptyset\rangle \\ \langle\zeta^*| & := & |\zeta\rangle^\dagger,\end{array}$$

where $|\emptyset\rangle$ is the vacuum and $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$. [2] Then one has:

$$\hat{\zeta}^i \,|\zeta\rangle = \zeta^i \,|\zeta\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle\zeta^* |\, \hat{\zeta}^{i\dagger} = \zeta^{i*}\langle\zeta^*| \ .$$

In the path integral formula for the kernel:

$$K(\zeta^{*''}, t'' \mid \zeta', t') := \langle\zeta^{*''}; t'' \mid \zeta'; t'\rangle = \int e^{iS}(sdet\, G^+)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\zeta^* \, \mathcal{D}\zeta \ , \qquad \text{(D.1)}$$

one must note that $\zeta^{*''} \neq (\zeta'')^*$. In fact a priori only

$$\zeta(t') = \zeta' \qquad \text{and} \qquad \zeta^*(t'') = \zeta^{*''} \qquad \text{(D.2)}$$

are fixed. The boundary conditions on

$$\zeta(t'') = \zeta'' \qquad \text{and} \qquad \zeta^*(t') = \zeta^{*'}$$

depend on the specifics of the problem. In (D.1), the action is given by:

$$S = \int_{\zeta', t'}^{\zeta'', t''} dt \left[\frac{1}{2i}(\zeta^{i*}\dot{\zeta}^i - \dot{\zeta}^{i*}\zeta^i) - h(\zeta^*, \zeta, t)\right] \qquad \text{(D.3)}$$

where $h(\zeta^*, \zeta, t)$ is the normal symbol of the Hamiltonian, [52]. The paths are given by:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\zeta^*(t) & = & \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left[\theta(t - t' - \epsilon)\zeta_c^*(t) + \theta(t' - t + \epsilon)\zeta^{*'}\right] \\ \zeta(t) & = & \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left[\theta(t'' - t - \epsilon)\zeta_c(t) + \theta(t - t'' + \epsilon)\zeta''\right] \ .\end{array} \qquad \text{(D.4)}$$

---

[2] See Appendix B for further detail.

In (D.4), $\zeta^*_c(t)$ and $\zeta_c(t)$ are paths connected to the end points, i.e. :

$$\zeta^*_c(t'') = \zeta^{*''} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \zeta_c(t') = \zeta' \tag{D.5}$$

In general,

$$\zeta^*_c(t') \neq \zeta^{*'} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \zeta_c(t'') \neq \zeta'' \,.$$

To compute S_0, which appears in (2.104), one needs to specialize to the classical paths. In the limit $\beta \to 0$, these are given by (2.107) and (2.108). Equations (2.108) are easily solved to give:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\eta}^a_{0c}(s) &= \left[e^{i(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}+\alpha 1_{n\times n})s}\right]^{ab} \eta^{b'} && \text{(D.6)}\\
\tilde{\eta}^{a*}_{0c}(s) &= \eta^{b*''} \left[e^{i(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}+\alpha 1_{n\times n})(1-s)}\right]^{ba} && \text{(D.7)}\\
\tilde{\psi}^\gamma_{1c}(s) &= i g_0^{\gamma\epsilon} F^{ab}_{\epsilon\delta}(x_0) \tilde{\psi}^\delta_0 E^{ab}(s) + C^\gamma \ , && \text{(D.8)}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$E^{ab}(s) := \int_0^1 ds\, \tilde{\eta}^{a*}_{0c}(s) \tilde{\eta}^b_{0c}(s) \,.$$

In particular, one has:

$$\tfrac{1}{2} g_{0\epsilon\gamma} \tilde{\psi}^\epsilon_0 \tilde{\psi}^\gamma_{1c}(s) = i \eta^{a*''} \left[e^{i(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}+\alpha 1_{n\times n})} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\right]^{ab} \eta^{b'} s + C \ . \tag{D.9}$$

In (D.8) and (D.9) C^ϵ and C are constants to be determined by the boundary conditions on ψ's.

In view of equations (2.107) and (2.8), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
S_0 &= \int_0^1 ds \left[\tfrac{i}{2} g_{0\epsilon\gamma}\, \tilde{\psi}^\epsilon_0\, \dot{\tilde{\psi}}^\gamma_1(s) + \tfrac{i}{2}\left(\tilde{\eta}^{a*}_0(s)\, \dot{\tilde{\eta}}^a_0(s) - \dot{\tilde{\eta}}^{a*}_0(s)\, \tilde{\eta}^a_0(s)\right) + \right.\\
&\qquad \left. + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{ab}\, \tilde{\eta}^{a*}_0(s)\, \tilde{\eta}^b_0(s)\right] + O(\beta) \ . && \text{(D.10)}
\end{aligned}$$

Defining

$$\tilde{\xi}^i := \sqrt{\beta} \xi^i \ ,$$

and using (2.26) and (2.28), one may express the first term on the right hand side of (D.10) in the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 ds \left[\tfrac{i}{2} g_{0\epsilon\gamma}\, \tilde{\psi}^\epsilon_0\, \dot{\tilde{\psi}}^\gamma_1(s)\right] &= \int_0^\beta dt \left[\tfrac{i}{2} g_{0\epsilon\gamma}\, \psi^\epsilon_0(t)\, \dot{\psi}^\gamma_0(t)\right] + O(\beta) && \text{(D.11)}\\
&= \int_0^\beta dt \left[\tfrac{i}{2}\left(\xi^{i*}_0(t)\, \dot{\xi}^i_0(t) - \dot{\xi}^{i*}_0(t)\, \xi^i_0(t)\right)\right] + O(\beta)\\
&= \int_0^1 ds \left[\tfrac{i}{2}\left(\tilde{\xi}^{i*}_0(s)\, \dot{\tilde{\xi}}^i_0(s) - \dot{\tilde{\xi}}^{i*}_0(s)\, \tilde{\xi}^i_0(s)\right)\right] + O(\beta) \ .
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (D.11), it is clear that (D.10) is already in the form demanded by (D.3). Next step is to evaluate (D.10). Let us define:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= \int_0^1 ds \left[\tfrac{i}{2} g_{0\epsilon\gamma} \tilde{\psi}_0^\epsilon \dot{\tilde{\psi}}_1^\gamma(s)\right] \qquad \text{(D.12)} \\ &\overset{\beta \to 0}{=} \int_0^1 ds \left[\tfrac{i}{2}\left(\tilde{\xi}_0^{i*}(s)\,\dot{\tilde{\xi}}_0^i(s) - \dot{\tilde{\xi}}_0^{i*}(s)\,\tilde{\xi}_0^i(s)\right)\right] \\ I_2 &:= \int_0^1 ds \left[\tfrac{i}{2}\left(\tilde{\eta}_0^{a*}(s)\,\dot{\tilde{\eta}}_0^a(s) - \dot{\tilde{\eta}}_0^{a*}(s)\,\tilde{\eta}_0^a(s)\right) + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{ab}\tilde{\eta}_0^{a*}(s)\,\tilde{\eta}_0^b(s)\right], \qquad \text{(D.13)} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$S_0 = I_1 + I_2 \ . \tag{D.14}$$

Replacing ζ's by $\tilde{\xi}_0$'s and setting $t' = 0$ and $t'' = 1$ in (D.4), it is a matter of simple algebra to show that:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= I_{1c} + \partial \\ I_{1c} &:= \int_0^1 ds \left[\tfrac{i}{2}\left(\tilde{\xi}_{0c}^{i*}(s)\,\dot{\tilde{\xi}}_{0c}^i(s) - \dot{\tilde{\xi}}_{0c}^{i*}(s)\,\tilde{\xi}_{0c}^i(s)\right)\right] \\ \partial &:= -\tfrac{i}{2}\left[\tilde{\xi}_0^{i*''}\left(\tilde{\xi}_{0c}^i(1) - \tilde{\xi}_0^{i''}\right) + \left(\tilde{\xi}_{0c}^{i*}(0) - \tilde{\xi}_0^{i*'}\right)\tilde{\xi}_0^{i'}\right] \ . \end{aligned}$$

Imposing periodic boundary conditions, (2.74),(2.106):

$$\begin{array}{ll} \tilde{\xi}_0' = \tilde{\xi}_0'' =: \tilde{\xi}_0 & \qquad \eta' = \eta'' =: \eta \\ \tilde{\xi}_0^{*'} = \tilde{\xi}_0^{*''} =: \tilde{\xi}_0^* & \qquad \eta^{*'} = \eta^{*''} =: \eta^* \ , \end{array} \tag{D.15}$$

and using (D.9) and (D.11), one has:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1c} &= \int_0^1 ds \left[\tfrac{i}{2} g_{0\epsilon\gamma}\tilde{\psi}_0^\epsilon \dot{\tilde{\psi}}_{c1}^\gamma(s)\right] = -\eta^{a*}\left[e^{i(\tilde{\mathcal{F}} + \alpha 1_{n\times n})}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\right]^{ab}\eta^b \\ \partial &= -\tfrac{i}{2}\left[\tilde{\xi}_0^{i*}\left(\tilde{\xi}_{0c}^i(1) - \tilde{\xi}_0^i\right) + \left(\tilde{\xi}_0^{i*}(0) - \tilde{\xi}_0^{i*}\right)\tilde{\xi}_0^i\right] \ . \end{aligned}$$

Next one needs to use equations (2.26),(2.28), and (D.8) to compute $\tilde{\xi}_{0c}^i(1)$ and $\tilde{\xi}_{0c}^{i*}(0)$. In (D.8), the boundary conditions must be chosen appropriately. The correct choice is the following:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{choose:} \ \ \psi(0) = \psi_0 = \frac{\tilde{\psi}_0}{\sqrt{\beta}} \ \Rightarrow \ \tilde{\psi}_{c1}(0) = 0 & \quad \text{to compute: } \tilde{\xi}_{0c}(1) \\ \text{choose:} \ \ \psi(\beta) = \psi_0 = \frac{\tilde{\psi}_0}{\sqrt{\beta}} \ \Rightarrow \ \tilde{\psi}_{c1}(1) = 0 & \quad \text{to compute: } \tilde{\xi}_{0c}^{i*}(0) \ . \end{array}$$

This leads to :

$$\partial = \eta^{a*} \left[e^{i(\tilde{\mathcal{F}} + \alpha 1_{n\times n})} \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \right]^{ab} \eta^b \ ,$$

and hence

$$I_1 = 0 \tag{D.16}$$

The computation of I_2 is straightforward. One replaces ζ's by η_0's in (D.4), and sets $t' = 0$ and $t'' = 1$. The final result is obtained using (D.6),(D.7), and (D.15):

$$I_2 = -i\eta^{a*} \left[e^{i(\tilde{\mathcal{F}} + \alpha 1_{n\times n})} - 1_{n\times n} \right]^{ab} \eta^b \ . \tag{D.17}$$

Combinning (D.14),(D.16), and (D.17), one has:

$$S_0 = -i\eta^{a*} \left[e^{i(\tilde{\mathcal{F}} + \alpha 1_{n\times n})} - 1_{n\times n} \right]^{ab} \eta^b \ .$$

This is used in Section 2.5, (2.111). Taking $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \eta = \eta^* = 0$, the situation reduces to the case of $\kappa = 0$. In this case, one has $S_0 = 0$.

Bibliography

[1] N. Straumann, *General Relativity and Relativistic Astrophysics,* Springer, Berlin (1988)

[2] P. Goddard and D. Olive: "Magnetic monopoles in gauge field theories," Rep. Prog. Phys., **41** 1357 (1978)

[3] M. F. Atiyah, *Geometry of Yang-Mills Fields,* Lezioni Fermiane, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei Scuola Normale Superione, Pisa (1979); D. S. Freed and K. K. Uhlenbeck, *Instantons and Four-Manifolds,* Springer, New York (1984)

[4] R. Jackiw: "Topological investigations of quantized gauge theories," in *Relativity, Groups and Topology II* editors: B. S. DeWitt and R. Stora, Les Houches, Session XL, 1983, Elsevier Science Publishers, B. V. (1984); M. Atiyah: "Topological aspects of anomalies," in *Symposuim on Anomalies and Geometric Topology* 1985, editors: W. A. Bardeen and A. R. White, World Scientific, Singapore (1986)

[5] B. Simon: "Holonomy, the quantum adiabatic theorem, and Berry's phase," Phys. Rev. Lett. **51** 2167 (1983); A. Mostafazadeh and A. Bohm: "Topological aspects of the non-adiabatic Berry phase," J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **26** 5473 (1993); A. Mostafazadeh: "Geometric phase, bundle classification, and group representation" (1994)

[6] G. Morandi, *Quantum Hall Effect,* Bibliopolis, Napoli (1988)

[7] T. Eguchi, P. B. Gilkey, and A. J. Hanson: "Gravitation, gauge theories, and differential geometry," Phys. Rep. **66** 213 (1980)

[8] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, C. DeWitt-Morette, with M. Dillard-Bleick, *Ananlysis, Manifolds and Physics Parts I*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1989); Y. Choquet-Bruhat and C. DeWitt-Morette, *Analysis, Manifolds and Physics Part II*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1989)

[9] E. Witten: "Constraints on supersymmetry breaking," Nucl. Phys. **B202** 253 (1982)

[10] P. Windey: "Supersymmetric quantum mechanics and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem," Acta Physica Polonica **B15** No:5 435 (1984)

[11] L. Alvarez-Gaumé: "Supersymmetry and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem," Commun. Math. Phys. **90** 161 (1983)

[12] L. Alvarez-Gaumé: "A note on the Atiyah-Singer index theorem," J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **16** no:5 4177 (1983)

[13] L. Alvarez-Gaumé, "Supersymmetry and Index Theorem" in *Supersymmetry*, Proceedings of the 1984 NATO School at Bonn, editors. K. Dietz, R. Flume, G. V. Gehlen and V. Rittenberg, (1984)

[14] J. Mañes and B. Zumino: "WKB method, SUSY quantum mechanics and the index theorem," Nucl. Phys. **B270** 651 (1986)

[15] M. W. Goodman: "Proof of character-valued index theorems," Commun. Math. Phys. **107** 391 (1986)

[16] E. Getzler: "Pseudodifferential operators on supermanifolds and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem," Commun. Math. Phys. **92** 163 (1983)

[17] J-M. Bismut: "The Atiyah-Singer index theorems: A probabilistic approach. I. The index theorem," J. Func. Analysis, **57** 56 (1984)

[18] D. Friedan and P. Windey: "Supersymmetric derivation of the Atiayh-Singer index theorem and the chiral anomaly," Nucl. Phys. **B235** 395 (1984)

[19] M. Hirayama: "Supersymmetric quantum Mechanics and index theorem," Prog. Theo. Phys. **70** 1445 (1983); M. Stone: "Supersymmetry and the quantum mechanics of spin," Nucl. Phys. **B314** 557 (1989);

O. Alvarez, I. M. Singer, and P. Windey: "Quantum mechanics and the geometry of the Weyl character formula," Nucl. Phys. **B337** 467 (1990); A. Rogers: "Stochastic calculus in superspace: II. Differential forms, supermanifolds and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem," J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **25** 6043 (1992); A. Hietamäki: "Derivation of index theorems by localization of path integrals," University of Helsinki preprint, HU-TFT 93-38, hep-th/9312027 (1993)

[20] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer: "The index of elliptic operators on compact manifolds," Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **69** 422 (1963); M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer: "The index of elliptic operator : I," Ann. Math. **87** 484 (1968); M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer: "The index of elliptic operators: III," Ann. Math. **87** 546 (1968)

[21] B. S. DeWitt, *Supermanifolds,* 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1992)

[22] M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott and V. K. Patodi: "On the heat equation and the index theorem," Inv. Math. **19** 279 (1973)

[23] M. F. Atiyah, *The Index of Elliptic Operators*, Colloquium Lectures, Amer. Math. Soc., Dallas (1973), in Collected works vol. III, Oxford University Press, Oxford (1988)

[24] R. S. Palais, *Seminar on the Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem*, Ann. of Math. Study, vol. 57, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1965)

[25] P. Shanahan, *The Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem*, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 638, Springer, New York (1978)

[26] P. B. Gilkey, *Invariance Theory, the Heat Equation and the Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem*, Math. Lecture series vol. 11, Publish or Perish, Bristol (1984)

[27] B. Booss and D. D. Bleecker, *Topology and Analysis*, Springer, New York (1985)

[28] N. Berline, E. Getzler, M. Vergne, *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators*, Springer, New York (1991)

[29] M. F. Atiyah, *K Theory*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc. New York (1967)

[30] C. Nash, *Differential Topology and Quantum Field Theory*, Academic Press, New York (1991)

[31] D. Husemoller, *Fiber Bundles,* 2nd ed. Springer, New York (1975)

[32] L. E. Gendenshteĭn and I. V. Krive: "Supersymmetry in quantum mechanics," Sov. Phys. Usp. **28**(8) 645 (1986)

[33] R. E. Peierls: "The commutation laws of relativistic field theory," Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) **A214** 143 (1952)

[34] S. Cecotti and L. Girardello: "Functional measure, topology and dynamical supersymmetry breaking," Phys. Lett. **110B**, no:1 39 (1982)

[35] B. S. DeWitt: "Dynamical theory in curved spaces I. A review of the classical and quantum action principles," Rev. Mod. Phys. **29** 337 (1957)

[36] M. S. Marinov: "Path integrals in quantum theory: An outlook of basic concepts," Phys. Rep. **60** 1 (1980)

[37] C. DeWitt-Morette, K. D. Elworthy, B. L. Nelson, and G. S. Sammelman: "A stochastic scheme for constructing solutions of the Schrödinger equation," Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, **XXXII** no: 4, 327 (1980)

[38] H. Stephani, *General Relativity,* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990)

[39] B. Peeters and P. van Neiuwenhuizen: "The hamiltonian approach and the phase space path integration for nonlinear sigma models with and without fermions," SUNY preprint ITP-SB-93-51 (1993)

[40] R. Bott and S. S. Chern: "Hermitian vector bundles and the equidistribution of the zeros of their holomorphic sections," Acta Math. **114** 71 (1965)

[41] J. W. Milnor and J. D. Stasheff, *Characteristic Classes,* Princeton University Press, Princeton (1974)

[42] I. M. Benn and R. W. Tucker, *An Introduction to Spinors and Geometry with Applications in Physics,* Adam Hilger, Bristol (1988)

[43] M. Nakahara, *Geometry, Topology, and Physics,* Adam Hilger, New York (1990)

[44] M. Gromov and H. B. Lawson, Jr. : "Positive scalar curvature and the Dirac operator," Inst. Hautes Études Scientifiques no: **58** 315 (1983)

[45] E. D'Hoker and L. Vinet: "Dynamical supersymmetry of the magnetic monopoles and $1/r^2$-potential," Commun. Math. Phys. **97** 391 (1985)

[46] M. De Crombrugghe and V. Rittenberg: "Supersymmetric quantum mechanics," Ann. Phys., **151** 99 (1983)

[47] M. F. Sohnius: "Supersymmetry for beginners," in *Supersymmetry and Supergravity,* Proceedings of the Trieste september 1982 School, editors: S. Ferrara, J. G. Taylor, and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, World Scientific, Singapore (1983)

[48] J. Wess and J. Bagger, *Supersymmetry and Supgravity,* Princeton University Press, Princeton (1983)

[49] P. West, *Introduction to Supersymmetry and Supergravity,* World Scientific, Singapore (1990)

[50] L. S. Schulman, *Techniques and Applications of Path Integration*, p. 242, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1981); A. Perelomov, *Generalized Coherent States and Their Applications,* Springer, Berline (1986)

[51] F. A. Berezin, *The Method of second Quantization,* Academic Press, New York (1966)

[52] C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber, "Quantum Field Theory", McGraw-Hills, New York (1985)

[53] L. D. Faddeev, "Introduction to Functional Methods" in Les Houches Lectures XXVIII (1975), "Methods in Field Theory", Ed.'s: R. Balian and J. Zinn-Justin, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1976)

[54] **Author's Publications:** M. Arik, E. Hizel, and A. Mostafazadeh: "The Scwarzschild solution in non-abelian Kaluza-Klein theories," J. Class. Quantum Gravity **7** 1425 (1990); A. Bohm, L. J. Boya, A. Mostafazadeh, and G. Rudolph: "Classification theorem for principal fibre bundles, Berry's phase, and exact cyclic evolution," J. Geometry & Phys. **12** 13 (1993); A. Mostafazadeh: "Topology of the parameter space bundle and the non-adiabatic Berry phase," in *Group Theoretical Methods in Physics, Proceedings of XIX International Colloquium,* Salamanca, Spain, 1992, vol. II, p. 471, editors: M. A. del Olmo, M. Santander, and J. Mateos-Guilarte (1993); A. Mostafazadeh and A. Bohm: "Topological aspects of non-adiabatic Berry phase," J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **26** 5473 (1993); A. Mostafazadeh: "Superymmetry and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem I. Peierls brackets, Green's functions, and a proof of the index theorem via Gaussian superdeterminants," J. Math. Phys. **35**(3), 1095-1124 (1994); A. Mostafazadeh: "Supersymmetry and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem II. The scalar curvature factor in the Schrödinger equation," J. Math. Phys. **35**(3), 1125-38 (1994); A. Bohm and A. Mostafazadeh: "The relation between the Berry and the Anandan-Aharonov connections for $U(N)$ bundles," to appear in J. Math. Phys. (1994); A. Mostafazadeh: "Geometric phase, bundle classification, and group representation," being refereed (1994).